

Check for updates

Editorial

A special issue of *Essays in Biochemistry* on structural mass spectrometry

(b) Hannah M. Britt^{1,2}, **(c)** Rebecca Beveridge³ and **(c)** Antonio N. Calabrese⁴

¹Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QZ, U.K.; ²The Kavli Institute for Nanoscience Discovery, Sherrington Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, U.K.; ³Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL, U.K.; ⁴Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K.

Correspondence: Antonio N. Calabrese (a.calabrese@leeds.ac.uk)



Mass spectrometry (MS) is now established as an analytical tool to interrogate the structure and dynamics of proteins and their assemblies. An array of MS-based technologies has been developed, with each providing unique information pertaining to protein structure, and forming the heart of integrative structural biology studies. This special issue includes a collection of review articles that discuss both established and emerging structural MS methodologies, along with examples of how these technologies are being deployed to interrogate protein structure and function. Combined, this collection highlights the immense potential of the structural MS toolkit in the study of molecular mechanisms underpinning cellular homeostasis and disease.

Structural mass spectrometry (MS) methods, also called structural proteomics methods, are being increasingly used to study the architecture of proteins and their assemblies [1–5]. An advantage of structural MS tools is that they can be deployed to study challenging systems that are intractable by other methods, including intrinsically disordered proteins, membrane proteins, complexes that exist in dynamic mixtures, and assemblies of proteins with nucleic acids and/or small molecules. Moreover, advancements have been made in applying structural MS methods in complex matrices, including cells, tissues, and lysates, and thus they are considered emerging tools for *in-situ* structural biology [6,7]. Structural MS methods can also be used in combination with other experimental approaches (e.g., cryoelectron microscopy, X-ray crystallography, and/or NMR spectroscopy) to generate complementary datasets that together can reveal mechanistic insights – so-called integrative structural biology [8].

A variety of MS-based tools to study protein structure are available, and whilst these methods are all linked by the instrumentation that provides the data read-out, i.e., a mass spectrometer, each has unique requirements for sample preparation, data acquisition, and data processing. Examples of structural MS methods include cross-linking (XL), hydrogen—deuterium exchange (HDX), native, ion mobility (IM), covalent labelling, and top-down MS. To better enable the newcomer to navigate this broad and exciting field we have, in this issue of *Essays in Biochemistry*, assembled a collection of review articles that summarise several of the key structural MS methodologies. Examples of applications of structural MS to address important biological questions are given, and cutting-edge methodological developments are described that will underpin our ability, in the future, to interrogate increasingly challenging phenomena.

Broadly speaking, structural MS methods are classified into one of two categories, based on whether they employ mass spectrometric analyses of intact proteins (top-down) or digested peptides (bottom-up). For intact protein analysis, proteins can be introduced into the mass spectrometer in either denatured or native states. Both denatured and native analyses can be used to reveal and interrogate proteoforms, including by identifying splice variants of proteins and co-occurring post-translational modifications, as highlighted by Habeck and Lermyte [9]. In native MS experiments, nondenaturing sample preparation (typically by reconstituting samples into aqueous ammonium acetate), and gentle instrument conditions during ionisation, are used so that aspects of protein tertiary and quaternary structure are retained in the mass spectrometer. In a native MS experiment, the stoichiometry of proteins and their assemblies

Received: 17 February 2023 Revised: 27 February 2023 Accepted: 09 March 2023

Version of Record published: 29 March 2023



can be studied, along with interactions with lipids and small molecules [10]. When combined with IM, insights into the architecture of proteins and their assemblies can also be gained [11]. This technology has found broad application, and in this issue, Oluwole et al. [12] highlight the advances in native MS methodologies that have enabled the study of challenging membrane proteins. Similarly, native MS has a theoretically unlimited size upper limit, and can be deployed to the study of very large assemblies. This includes the study of MDa viral particles using methods such as charge detection MS, as described by Miller and Jarrold [13]. While conventional native MS experiments require stringent buffer exchange, developments have also enabled the direct sampling of biomolecules from surfaces for both native MS and denaturing MS experiments, including tissue segments, as outlined by Wong et al. [14].

