PORTLAND PRESS

Review Article

Towards structure-focused glycoproteomics

Anastasia Chernykh[1], Rebeca Kawahara[1] and ⓘ Morten Thaysen-Andersen[1,2]

[1]Department of Molecular Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia; [2]Biomolecular Discovery Research Centre, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Correspondence: Morten Thaysen Andersen (morten.andersen@mq.edu.au)

OPEN ACCESS

Facilitated by advances in the separation sciences, mass spectrometry and informatics, glycoproteomics, the analysis of intact glycopeptides at scale, has recently matured enabling new insights into the complex glycoproteome. While diverse quantitative glyco-proteomics strategies capable of mapping monosaccharide compositions of *N*- and *O*-linked glycans to discrete sites of proteins within complex biological mixtures with considerable sensitivity, quantitative accuracy and coverage have become available, developments supporting the advancement of *structure-focused glycoproteomics*, a recognised frontier in the field, have emerged. Technologies capable of providing site-specific information of the glycan fine structures in a glycoproteome-wide context are indeed necessary to address many pending questions in glycobiology. In this review, we firstly survey the latest glycoproteomics studies published in 2018–2020, their approaches and their findings, and then summarise important technological innovations in structure-focused glycoproteomics. Our review illustrates that while the *O*-glycoproteome remains comparably under-explored despite the emergence of new *O*-glycan-selective mucinases and other innovative tools aiding *O*-glycoproteome profiling, quantitative glycoproteomics is increasingly used to profile the *N*-glycoproteome to tackle diverse biological questions. Excitingly, new strategies compatible with structure-focused glycoproteomics including novel chemoenzymatic labelling, enrichment, separation, and mass spectrometry-based detection methods are rapidly emerging revealing glycan fine structural details including bisecting GlcNAcylation, core and antenna fucosylation, and sialyl-linkage information with protein site resolution. Glycoproteomics has clearly become a mainstay within the glycosciences that continues to reach a broader community. It transpires that structure-focused glycoproteomics holds a considerable potential to aid our understanding of systems glycobiology and unlock secrets of the glycoproteome in the immediate future.

Introduction

The human genome encodes a plethora of enzymes, receptors, and transporters that concertedly facilitate and regulate protein glycosylation, the covalent attachment of complex carbohydrates (glycans) to polypeptides. Glycosylation is a prevalent and structurally diverse type of protein modification central to many biological processes including cellular development [1], lectin-mediated cell–cell communication [2], adhesin-based host-microbial interactions [3,4], and immunity [5–7]. Glycans also aid the folding and impact the solubility and integrity of glycoproteins, as well as regulate their susceptibility to proteolytic cleavage to mention a few of their modulatory functions [8–10].

Dysregulation of the glycosylation machinery often leads to aberrant protein glycosylation. Altered glycophenotypes can arise from and, in other cases, lead to a broad range of pathologies, amongst others, cancer [11–13], infection and inflammation [14–17], and schizophrenia [18]. Furthermore, glycans are functional effectors of genetic and epigenetic disease risk [19]. Consequently, unravelling the dynamics and the glycan remodelling associated with changes to the cellular milieu under normal physiology and during disease conditions have been a long-standing goal of glycobiologists; such advances are required to promote the next generation of glycoprotein-based diagnostics and therapeutics [20,21].

Glycoproteomics, the system-wide study of glycans linked to proteins or peptides, is a powerful analytical discipline capable of identifying and quantifying physiological or disease-driven aberrations in protein glycosylation arising from changes in the glycoprotein level, site occupancy (macro-heterogeneity) and/or glycosylation pattern at individual sites (micro-heterogeneity) [22]. Mass spectrometry (MS)-based analysis of intact glycopeptides as opposed to the study of detached glycans (glycomics) or formerly glycosylated peptides (site mapping) is an attractive analytical strategy since only intact glycopeptide (or glycoprotein) analysis is able to provide direct evidence for the site-specific glycosylation of proteins. Intact glycopeptide profiling, however, remains analytically challenging when applied to crude biological mixtures given the fact that both the chemical structure of the glycan, the peptide modification site, and the identity of the peptide carrier need to be correctly identified and quantified for each glycopeptide.

The field of glycoproteomics has for these reasons received considerable attention over the past years. As previously reviewed [22–34], diverse LC–MS/MS-driven glycoproteomics tools and strategies capable of quantitatively mapping monosaccharide compositions of N- and O-linked glycans to peptides and, in favourable cases, to discrete sites of proteins in complex biological mixtures are now available. This has led to a body of literature exploring the glycoproteome in various biological systems, some with considerable coverage, as demonstrated by studies detecting thousands of intact N- and O-glycopeptides within a single glycoproteomics experiment [35–43]. While the identification accuracy of some of the reported glycopeptides from such studies may be questioned due to the analytical challenges outlined above [44–46], these examples illustrate that the technology is now getting ready to address pending questions in systems glycobiology.

A recognised limitation, however, is that most glycoproteomics strategies, at present, do not provide information of the glycan structure beyond the generic monosaccharide composition i.e. hexose (Hex), N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc), deoxyhexose (dHex), and N-acetylneuraminic acid (NeuAc) or even, in some cases, simply consider the glycans as a largely undefined protein modification based on their molecular mass. The structural elucidation of peptide-linked glycans beyond their monosaccharide compositions is often inferred from our growing knowledge of the related yet species-specific biosynthetic pathways responsible for the glycoprotein formation that exist across the areas of life [47,48]. This lack of concrete evidence for the glycan fine structure is an important shortcoming given that glycobiological processes are often governed by specific rather than generic glycan structures and substructures (glycoepitopes) occupying distinct sites on their carrier proteins. Detailed site-specific glycan profiling of the glycoproteome, herein referred to as *structure-focused glycoproteomics*, capable of discriminating isomeric monosaccharide residues e.g. N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine (β-linked GlcNAc) *versus* N-acetyl-α-D-galactosamine (α-linked GalNAc), key topological differences e.g. antenna (α1,2/3/4-) *versus* core (α1,6-) fucosylation and triantennary (β1,4/6-) *versus* bisecting (β1,4-) GlcNAc-containing glycans, and isomeric glycosidic linkage types e.g. α2,3- vs α2,6-sialylation is consequently considered one of the next important frontiers in glycoproteomics.

This review will first provide an overview of the most recent glycoproteomics studies published in the period 2018–2020, their approaches and their findings, and then summarise important technological developments supporting the emergence of structure-focused glycoproteomics.

Recent *N*- and *O*-glycoproteomics studies (2018–2020)

Our survey of the most recent literature identified a total of 28 studies applying LC–MS/MS-based glycoproteomics to biological samples, Figure 1. While our previous review revealed that glycoproteomics studies carried out in the period 2014–2016 typically reported between 100 and 2000 unique (non-redundant) intact N- and O-linked glycopeptides [22], studies published since 2018 are now routinely identifying and quantifying thousands of glycopeptides, some even beyond 10 000 and 20 000 intact glycopeptides [49,50].

It is widely recognised that the glycoproteome coverage depends on the complexity and dynamical range of the biological sample(s) being studied, the amount of protein starting material (often high μg/low mg protein levels), and, notably, relates directly to the accuracy and confidence by which the reported glycopeptides are identified [22]. Regardless of these constraints, the recent glycoproteomics studies have clearly reached a greater glycoproteome coverage over a relative short period indicating that the glycoproteomics technologies are rapidly maturing. We therefore decided to carefully mine these recent glycoproteomics papers to explore how the studies were technically performed, how data were collected and how the data provided new insight into the glycoproteome, Table 1.

With the exception of a single study exploring the plant N-glycoproteome [71], these recent glycoproteomics papers all investigated tissues, bodily fluids (e.g. sera) or cell lines of human origin or from rodent model

Figure 1. Glycoproteome coverage reported by recent glycoproteomics studies published in the period 2018–2020 arranged by the studied species and publication year. The studies included in this overview all performed large-scale glycoproteomics analysis of complex biological samples from unaltered or only mildly manipulated biological sources and reported a significant number of intact glycopeptides. Studies not matching these criteria and studies reanalysing existing glycoproteomics data were left out. We apologise for any omission of studies published in this period that we may have failed to identify in our survey of the literature. The coverage as measured by the reported unique (non-redundant) intact *N*-glycopeptides (yellow bars) and *O*-glycopeptides (blue bars) has been plotted for each study. Details of these 28 glycoproteomics studies including their full references are provided in Table 1.

systems derived under different (patho)physiological conditions. This illustrates that glycoproteomics currently is used to predominantly address glycobiological questions related to human health and disease.