Another advantage of the mass spectrometer is that it can be used as a filter to select individual ion species for transmission/detection. As such, new research is now working towards combining native MS, including mass filtering of selected protein/assemblies, with imaging technologies. One example of this application is the combination of native MS with low-energy electron holography, as described here by Ochner et al. [15], to bridge the gap between MS and molecular structure determination.

HDX-MS is a bottom-up MS method that requires the protein-containing solution to be diluted with deuterium-containing buffer, resulting in the exchange of hydrogen atoms with deuterium from the solvent (only main chain amide hydrogens are detected in these experiments). The exchange reaction is allowed to proceed for a defined time before it is quenched and the protein digested. Deuterium incorporation is then measured by MS, which provides a readout of solvent accessibility and/or hydrogen bonding of specific regions of the protein sequence, and enables comparison of protein dynamics in different samples, for example, upon ligand binding. In this issue, Vinciauskaite and Masson [16] describe some of the key fundamentals that underlie HDX experiments, and Javed, Griffiths, and Politis [17] describe methodological advances that are being developed for the study of membrane proteins. Performing HDX reactions at timescales comparable to those of many biological processes is also challenging, (e.g., protein dynamics, enzyme reactions) (micro-msec) and advances in rapid HDX methodologies are now emerging to address this challenge, as described here by Chow et al. [18].

XL-MS is an additional bottom-up MS method that involves the addition of a suitable XL reagent with two reactive groups separated by a spacer arm to a sample, to covalently link proximal amino acid residues. The identity of these cross-linked residues can then determined by MS. The spacer arm of the reagent constitutes a distance restraint between these cross-linked residues that can be deployed to model structures, validate models, or compare with other data [19,20]. XL-MS technology has found broad application, including for the study of proteins/assemblies *in vitro* and in cell, but faces several analytical challenges as outlined here by Lee and O'Reilly [21]. Similarly, new advances are occurring to study protein–nucleic acid assemblies using XL-MS methodologies as described by Steinmetz et al. [22].

An advantage of structural MS is that the range of tools that have been developed means that many challenging biological questions can be addressed. As well as being individually informative, they can also be deployed during integrative structural studies, which harness the combined powers of several methods. Here, examples of structural MS applied to the challenging problems of protein aggregation [23], multidrug efflux systems [24], and cyanobacterial proteins [25] are outlined, to demonstrate the breadth of important questions that current applied research is tackling.

Combined, the range of methodologies and applications discussed in these review articles highlights the array of biological questions that can be addressed with structural MS, and some of the key considerations when designing structural MS experiments. We hope that you enjoy this special issue of *Essays in Biochemistry*, and that these reviews will stimulate new and exciting avenues of research where structural MS will underpin both discovery and translational research.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Funding

A.N.C acknowledges support from a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by Wellcome and the Royal Society [grant number 220628/Z/20/Z], a research grant from the Royal Society [RGS \ R2 \ 222357], and a University Academic Fellowship from the University of Leeds. R.B. acknowledges support from a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship [grant number MR/T020970/1]. H.M.B. is a member of the Professor Dame Carol Robinson laboratory, where research was supported by the Medical Research Council (MRC) [grant number MR/N020413/1], and Wellcome Trust [grant numbers 221795/Z/20/Z, 218246/Z/19/Z].



Open Access

Open access for the present article was enabled by the participation of University of Leeds in an all-inclusive Read & Publish agreement with Portland Press and the Biochemical Society under a transformative agreement with JISC.

Abbreviations

HDX, hydrogen-deuterium exchange; IM, ion mobility; MS, mass spectrometry; XL, cross-linking.