The published studies either used glycoproteomics to qualitatively or quantitatively map a particular glycoproteome of interest, e.g. the serum *O*-glycoproteome [57] or alternatively performed comparative glycoproteomics to elucidate the site-specific *N*- or *O*-glycosylation changes between two or more patient groups e.g. patients with prostate cancer (PCa) and benign prostatic hyperplasia [52] or over a period of interest, e.g. longitudinal profiling during lactation [66] or neonatal heart development [69].

In the surveyed studies, data were most frequently collected using data-dependent acquisition (DDA) by employing higher-energy collision dissociation (HCD-), stepped collision energy (SCE)-HCD-, electron-transfer dissociation (ETD)- and/or electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation (EThcD-) MS/MS acquisition strategies (discussed below). Our survey also illustrated that targeted re-isolation and orthogonal fragmentation of glycopeptide ions are increasingly performed using diagnostic oxonium ions arising from HCD-MS/MS commonly referred to as product-dependent (pd) acquisition [60,66,67,71]. Data-independent acquisition (DIA), albeit less common, has also been used to profile mixtures of glycopeptides [57,72–76]. Various search engines, most commonly the commercially available Byonic [77,78], and academic tools, amongst others, GPQuest [79] and GPSeeker [56], were used to identify glycopeptides from the obtained raw data.

PORTLAND PRESS

Table 1 Recent *N*- and *O*-glycoproteomics studies published in the period 2018–2020

Part 1 of 4

Biological sample (species)	Approach 1) Mass spectrometer 2) MS/MS method (energy level applied[a]) 3) Data acquisition 4) Data analysis 5) Quantification	Glycoproteome coverage[b] 1) Glycopeptides 2) Glycosites 3) Glycoproteins	Main findings/novelty	Reference
Sera from PCa patients and healthy individuals (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) SCE-HCD (25 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Mascot v2.4 5) Label-free (XICs)	1) 3447[(N)] 2) 970[(N)] 3) 257[(N)]	• Site-specific identification and quantification of the sialylated *N*-glycoproteome in sera from PCa patients and healthy donors	Bollineni et al. [51]
Breast cancer PANC1 and PKM2 knockout cell lines (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) EThcD (SA 33% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Byonic v2.9.38 in Proteome Discoverer v2.1 5) DiLeu	1) 1067[(N)] 2) 311[(N)] 3) 205[(N)]	• Identification of site-specific *N*-glycosylation differences in PKM2 knockout breast cancer and parental cells	Chen et al. [39]
Urine from patients with PCa and BPH (human)	1) Q-Exactive HF 2) HCD (29% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Byonic v2.6.46 5) TMT	1) 954[(N)]/965[(O)] 2) 1310[(N)] 3) 729[(N)]/160[(O)]	• Identification of a panel of 56 *N*-glycopeptides able to discriminate PCa and BPH patients	Kawahara et al. [52]
Resting and activated primary T cells (human)	1) LTQ-Orbitrap Elite 2) HCD (27 eV)-pd-ETD (SA 35 eV) and inclusion list-based HCD (27 eV)/ETD (SA 35 eV)/CID (35 eV) 3) DDA 4) Byonic v2.0 in Proteome Discoverer v1.4 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 2219[(O)] 2) 851[(O)] 3) 1045[(O)]	• Identification and subcellular localisation of *O*-GlcNAcylated proteins expressed by T cells • Found changes in the site-specific *O*-GlcNAcylation during T cell activation	Woo et al. [53]
Tumour and adjacent control tissues from patients with kidney cancer, T cells and sera (human)	1) Q-Exactive HF and Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) ETD/HCD (36% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Sequest[c] in Proteome Discoverer v2.2. 5) Label-free (spectral counting)	1) 4827[(O)] 2) 3055[(O)] 3) 1060[(O)]	• Specific enrichment of *O*-glycopeptides in complex clinical samples using OpeRATOR • Identified site-specific *O*-glycosylation differences between kidney tumour and adjacent tissues	Yang et al. [40]
Serum from patients with (non-)crescentic IgA nephropathy and healthy donors (human)	1) Q-Exactive HF and Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD, EThcD 3) DDA 4) Byonic v2.10.21 5) Dimethyl labelling	1) 499[(O)] 2) 173[(O)] 3) 49[(O)]	• Identification of site-specific changes in *O*-glycosylation associated with crescentic IgA nephropathy	Zhang et al. [54]
Breast cancer MCF-7 cell line and matching MCF-7 stem cells (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) SCE-HCD (30 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPSeeker[c] 5) Diethyl labelling	1) 2558[(N)] 2) 727[(N)] 3) 640[(N)]	• Identified differences in the site-specific *N*-glycosylation between cancer cells and cancer stem cells	Wang et al. [55]
HepG2 liver cancer cell line and LO2 normal liver cell line (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) SCE-HCD (30 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPSeeker[c] 5) Dimethyl labelling	1) 5405[(N)] 2) 1218[(N)] 3) 1077[(N)]	• Structure-focused glycoproteomics study revealing site-specific *N*-glycan differences in HepG2 and LO2	Xiao and Tian [56]

Continued

PORTLAND PRESS

Biological sample (species)	Approach 1) Mass spectrometer 2) MS/MS method (energy level applied[a]) 3) Data acquisition 4) Data analysis 5) Quantification	Glycoproteome coverage[b] 1) Glycopeptides 2) Glycosites 3) Glycoproteins	Main findings/novelty	Reference
Serum from healthy donors (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid 2-3) ETD (SA 25% NCE)/SCE-HCD (27 ± 5% NCE)-DDA; SCE-HCD (27 ± 5% NCE)-DIA 4) Sequest TH, ptmRS in Proteome Discoverer v1.4, v2.2 and Spectronaut v11.0 with a large *O*-glycopeptide spectral library 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 269[(O)] 2) 253[(O)] 3) 159[(O)]	• Amongst the first DIA-based glycoproteomics studies • Deep profiling of the serum *O*-glycoproteome without glycopeptide enrichment	Ye et al. [57]
Urinary exosomes from male donors (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) SCE-HCD (35 ± 5% NCE)-pd-EThcD (SA 15% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Protein Prospector v5.22.1, pGlyco v2.0 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 3144[(N)] 2) 604[(N)] 3) 378[(N)]	• *N*-glycoproteome and site-specific micro-heterogeneity of human urinary exosomes	Brown et al. [42]
DG75 Burkitt's lymphoma cells (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion and Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (25% for MS^2, 35% NCE for MS^3) 3) DDA 4) pGlyco v2.0 5) TMT	1) 5367[(N)] 2) 855[(N)] 3) 528[(N)]	• Optimised strategy for quantitative site-specific glycopeptide analysis • Site-specific glycosylation changes upon inhibition of the fucosylation process	Fang et al. [58]
Tumour and control tissues from high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma patients (human)	1) Q-Exactive and Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (38% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPQuest v2.1 5) TMT	1) 3202[(N)] 2) 1690[(N)] 3) Not reported	• Differences in site-specific *N*-glycosylation and abundance of glycosylation enzymes in tumour and control tissues	Hu et al. [59]
PCa and BPH tissues (human)	1) Q Exactive HF-X 2) HCD (35% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Byonic v2.6.46 5) TMT	1) 7447[(N)]/522[(O)] 2) 1085[(N)]/308[(O)] 3) 540[(N)]/178[(O)]	• The use of integrated *N*- and *O*-glycome and glycoproteome profiling revealed key glycan changes associated with PCa progression	Kawahara et al. [43]
Oncogene-transformed MCF10A breast epithelial cells (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (30% NCE)-pd-AI-ETD 3) DDA 4) Byonic[c] 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 2459[(N)] 2) 785[(N)] 3) 480[(N)]	• Oncogene-induced remodelling of the surface glycoproteome and the global cellular glycoproteome	Leung et al. [60]
Urine from healthy donors (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) SCE-HCD (30 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPSeeker[c] 5) Label-free (spectral counting)	1) 2986[(N)] 2) 419[(N)] 3) 327[(N)]	• Site-specific *N*-glycopeptide analysis of human urinary glycoproteome with putative *N*-glycan structural information	Shen et al. [61]
Serum from healthy donors (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) HCD (33% NCE) 3) DDA 4) pMatchGlyco v1.2 (spectral library of de-*N*-glycopeptides) 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 22 677[(N)] 2) 1036[(N)] 3) 526[(N)]	• Site-specific *N*-glycosylation of serum glycoproteins with very high glycoproteome coverage	Shu et al. [50]

Continued

Table 1 Recent *N*- and *O*-glycoproteomics studies published in the period 2018–2020

Biological sample (species)	Approach 1) Mass spectrometer 2) MS/MS method (energy level applied[a]) 3) Data acquisition 4) Data analysis 5) Quantification	Glycoproteome coverage[b] 1) Glycopeptides 2) Glycosites 3) Glycoproteins	Main findings/novelty	Reference
ADR-resistant MCF-7/ADR cell line and matching MCF-7/ADR cancer stem cell line (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) SCE-HCD (30 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPSeeker[c] 5) Diethyl labelling	1) 4016[N] 2) 1102[N] 3) 1014[N]	• Differences in site-specific *N*-glycosylation between cancer cells and cancer stem cells	Xu et al. [62]
Plasma from papillary thyroid carcinoma patients and healthy donors (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) SCE-HCD (30 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) pGlyco v2.2.0 5) Qualitative	1) 1644[N] 2) 862[N] 3) 369[N]	• Profiling of the medium- and low-abundant plasma glycoproteome (reported circulating glycoproteins down to 0.5 ng/ml levels)	Zhang et al. [63]
Liver tumour and para-cancerous tissues from HCC patients (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (37% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPQuest v2.0 5) TMT	1) 4741[N] 2) 1184[N] 3) 894[N]	• Differences in site-specific *N*-glycosylation in HCC patients with low and high levels of α-fetoprotein	Zhao et al. [64]
Urine from healthy male and female donors (human)	1) Q-Exactive 2) HCD (30% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Byonic[c] 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 1300[O] 2) Not reported 3) 435[O]	• Gender differences identified in the urine *O*-glycoproteome	Zhao et al. [65]
Milk from healthy donor (human)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (30% NCE)-pd-EThcD (SA 27% NCE) 3) DDA, SIM, PRM (30% NCE) 4) Byonic v3.2.0 in Proteome Discoverer v2.2 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 1697[N] 2) 191[N] 3) 110[N]	• Dynamics in the site-specific *N*-glycosylation over the lactation period	Zhu et al. [66]
CHO-K1 subclone (mouse)	1) Q-Exactive 2) HCD (32% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPQuest v2.0 5) Label-free (spectral counting)	1) 10 338[N] 2) 1162[N] 3) 530[N]	• Macro- and micro-heterogeneity of the *N*-glycoproteome of the lysate and culture media of CHO-K1 cells	Yang et al. [49]
Brain tissue (mouse)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (28% NCE)-pd-AI-ETD 3) DDA 4) Byonic[c] 5) Qualitative	1) 5662[N] 2) 1545[N] 3) 771[N]	• Application of AI-ETD to complex *N*-glycopeptide mixtures • Site-specific identification of isomeric glycans using oxonium ions • Creative visualisation of glycoproteomics data	Riley et al. [41]
L6 myoblasts cell line and myotubes (rat)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid 2) HCD (40% NCE)-pd-EThcD/CID (35% NCE) 3) DDA 4) Byonic[c] in Proteome Discoverer v2.1.0.801 5) TMT	1) 2751[N] 2) Not reported 3) Not reported	• Longitudinal monitoring of site-specific *N*-glycosylation during myogenesis and muscle development	Blazev et al. [67]
Brain tissue from AD APP/PS1 transgenic and wild-type models (mouse)	1) Triple-TOF 4600 and Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid 2) SCE-HCD (30 ± 10% NCE) 3) DDA 4) pGlyco v2.0 5) Label-free (n/a)	1) 3524[N] 2) 1493[N] 3) 722[N]	• Differences in site-specific *N*-glycosylation in brain tissue from AD and wild type mice	Fang et al. [68]

Table 1 Recent *N*- and *O*-glycoproteomics studies published in the period 2018–2020 Part 4 of 4

Biological sample (species)	Approach 1) Mass spectrometer 2) MS/MS method (energy level applied[a]) 3) Data acquisition 4) Data analysis 5) Quantification	Glycoproteome coverage[b] 1) Glycopeptides 2) Glycosites 3) Glycoproteins	Main findings/novelty	Reference
Heart tissue (mouse)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) SCE-HCD (20% and 33% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPQuest[c] 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 2925[(N)] 2) 1016[(N)] 3) 864[(N)]	• Site-specific changes in *N*-glycosylation during the loss of regenerative capacity of neonatal mouse hearts early in life	Li et al. [69]
CHO-K1 cells with *FUT8* knockout and wild type (mouse)	1) Q-Exactive and Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (32% NCE) 3) DDA 4) GPQuest v2.0 5) Label-free (spectral counting)	1) 2634[(N)] 2) 459[(N)] 3) 243[(N)]	• Global alteration in the *N*-glycosylation including the lack of core fucosylation in CHO-K1 cells upon *FUT8* disruption	Yang et al. [70]
Arabidopsis thaliana microsomes from wild-type and β-1,2-xylosyltransferase mutant (plant)	1) Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid 2) HCD (30% NCE)-pd-ETD or ETD 3) DDA 4) Byonic v2.6 in Proteome Discoverer v2.1 5) Label-free (XIC)	1) 1110[(N)] 2) 492[(N)] 3) 324[(N)]	• Mapping of the structural heterogeneity of *N*-glycosylation in Arabidopsis • Absence of Xyl in *xylt* mutant plants	Zeng et al. [71]

The table provides information of the biological samples, experimental conditions, the glycoproteome coverage and key findings of each study plotted in Figure 1.
[a]As stated in the respective papers;
[b]The glycoproteome coverage is here indicated as the reported unique (non-redundant) intact glycopeptides, glycosylation sites and glycoproteins. (N) refers to *N*-glycosylation and (O) refers to *O*-glycosylation;
[c]Software version not reported.

Regarding the sample preparation, most studies used trypsin digestion or, less commonly, combinations of proteases e.g. trypsin and Glu-C, Lys-C or chymotrypsin, and employed some form of glycoprotein or glyco-peptide enrichment prior to the LC–MS/MS detection. In fact, glycoproteomics is rarely applied directly to complex biological samples without any type of enrichment [57]. Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC), lectin affinity chromatography e.g. concanavalin A (ConA), *Vicia villosa* agglutinin (VVA), Jacalin, wheat germ agglutinin (WGA), *Ricinus communis* agglutinin (RCA), and less common strategies including mixed anion-exchange chromatography, TiO₂, and chemical and chemoenzymatic methods, were used to enrich glycopeptides. HILIC-based solid phase extraction (SPE) represents one of the least biased glyco-peptide enrichment methods given the considerable (local) hydrophilicity shared by most *N*-glycopeptides [80], but may not quantitatively capture the less hydrophilic (shorter) *O*-glycopeptides and truncated *N*-glycopeptides [81–83]. To increase the glycoproteome coverage, some studies used multi-step enrichment protocols including sequential glycoprotein enrichment using Jacalin affinity chromatography followed by gly-copeptide enrichment using HILIC [54] or employed sequential glycopeptide enrichment using HILIC and multi-lectin affinity (ConA, WGA and RCA 120) [39], while others applied parallel glycopeptide enrichment using ConA and mixed mode strong anion exchange-HILIC [60], or employed glycopeptide prefractionation using high-pH reversed-phase LC after the glycopeptide enrichment step [42].

The enriched glycopeptides were, as expected, most commonly separated and detected using reversed-phase nano-scale LC–MS/MS on various Orbitrap platforms including the Q-Exactive or Tribrid systems. Only few studies used other online separation techniques including HILIC-LC, which reportedly was able to separate sia-loglycopeptide isomers in complex mixtures [56]. Although frequently used in proteomics, we did not identify any recent glycoproteomics studies using Q-ToF instrumentation or indeed the more recent timsToF for large-scale glycopeptide detection.