References

- 1 Beveridge, R. and Calabrese, A.N. (2021) Structural proteomics methods to interrogate the conformations and dynamics of intrinsically disordered proteins. Front. Chem. 9, 603639, https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2021.603639
- 2 Britt, H.M., Cragnolini, T. and Thalassinos, K. (2022) Integration of mass spectrometry data for structural biology. Chem. Rev. 122, 7952–7986, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00356
- 3 Lau, A.M. and Politis, A. (2021) Integrative mass spectrometry-based approaches for modeling macromolecular assemblies. Methods Mol. Biol. 2247, 221–241, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1126-5'12
- 4 Politis, A. and Schmidt, C. (2018) Structural characterisation of medically relevant protein assemblies by integrating mass spectrometry with computational modelling. J. Proteomics 175, 34–41, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2017.04.019
- 5 Calabrese, A.N. and Radford, S.E. (2018) Mass spectrometry-enabled structural biology of membrane proteins. *Methods* 147, 187–205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2018.02.020
- 6 Jiang, P.-L., Wang, C., Diehl, A., Viner, R., Etienne, C., Nandhikonda, P. et al. (2022) A membrane-permeable and immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). Enrichable cross-linking reagent to advance invivo cross-linking mass spectrometry. *Angew. Chem.* **61**, e202113937
- 7 Gan, J., Ben-Nissan, G., Arkind, G., Tarnavsky, M., Trudeau, D., Noda Garcia, L. et al. (2017) Native mass spectrometry of recombinant proteins from crude cell lysates. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 4398–4404, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00398
- 8 Ward, A.B., Sali, A. and Wilson, I.A. (2013) Integrative structural biology. Science 339, 913-915, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1228565
- 9 Habeck, T. and Lermyte, F. (2023) Seeing the complete picture: proteins in top-down mass spectrometry. Essays Biochem. 67 (2), 283–300, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220098
- 10 Karch, K.R., Snyder, D.T., Harvey, S.R. and Wysocki, V.H. (2022) Native mass spectrometry: recent progress and remaining challenges. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **51**, 157–179, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-092721-085421
- 11 Pukala, T. (2019) Importance of collision cross section measurements by ion mobility mass spectrometry in structural biology. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom.* **33**, 72–82, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8294
- 12 Oluwole, A., Shutin, D. and Bolla, J.R. (2023) Mass spectrometry of intact membrane proteins: shifting towards a more native-like context. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 201–213, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220169
- 13 Miller Lohra, M. and Jarrold Martin, F. (2022) Charge detection mass spectrometry for the analysis of viruses and virus-like particles. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 315–323, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220101
- 14 Wong, K.F.C., Greatorex, R.E., Gidman, C.E., Rahman, S. and Griffiths, R.L. (2023) Surface-sampling mass spectrometry to study proteins and protein complexes. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 229–241, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220191
- 15 Ochner, H., Rauschenbach, S. and Malavolti, L. (2023) Electrospray ion beam deposition plus low-energy electron holography. (ES-IBD+LEEH) as a tool for imaging individual biomolecules. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 151–163, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220165
- 16 Vinciauskaite, V. and Masson, G.R. (2022) Fundamentals of HDX-MS. Essays Biochem. 67 (2), 301–314, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220111
- 17 Javed, W., Griffiths, D. and Politis, A. (2023) Hydrogen/deuterium exchange-mass spectrometry of integral membrane proteins in native-like environments: current scenario and the way forward. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 187–200, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220173
- 18 Chow, V., Wolf, E., Lento, C. and Wilson Derek, J. (2023) Developments in rapid hydrogen-deuterium exchange methods. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 165–174, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220174
- 19 Belsom, A. and Rappsilber, J. (2021) Anatomy of a crosslinker. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **60**, 39–46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.07.008
- 20 Graziadei, A. and Rappsilber, J. (2022) Leveraging crosslinking mass spectrometry in structural and cell biology. *Structure* **30**, 37–54, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2021.11.007
- 21 Lee, K. and O'Reilly, F.J. (2023) Crosslinking mass spectrometry for mapping protein complex topologies in situ. Essays Biochem. 67 (2), 215–228, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220168
- 22 Steinmetz, B., Smok, I., Bikaki, M. and Leitner, A. (2023) Protein-RNA interactions: from mass spectrometry to drug discovery. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 175–186, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220177
- 23 Pukala, T.L. (2023) Mass spectrometric insights into protein aggregation. Essays Biochem. 67 (2), 243-253, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220103
- 24 Russell Lewis, B., Lawrence, R., Hammerschmid, D. and Reading, E. (2022) Structural mass spectrometry approaches to understand multidrug efflux systems. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 255–267, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220190
- 25 Sound, J.K., Bellamy-Carter, J. and Leney, A.C. (2022) The increasing role of structural proteomics in cyanobacteria. *Essays Biochem.* **67** (2), 269–282, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20220095