While the pre-2016 glycoproteomics studies were found to be rather qualitative in their approaches by simply reporting lists of identified glycopeptides as reviewed [22], our survey of the post-2018 glycoproteomics

papers shows that glycopeptide quantification is now commonly applied. Approaches include label-free quantification by XIC or spectral counting [50,65], isotopic dimethyl [54] or diethyl [55] labelling as well as TMT [52,64] and DiLeu [39] labelling, which collectively enable different types of glycopeptide quantitation strategies all regularly used in the field. While glycoproteome mapping studies typically quantify the relative abundance of all glycoforms observed at each glycosylation site to establish a stoichiometric site-specific glycoprofile, comparative glycoproteomics studies often compare the relative quantity of individual glycopeptides or the glyco-profile at each site between conditions. The glycosylation site occupancy levels are unfortunately still rarely reported in glycoproteomics given the loss of this information in studies using glycopeptide enrichment.

In addition to the above-mentioned glycoproteomics studies performed on glycoprotein samples from unaltered biological sources, the SimpleCell technology, which manipulates cells to homogenously express truncated *O*-GalNAc glycans (Tn and sialyl-Tn (sTn)) on mucins and mucin-like domains due to the inactivation of the chaperone *COSMC*, represents an important innovation relevant for glycoproteomics [84–88]. The SimpleCell technology has not only opened exciting avenues to study the challenging mucin glycobiology including how *O*-glycans impact proteolytic cleavage events, the substrate-specificity of GalNAc-transferases and the role of *O*-GalNAc glycans in cell–cell interactions and cell differentiation [89–93], but has also improved our ability to profile the critically underexplored *O*-glycoproteome. Glycoproteomics efforts using the SimpleCell technology to study mucin-type glycosylation in different human and other mammalian cell lines have led to an impressive database (http://www.glycoproteomics.somee.com/), comprising a total of 18 397 unique *O*-glycopeptides spanning 10 197 *O*-glycan sites from 2039 *O*-glycoproteins within the human *O*-glycoproteome supported by glycopeptide MS/MS evidence.

Innovations in structure-focused glycoproteomics

The following section summarises recent innovations within the emerging field of structure-focused glycoproteomics including improvements in the sample preparation, glycopeptide separation, and LC–MS/MS-based detection. The discussed innovations are summarised in Figure 2. Advances in glycopeptide data analysis and novel search strategies including, amongst several others, MSFragger-Glyco and O-Pair Search [94,95] will not be covered in this review. Readers are instead referred to recent review literature on this topic [96,97].

Innovations in sample preparation
### New glycoproteomics-friendly proteases

Bacterial proteases capable of cleaving mucin-type *O*-glycan motifs and thereby aiding the profiling of densely glycosylated mucin domains and non-mucin *O*-glycoproteins often left undigested with conventional proteases are rapidly emerging. The commercial OpeRATOR originally derived from the mucin-degrading *Akkermansia muciniphila*, and the secreted protease of C1 esterase inhibitor (StcE) from *Escherichia coli* represent prominent examples of recently reported mucinases [98–100].

OpeRATOR cleaves N-terminal to *O*-glycosylated Ser/Thr in Pro-rich sequences thereby generating peptides carrying N-terminal *O*-glycosylation for easy site assignment [40,101]. However, site assignment based on the OpeRATOR cleavage pattern alone or with HCD-MS/MS is not ideal given that more than half of all OpeRATOR-generated glycopeptides reportedly contain multiple *O*-glycans [46] including adjacent *O*-glycan sites prone to be missed by OpeRATOR [94]. In contrast, EThcD-MS/MS provides, in favourable cases, unambiguous site identification of OpeRATOR-generated *O*-glycopeptides [46]. Studies exploring the substrate requirements of OpeRATOR indicated that it 1) does not cleave non-glycosylated Ser/Thr [101], 2) preferentially cleaves core 1-type *O*-glycans and, albeit at a much slower rate, core 3-type *O*-glycans [40,102,103], and 3) shows poor or no activity against sialylated *O*-glycans and core 2-type structures [103].

The mucin-selective StcE cleaves the Xxx-Ser/Thr peptide bonds in consensus sequences containing Ser/Thr (P2) — Xxx (P1) — Ser/Thr (P1′) motifs where Xxx is any amino acid residue [104]. Unlike P1 and P1′, glycosylation of Ser/Thr in the P2 position is a strict requirement for StcE activity [104]. StcE displays activity towards motifs carrying various *O*-glycans including the di-sialyl-T antigens (di-sT) and core 2-type glycopeptide structures and thus complements OpeRATOR as a tool for mucin glycoprofiling.

Other bacterial serine and zinc metalloproteinases have also expanded the toolkit for *O*-glycoproteome analysis [99]. Pic from *E. coli* and BT4244 from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* preferentially cleave N-terminal to glycosylated Ser/Thr (P1′) carrying truncated Tn/T or Tn/T/sT *O*-glycans, respectively. In contrast, the M60-like protease AM0627 from *A. muciniphila* preferentially cleaves peptide bonds between two adjacent

Figure 2. Technical innovations supporting the advancement of structure-focused glycoproteomics.
The advances and the structural information they provide have been separated into sample preparation including protein digestion, glycoepitope labelling and enrichment, online separation and LC–MS/MS detection of intact *N*-glycopeptides (yellow) and *O*-glycopeptides (blue). See key for symbol and linkage nomenclature [105].

O-glycosylated Ser/Thr residues [99]. Finally, AM0908 and AM1514 are also M60-like proteases expressed by *A. muciniphila* that were found to cleave N-terminal to glycosylated Ser/Thr (P1′) with a preference for unoccupied Ser/Thr motifs in the P1 position [99].

While the above mucinases specifically act on glycoproteins containing mucin domains, ZmpC from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, which reportedly cleaves peptide bonds in the vicinity of O-glycosylation sites, acts on both mucin and non-mucin proteins [99]. The O-glycopeptidase CpaA expressed by several *Acinetobacter* strains cleaves N-terminal to glycosylated Ser/Thr in Pro (P1) — Ser/Thr (P1′) motifs irrespective of the sialylation status of the P1′-localised O-glycan substrate on both mucin and non-mucin O-glycoproteins [100].

While the clear substrate preference of most of the newly discovered proteases including OpeRATOR and StcE limits their use for global (unbiased) glycoproteome profiling, they have already proven valuable in studies aiming to map particular subsets of the glycoproteome. Notably, none of the proteases appears to be fully specific for a single glycan structure or glycoepitope emphasizing the importance of downstream glycan characterisation if used for structure-specific glycoproteomics workflows. Collectively, these new proteases have a considerable potential of advancing the field of glycoproteomics; their advent promises to accelerate particularly the analysis of the under-studied O-glycoproteome in the immediate future.

Glycoepitope labelling

Chemoenzymatic and metabolic labelling methods enable visualisation and detection of glycoepitopes of interest in a cellular or tissue setting. These technologies that have been developed over the past two decades are gaining popularity and have a considerable potential for advancing structure-focused glycoproteomics [106]. While metabolic labelling approaches aim to metabolically incorporate functional and easy-to-detect tags into newly synthesised glycoproteins (or other glycoconjugates) in living cellular systems, chemoenzymatic labelling approaches focus on incorporating such probes after glycoprotein formation without making use of the metabolic pathways [106,107].

Recognised limitations of metabolic labelling include the potential of adversely perturbating the living system being explored in particular if artificial metabolic precursors are supplemented at relatively high (micromolar) concentrations [108]. Furthermore, incomplete and unspecific incorporation of the supplemented probes are also commonly experienced [109,110] including the off-target labelling of cysteine residues of some metabolic labelling reagents [111–113]. However, a more specific labelling approach designed to target O-GalNAcylated proteins was recently reported [114]. In that study, precision labelling of O-GalNAcylated proteins was performed by supplementing membrane-permissive caged *N*-(S)-azidopropionylgalactosamine (GalNAzMe)-1-phosphate probes to cells transfected with a pyrophosphorylase AGX1 mutant in order to biosynthesize uridine diphosphate (UDP)-GalNAzMe donor molecules for downstream GalNAzMe-based labelling of target O-glycoproteins. UDP-GalNAzMe-mediated labelling of O-GalNAc proteins can be further enhanced by transfecting cells with an engineered 'bump-and-hole' GalNAc-T2 double mutant that contains an enlarged active site and exhibits increased activity for UDP-GalNAzMe as compared with UDP-GalNAc [114–117].

Diverse chemoenzymatic labelling methods that employ various glycosyltransferases and unnatural monosaccharide analogues have been developed over the past decade [107,118–121]. For example, the bacterial β-(1,4)-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase CgtA from *Campylobacter jejuni* that targets NeuAcα2,3Gal-R substrates has been used to label HEK293T cell surface N- and O-glycoproteins with *N*-azidoacetylgalactosamine (GalNAz), an unnatural analogue of GalNAc [120]. GalNAz incorporation enables convenient click chemistry-driven biotinylation, which, in turn, facilitates the enrichment of labelled targets using streptavidin agarose or detection by western blot, fluorescence microscopy, or LC–MS/MS. Recently, CgtA was used to label NeuAcα2,3Gal-containing glycopeptides derived from human serum glycoproteins with GalNAz [122]. The informative GalNAz reporter ions at *m/z* 245.09 generated upon SCE-HCD-MS/MS enabled the detection and sialyl-linkage annotation of 1236 N-glycopeptides from 161 human serum glycoproteins. In another study, cell surface-located sT O-glycoproteins expressed by the MCF7 breast cancer and HT29 colon cancer cell lines were labelled, captured and detected using cytidine-5′-monophosphate-NeuAc-biotin and recombinant human ST6GalNAc-IV expressed in baculovirus insect cells [123].

Other approaches have specifically targeted the disease-relevant O-GalNAc glycoepitope (Tn antigen) [124,125]. For example, a study showed that α-linked GalNAc (Tn) and β-linked GlcNAc O-glycopeptides can be discriminated by selective oxidation of the O-GalNAc residues by galactose oxidase from *Dactylium dendroides* facilitating Tn glycopeptide enrichment using hydrazide solid-phase capture and methoxyamine (CH_3ONH_2) mediated release [126]. This selective labelling strategy adds a 27 Da mass tag $(CH_3\text{-}O\text{-}N=R$ with

an associated water loss (-H_2O) upon derivatisation) to all Tn glycopeptides, which, in turn, enables their detection using diagnostic oxonium ions at *m/z* 171.0765 (144.0656 + 27.0109) and *m/z* 195.0764 (168.0655 + 27.0109) upon HCD-MS/MS. A similar oxidation-based labelling approach was recently used to enrich *O*-glycopeptides carrying core 1-type *O*-glycans including Tn, sTn, T, sT, and di-sT antigens [127]. In that enrichment study, which also employed hydrazide capture and methoxyamine release, tryptic glycopeptides derived from human serum glycoproteins were treated with PNGase F and chemically desialylated, before the exposed Gal and GalNAc residues were oxidised by *D. dendroides* galactose oxidase.

OpeRATOR was also recently used for the digestion and release of resin-conjugated labelled Tn *O*-glycoproteins expressed by human Jurkat cells and in pancreatic cancer sera using a method called EXoO-Tn [128]. In short, the EXoO-Tn method involves: (i) trypsinization and desialylation of the extracted proteins, (ii) Tn glycopeptide enrichment using VVA lectin, (iii) isotopic labelling of the Tn glycopeptides with heavy Gal($^{13}C_6$) by the recombinant human C1GalT1 glycosyltransferase in complex with its chaperone C1GalT1C1 using an artificial UDP-Gal($^{13}C_6$) nucleotide sugar donor, (iv) digestion by OpeRATOR, and finally v) LC–MS/MS-based detection of the Gal($^{13}C_6$)-capped Tn glycopeptides using diagnostic oxonium ions at *m/z* 372.2 ($^{13}C_6$ variant of HexHexNAc) that can discriminate labelled Tn glycopeptides from endogenous T glycopeptides. This approach enabled the identification of 1011 Tn glycopeptides from 480 glycoproteins expressed by Jurkat cells while considerably fewer Tn glycoproteins were identified from pancreatic cancer sera.

Furthermore, selective isotopic labelling and quantification of *O*-GlcNAcylated glycoproteins was recently achieved by employing UDP-GalNAz, a recombinant mutant of bovine β1,4-galactosyltransferase 1 (GalT1^{Y289L}) and the isotope-tagged cleavable linker (isoTCL) [129] or an isotope-coded photocleavable probe [130]. Both strategies facilitate selective tagging, enrichment, and isotopic labelling of *O*-GlcNAcylated glycoproteins. Others have used wild-type bovine GalT1 and natural UDP-Gal to label β-linked GlcNAc *O*-glycopeptides derived from glycoproteins expressed by MCF-7 cells, which were then selectively oxidised by *D. dendroides* galactose oxidase ahead of enrichment by hydrazide capture [131]. The resulting *O*-GlcNAcGal glycopeptides could be distinguished from the endogenous *O*-GalNAcGal glycopeptides by their different oxonium ion ratios (discussed below).

Finally, sialyl linkage information, important to understand many processes related to sialoglycobiology [132,133], can be obtained at the glycoproteome-wide level by applying linkage-selective labelling approaches. For example, α2,6-linked sialic acid residues can be selectively labelled using ethanol creating a 28 Da mass tag by the esterification with C_2H_5OH (and an associated water loss) while α2,3-sialic acid residues can be selectively labelled using ethylenediamine generating a 42 Da mass tag by the amidation with $C_2H_4(NH_2)_2$ (and an associated water loss) of immobilised glycoproteins [134]. In that study, the derivatised sialoglycoproteins were characterised using on-bead digestion and HILIC-SPE glycopeptide enrichment before the labelled sialoglyco-peptide isomers were detected by the chemically unique oxonium ions arising from the derivatised α2,3-(*m/z* 334.1/316.1) and α2,6-linked (*m/z* 302.1) sialoglycopeptides upon HCD-MS/MS.

Enrichment strategies

The enrichment methods used in glycoproteomics have been comprehensively reviewed [135]. While some enrichment strategies including HILIC-SPE aim to capture the entire complement of glycopeptides to provide a quantitative view of the glycoproteome [80], structure-specific enrichment approaches aim to capture only a subset of glycopeptides carrying specific glycan structures. In the latter cases, information of the glycan structure can either be inferred from the capture, or, more appropriately if used for structure-focused glycoproteomics, be supported by direct mass spectral evidence of the captured glycopeptides/glycoproteins using downstream detection.

Natural and genetically engineered lectins form a diverse class of glycan-binding proteins that can be used to enrich subsets of glycoepitopes carried by glycoproteins, glycopeptides or other glycoconjugates. For example, BTL from *Bryothamnion triquetrum* [136], PhoSL from *Pholiota squarrosa* [137,138] and SL2-1 [139] from *Streptomyces rapamycinicus* are known to recognise α1,6- (core) fucosylated *N*-glycans. Thus, binding to any of these lectins indicates that the captured glycoproteins carry core fucosylation, an observation that ideally should be supported by MS or other types of orthogonal evidence. Lectins that recognise other *N*-glycan structural features include *Phaseolus vulgaris* erythroagglutinin (PHA-E) and *Calystegia sepium* lectin (Calsepa) that bind bisecting β1,4-GlcNAc structures, *Maackia amurensis* lectin (MAL) that recognises α2,3-sialic acid residues and *Sambucus nigra* agglutinin (SNA) and *Sambucus sieboldiana* agglutinin (SSA) that both bind α2,6-sialic acid residues [140–142]. Furthermore, the recombinant human macrophage galactose-like C-type lectin (MGL)-Fc chimeric protein was recently used to enrich Tn glycopeptides from ovarian cancer cells and

tissues [143]. Finally, engineered lectins displaying enhanced or tailored recognition of particular glycan epitopes including, amongst others, core fucose recognised by the recombinant N224Q mutant of the *Aleuria aurantia* lectin (AAL), and *O*-GlcNAc recognised by the *Agrocybe aegerita* lectin (AANL) mutant AANL6 have the potential of expanding the enrichment tool box for structure-focused glycoproteomics [144–149].

Catalytically inactive hydrolases have also proven valuable for structure-specific glycopeptide enrichment. Recently, a mutant form of the *Clostridium perfringens O*-GlcNAcase (*Cp*OGA^{D298N}), which retained the binding but not the hydrolytic properties towards *O*-GlcNAc epitopes [150], was used to enrich β-linked GlcNAcylated *O*-glycoproteins from HeLa cell lysates and *Drosophila* embryo lysates [151]. Furthermore, the commercially available catalytically inactive mutant form of the OpeRATOR OgpA$^{H205A/E206A}$ (GlycOCATCH, Genovis AB., Sweden) was shown to bind *O*-glycopeptides suggesting that this pseudo-protease may serve as another enrichment tool for mucin-type glycosylation [103]. The catalytically-inactive StcE^{E447D} conjugated to beads was also able to enrich MUC16 from an OVCAR-3 cell line [104]. Both the StcE^{E447D} mutant and a BT4244^{E575A} mutant form of the *B. thetaiotaomicron* BT4244 metalloproteinase (discussed above) were found to be valuable for the detection of mucins using western blotting and for their direct visualisation in tissues [99].

Furthermore, recombinant antibodies displaying affinity towards glycoepitopes are relevant tools for structure-focused glycoproteomics [152–157]. For example, the recombinant mouse anti-Tn IgM ReBaGs6 was used to immunoprecipitate Tn-containing glycoproteins from Tn-positive Colo205 SimpleCells lysates for downstream mass spectrometry identification [154]. Smart anti-glycan reagents (SAGRs) represent another exciting type of emerging affinity tools [156,157]. SAGRs are chimeric proteins comprising murine IgG Fc and the single-chain variable lymphocyte receptors (VLRs) secreted by *Petromyzon marinus* VLRB$^+$ lymphocytes (B-like cell) upon immunisation with different glycoconjugates, cells and tissues of interest. This innovative technology enables SAGRs to recognise glycan determinants with considerable affinity. Finally, the emergence of artificial glycan-directed antibodies generated by glycopeptide imprinting represents a new interesting avenue that may contribute to structure-focused glycoproteomics in the future [158,159].

Improvements in glycopeptide separation

Glycopeptide separation prior to mass spectrometry detection, commonly performed using reversed-phase nano-scale LC, is an important component of most, if not all, glycoproteomics experiments. Current strategies for the isomeric separation of glycopeptides were recently reviewed [160].

The glycopeptide retention behaviour on reversed-phase LC columns, which is governed primarily by the peptide hydrophobicity, and, secondly, by the properties of the conjugated glycan(s) i.e. size, shape and monosaccharide composition particularly the sialic acid content, was recently studied to generate better prediction tools utilising retention time as orthogonal information for glycopeptide identification and characterisation [161–163]. While typically not allowing for separation of glycopeptide isomers, a recent study found that reversed-phase LC may separate isomeric *N*- and *O*-glycopeptides at elevated temperatures [164].

Whilst commonly used for glycomics [165,166], porous graphitised carbon (PGC) LC is still under-utilised in glycoproteomics despite its potential for isomeric separation of relatively small *N*- and *O*-glycopeptides generated by nonspecific proteolysis [167–170] or by trypsin [171]. Larger glycopeptides bind irreversibly to PGC LC columns [172,173]. Similar to the PGC-based separation of sialoglycans [174–176], PGC LC reportedly retains α2,3-sialoglycopeptides better than isomeric α2,6-sialoglycopeptides [177], a separation feature that appears to be temperature-dependent [178]. Alternatively, HILIC LC can be used for the separation of intact glycopeptide isomers [56,179] and glycoproteins [180]. HILIC LC coupled with multiple reaction monitoring was used to profile isomeric α2,3- and α2,6-sialoglycopeptides derived from human prostate-specific antigen [181], the latter isomer displaying a greater LC retention [182]. Others have used HILIC LC to separate topological *N*-glycopeptide isomers of human hemopexin in order to assign the position of the fucose residues on *N*-glycans (core *versus* outer arm) and to elucidate the glycan arm position of α2,6-sialic acid residues [183]. A study comparing different HILIC stationary phases recently reported that HALO penta-HILIC provides better isomeric separation of *N*-glycopeptides than the more widely used ZIC-HILIC phase [184].

Furthermore, isomeric α2,3- and α2,6-sialoglycopeptides possess different electrophoretic mobilities facilitating their separation using capillary electrophoresis (CE)-ESI-MS [185,186]. Recent improvements in the CE hyphenation methods have increased the sensitivity and reproducibility of glycopeptide analysis by CE-MS [187,188] and opened interesting avenues for CE-based glycoproteomics [189]. In fact, CE-MS even showed the potential to separate intact glycoproteoforms [190–193]. LC and CE methods for intact glycoprotein separation were recently reviewed [194].

Aiming to benefit from their orthogonal separation properties, studies employing multi-dimensional separation of glycopeptides are emerging. For example, an online two-dimensional PGC and reversed-phase LC setup was used to separate glycopeptides from simple mixtures [195–198]. Another study used offline reversed-phase LC prefractionation coupled with CE to profile *N*-glycopeptides generated from human α-1-acid glycoprotein [199]. The potential of multi-dimensional approaches to separate isomeric *N*-glycopeptides was demonstrated using offline HILIC LC coupled with PGC LC to fractionate a simple mixture of bovine RNase B glycopeptides [200] as well as offline reversed-phase LC prefractionation coupled with online HALO penta-HILIC LC to separate glycopeptides from HepG2 and LO2 cell lysates [56].

Finally, ion mobility spectrometry (IMS), a gas-phase alternative to the chromatographic and electrophoretic separation of glycopeptides, is an emerging separation technique in structure-focused glycoproteomics. When coupled with mass spectrometry, IMS-MS is evidently capable of separating isomeric glycopeptide ions based on their differential conformational size and shape resulting in different drift times [201,202]. Direct IMS-MS analysis showed the potential to separate α-GlcNAc and α-GalNAc-containing glycopeptide epimers [203,204], isomeric *O*- and *N*-glycopeptides differing only in the position of the glycosylation site [204–206], α- and β-GalNAc anomeric glycopeptides [204], and epimeric *O*-glycopeptides carrying either Gal or Glc residues or alternative Glc or Man residues on the same site [207]. The detection of CID-MS/MS-generated B_3-type ions (m/z 657.24, corresponding to NeuAcα2,3Galβ1,4GlcNAc or NeuAcα2,6Galβ1,4GlcNAc fragments) by IMS-MS enabled the discrimination of isomeric *N*- and *O*-sialoglycopeptides; these two types of B_3 ions display NeuAc linkage-specific drift times [206,208]. IMS-MS has also demonstrated a potential to separate intact glycoproteins [209], an observation that deserves to be explored further as the field moves towards top-down glycoprotein analysis.

Improvements in LC–MS/MS detection

Glycoproteomics has recently witnessed many key advances in mass spectrometry-based analysis of glycopeptides [25,32,34]. As discussed below, most advances relevant to structure-focused glycoproteomics involve improvements in the dissociation methods and intelligent glycopeptide-centric LC–MS/MS data acquisition methods, both of which have led to a greater volume and quality of the spectral data generated from glycoproteome profiling experiments.

Hybrid-type fragmentation methods yield high content glycopeptide spectra

Hybrid-type fragmentation methods that combine multiple dissociation methods include EThcD-MS/MS [210–213] widely used in glycoproteomics (see Table 1 for examples) and the less common AI-ETD-MS/MS method restricted to few specialist labs [41,60]. Fragmentation methods that employ multiple energy schemes to target more complete analyte dissociation most prominently the SCE-HCD-MS/MS method are also frequently used in large-scale glycopeptide profiling studies [37,51,56,214,215]. The benefits of hybrid-type fragmentation methods for glycoproteomics were recently summarised [216].

Confident and detailed glycopeptide characterisation from MS/MS spectral evidence rely on the presence of oxonium ions (internal glycan fragments), B-type ions (non-reducing end glycan fragments), Y-type ions (intact glycopeptide fragments), and b-/y- and c/z-type ions (peptide fragments) [217,218]. The peptide fragments may be present with and without the conjugated glycan moieties. These different ion types inform on different parts of the glycopeptide molecule. The SCE-HCD- and EThcD-MS/MS methods have been purpose-build and tailored to intact glycopeptide analysis and are now widely recognised to be well-suited for *N*- and *O*-glycopeptide analysis at scale [37,54,219]. Relative to the conventional dissociation methods including resonance activated (ion trap) or beam-type (Q-ToF) CID-, HCD- and ETD-MS/MS, the SCE-HCD- and EThcD-MS/MS fragmentation methods yield more informative and content-rich fragment mass spectra containing both peptide and glycan fragments required for unambiguous or more complete glycopeptide spectral assignment. Glycopeptide-friendly variants of the more common fragmentation methods available on most instruments have also been developed and include the low-energy beam-type CID- and HCD-MS/MS methods that generate spectra rich in oxonium and B-type ions useful in structure-focused glycopeptide analysis (discussed below).

Finally, ultraviolet photodissociation (UVPD)-MS/MS, which utilises high-energy laser photons for the activation and fragmentation of ions represents a less conventional fragmentation method of glycopeptides [220–222]. HCD-triggered-UVPD-MS/MS and EThcD-MS/MS were recently tested for their ability to locate *O*-GlcNAc sites on peptides from recombinant human and *Drosophila melanogaster* glycoproteins [223]. In that study, 193 nm UVPD-MS/MS showed a considerable potential for accurate site allocation by generating a

range of *O*-GlcNAc-containing peptide backbone fragments (a-/x- and c-/z-type ions) and in fact outperformed EThcD-MS/MS with respect to the spectral quality and the sequence coverage of the identified glycopeptides [223]. In another study, high-energy UV (213 nm) combined with low-energy infrared photodissociation (10.6 μm) (HiLoPD) was applied to an *O*-GalNAc-peptide from human erythropoietin [224]. The authors demonstrated that HiLoPD showed a higher backbone ion retention efficiency of GalNAc than UVPD-MS/MS. Despite these promising reports, future experiments are required to evaluate the potential of UVPD-MS/MS for large-scale glycopeptide analysis.

Diagnostic ions for glycoform-specific assignment

Similar to the use of diagnostic ions to identify glycan fine structures in LC–MS/MS-based glycomics [175,176,225], diagnostic ions are important for the glycoform-specific assignment of glycopeptide spectra. Diagnostic ions have been used to discriminate isomeric glycopeptide features such as *O*-GlcNAc *versus* *O*-GalNAc glycopeptides [226,227], sialyl linkage of both *N*- and *O*-sialoglycopeptides [228], core *versus* antenna fucosylated *N*-glycopeptides [229–231], antenna *versus* bisecting GlcNAcylated *N*-glycopeptides [230,232], and glycopeptides containing various other *N*- and *O*-glycan motifs [231,233–235]. Some examples of diagnostic ions for the glycoform-specific assignment of glycopeptides are briefly discussed below.

The ratio between the signal intensities of two groups of two HexNAc-specific oxonium ions i.e. (m/z 138 + m/z 168) and (m/z 126 + m/z 144), referred as the GlcNAc/GalNAc ratio, generated using ion trap CID- or HCD-MS/MS, is reportedly able to discriminate between GlcNAc- and GalNAc-containing *N*- and *O*-glycopeptides [226,227]. In support, multiple glycoproteomics studies have applied this approach to distinguish extended α-linked GalNAc and β-linked GlcNAc *O*-glycopeptides [36,131,236,237], glycopeptides carrying either a single *O*-GlcNAc or *O*-GalNAc residue [238] or, more crudely, to simply classify *O*- and *N*-glycan types on peptides [41,197]. Furthermore, α2,3- and α2,6-sialyl linkage information was obtained by determining the signal intensity ratio of the HCD-MS/MS-derived oxonium ions specific for LacNAc (m/z 204 + m/z 366) and NeuAc (m/z 274 + m/z 292) following an elaborate signal normalisation process that considers both the glycan composition and the LacNAc structure (Galβ1,3GalNAc or Galβ1,4GlcNAc) [228].

Different diagnostic ion signal intensity ratios were also reported to inform on structural features of the glycan antenna. For example, core (α1,6-) and antenna (α1,2/3/4-) fucosylation can be differentiated based on the intensity ratios of B- or Y-type ions generated upon low-energy beam-type CID-MS/MS as demonstrated on glycopeptides derived from human prostate specific antigen and α-1-acid glycoprotein [229]. Moreover, diagnostic ions for HexNAc$_1$Hex$_1$Fuc$_1$ (m/z 512) and HexNAc$_1$Hex$_1$Fuc$_1$NeuAc$_1$ (m/z 803) were reported to evidence antenna-localised fucosylation [230]. The authors noted that these diagnostic ions were also present, albeit at low levels, in spectra arising from core fucosylated glycopeptides [229,230]. Conversely, core fucosylation can reportedly be identified based on characteristic Y1-type ions (peptide + GlcNAc$_1$Fuc$_1$) generated at relatively high dissociation energies [229].

Furthermore, characteristic Y-type ions (i.e. peptide + HexNAc$_3$Hex$_1$ and peptide + HexNAc$_3$Hex$_1$Fuc$_1$) generated via low-energy HCD-MS/MS can be used to indicate bisecting GlcNAc-containing glycopeptides as demonstrated for different mammalian model glycoproteins and glycoproteins from rat renal tissues [230,232]. B- and Y-type ions generated upon EThcD-MS/MS carried out with low supplemental energy were also found to be useful to establish the glycan topology as was shown for extended *O*-sialoglycopeptides obtained from human urine [234,235]. Diagnostic B-type ions generated by low-energy HCD-MS/MS were also found to reveal various structural motifs of the glycan antenna of glycopeptides derived from recombinant human glycoproteins and HEK293 secretomes including outer arm *N,N*-diacetyllactosamine (LacdiNAc), sialylated LacdiNAc, fucosylated LacdiNAc, sialylated GalNAc, and polyLacNAc amongst other motifs [231]. Furthermore, a Hex$_2$HexNAc$_1$ (m/z 528.19) to Hex$_1$HexNAc$_1$ (m/z 366.14) intensity ratio above 0.3 upon SCE-HCD-MS/MS was recently shown to indicate the presence of α-galactosyl epitopes (i.e. Galα1,3Galβ1,4GlcNAc-R) carried by complex-type *N*-glycopeptides as demonstrated for mice spleen and lung tissues and plasma [233]. The absence of a particular Y-type ion (peptide + HexNAc$_2$Hex$_4$) characteristic for hybrid- or oligomannosidic-type *N*-glycans represents complementary evidence of α-galactosylation. Finally, negative-mode detection in LC–MS/MS-based glycopeptide analysis may enhance the information of the fine structure of peptide-linked glycans by generating diverse glycan fragment ions upon ion trap CID-, HCD-MS/MS and CID-MS^3 [239].

Lastly, albeit not the focus of this review, it is worthwhile to highlight just a few exciting advances of glycopeptide-search algorithms and machine learning tools that have opened interesting avenues for more efficient classification of *N*- and *O*-glycopeptides and their isomeric structural features [96,97]. Developments in

such informatics areas have for example enabled the crude classification of *N*- and *O*-glycopeptides from human serum based on the intensities of various oxonium ions generated by HCD-MS/MS [240]. Machine learning-based classification was also applied to discriminate core- *versus* antenna-fucosylation using B- and Y-type ions generated by ion trap CID-MS/MS as demonstrated for *N*-glycopeptides from human plasma proteins [241,242]. Finally, a new computational algorithm named O-GlycoProteome Analyzer was shown to be able to identify mucin-type *O*-glycosylation (e.g. core 1 *versus* core 2) in human urine and plasma using the GlcNAc/GalNAc ratio (see above) and other B- and Y-type diagnostic ions generated by HCD-MS/MS [243].

Collectively, the above studies reporting on diverse improvements in the LC–MS/MS-based detection of glycopeptides illustrate the immense potential of using advanced fragmentation methods and diagnostic ions in innovative ways to elucidate glycan fine structural features at the glycopeptide level. It is clear that further optimisation of the dissociation methods including the applied energy setups, activation times and triggering mode (s) as well as comprehensive benchmarking and standardisation across instruments and informatics improvements are still required to enhance the utility of diagnostic ions for large-scale glycopeptide characterisation in future glycoproteomics experiments. Efforts to reduce the co-isolation of glycopeptide precursors and attempts to limit the in-source fragmentation of labile glycopeptide features, both recognised as significant analytical challenges in glycoproteomics [58,227,244,245], are examples of other important areas that should receive attention as the glycoproteomics methods develop.

Conclusion

This review has compiled and surveyed a considerable body of recent literature, some papers literally 'hot-off-the-press', employing quantitative glycoproteomics to study the complexity and dynamics of the human and other mammalian glycoproteomes with unprecedented sensitivity and coverage. The increasing publication activity and quality in this area of science from an expanding group of research laboratories around the world is highly encouraging and indicates that the glycoproteomics technologies are reaching (and are actively being applied by) the community. As abundantly exemplified in Table 1, quantitative glycoproteomics is now sufficiently mature to tackle a range of complex biological questions from new angles. Human health and disease appear to be principle application areas of the recent glycoproteomics studies, but given the ubiquitous nature of glycoproteins, the technologies discussed herein can readily or with some adjustments be applied more widely to other biological areas of life science.

As the sample handling, instrumentation and bioinformatics solutions for glycopeptide analysis continue to evolve, it is expected that studies achieving an even greater glycoproteome coverage will soon emerge allowing us to access the low-to-medium abundance glycoproteins in complex biological specimens. The innovative use of new mucin-friendly proteases to generate *O*-glycopeptides with favourable MS properties is particularly exciting since such novel approaches will dramatically benefit studies seeking to improve the coverage of the hitherto 'dark' mucin *O*-glycoproteome.

While such advances promise to reveal many of the secrets hidden in the low abundance glycoproteome, it is crucial that the technology improvements also eventually will open avenues for glycopeptide profiling directly from biological samples without the need for glycopeptide enrichment or other types of sample perturbation. Methods compatible with minimal sample handling and processing are required to generate workflows with higher sample throughput and reproducibility, and, importantly, to establish a fully quantitative view of the glycoproteome including information of the site occupancy currently not captured with most existing methods.

Moreover, ensuring high identification accuracy of the reported glycopeptides is imperative. Automated identification of intact glycopeptides is a comparably complex and highly error-prone process relative to the analyte identification in most other areas of proteomics [25,45,97]. Robust probability-based false discovery rate-driven search engines and algorithms for intact glycopeptides are still required to propel the field forward. Adding to the informatics advances needed to achieve such important improvements, '*glycomics-assisted glycoproteomics*' represents a complementary strategy that can guide the glycoproteomics data analysis to lower the glycopeptide false discovery rates while simultaneously addressing the complexity of the glycome [35,43,67,246,247].

Another significant limitation, indeed the focus of this review, is that current glycoproteomics methods still only allow assignment of generic monosaccharide compositions of glycans rather than the glycan fine structural features to discrete protein sites. It is widely recognised that knowledge of the glycan fine structures is a prerequisite to understand the effect of glycosylation on protein function. Technologies that can uncover the intricate details of the heterogenous glycan structures decorating each site of proteins in mixtures, herein referred to as '*structure-focused glycoproteomics*' have remained a holy grail of glycobiology [248].

As discussed in this review, the field has recently witnessed many exciting innovations supporting the advancement of structure-focused glycoproteomics. Importantly, these developments target different parts of the glycoproteomics workflow spanning sample preparation including new glycoform-selective proteases and chemoenzymatic labelling and enrichment methods, over innovations within the separation sciences involving both improved LC, CE and IMS approaches to improvements in diverse areas of mass spectrometry-based detection. The emerging *O*-glycan-specific mucinases and chemoenzymatic labelling approaches, and advances in the acquisition and fragmentation schemes yielding high content fragment mass spectra appear to dominate the innovation space and are likely to contribute significantly to glycoform-focused *O*- and *N*-glycoproteome profiling in the near future. We surprisingly did not identify any large-scale glycopeptide studies using sequential exoglycosidase treatment to obtain information of the terminal glycoepitopes, a strategy often used in glycomics [249], highlighting just one example serving to illustrate that all methodological avenues are still not explored in the context of structure-focused glycoproteomics.

Notwithstanding these exciting developments, structure-focused glycoproteomics remains in its infancy and the field still faces significant challenges ahead. Similar to the existing composition-focused glycopeptide mapping technologies, structure-focused glycoproteomics should ideally move towards unbiased profiling and quantitation of the glycoproteome. This is particularly important when the technology is applied in 'discovery-mode' as opposed to targeted glycoproteomics studies where a specific glycopeptide form or a class of glycoepitopes are profiled to address a specific research question. Most innovations discussed herein including the developments of new labelling, digestion and enrichment methods target specific subsets of the glycoproteome and are thus incompatible with unbiased quantitative glycoproteome profiling. In contrast, LC–MS/MS methods that can elucidate subtle differences of all introduced glycopeptide forms either by improved separation and/or via mass spectral evidence may in our view have a stronger potential to facilitate fully quantitative yet still structure-centric glycoproteome profiling in the future.

Finally, it is clear that the field of structure-focused glycoproteomics should already now begin to prepare for a downstream integration with the other 'omics disciplines including glycomics, transcriptomics, and metabolomics, while simultaneously paying close attention to and align with the exciting advances emerging in the area of top-down analysis of intact glycoproteins [250–254].

Glycoscientists have for years recognised that no single technology can provide a holistic and comprehensive understanding of the structural and functional heterogeneity inherently associated with protein glycosylation. While a full implementation of structure-focused glycoproteomics hold a tremendous potential to enhance our insight into pending glycobiological questions, it is clear that such technologies are but few amongst many tools that glycoscientists will need to draw on to explore the fascinating world of glycobiology in years ahead.

Perspectives

- **Importance**: Glycoproteomics is a powerful quantitative technology that enables scientists to study the entire complement of glycoproteins expressed by cells, tissues or organisms at a given time, space and condition. Detailed site-specific characterisation of glycan structures in a glycoproteome-wide context, herein referred to as '*structure-focused glycoproteomics*' is considered one of the next frontiers to be targeted to advance the field further and to address many pending questions in glycobiology.

- **Current understanding and challenges**: Our survey has revealed a rapidly growing body of literature employing quantitative glycoproteomics to study the dynamics and complexity of the glycoproteome with unprecedented sensitivity and coverage demonstrating that the technology is maturing and ready to be applied to biology. Notably, many novel innovations spanning new chemoenzymatic labelling, digestion, enrichment, separation and mass spectrometry detection strategies compatible with structure-focused glycoproteomics have recently emerged revealing glycan fine structural details with protein site resolution. Challenges in the field include a high false discovery rate of glycopeptides reported in glycoproteomics papers, a lack of standardisation of the data collection, analysis, interpretation, reporting and sharing, and incomplete integration with other 'omics disciplines (glycomics, transcriptomics..) and top-down MS approaches.

- **Future directions**: Our review illustrates that structure-focused glycoproteomics holds a considerable potential to become a key tool in the emerging area of systems glycobiology and unlock secrets of the glycoproteome in years to come.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Open Access

Open access for this article was enabled by the participation of Macquarie University in an all-inclusive *Read & Publish* pilot with Portland Press and the Biochemical Society under a transformative agreement with CAUL.

Author Contributions

A.C., R.K. and M.T.-A. wrote the review.

Abbreviations

AANL, *Agrocybe aegerita* lectin; AD, Alzheimer's disease; ADR, Adriamycin; AI-ETD, activated-ion electron transfer dissociation; BPH, benign prostatic hyperplasia; CE, capillary electrophoresis; CID, collision-induced dissociation; ConA, concanavalin A; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; Da, Dalton; DDA, data-dependent acquisition; DIA, data-independent acquisition; di-sT, di-sialyl-T antigen; ETD, electron-transfer dissociation; EThcD, electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation; Fuc, L-fucose; Gal, D-galactose; GalNAc, *N*-acetyl-D-galactosamine; GalNAz, *N*-azidoacetylgalactosamine; GlcNAc, *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCD, higher-energy collision dissociation; Hex, hexose; HexNAc, *N*-acetylhexosamine; HILIC, hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography; IMS, ion mobility spectrometry; LacdiNAc, *N,N*-diacetyllactosamine; LC, liquid chromatography; MS, mass spectrometry; MS/MS, tandem mass spectrometry; NCE, normalised collision energy; NeuAc, *N*-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid; NeuGc, *N*-glycolyl-D-neuraminic acid; PCa, prostate cancer; pd, product-dependent; PGC, porous graphitised carbon; PRM, parallel reaction monitoring; Pro, proline; Q-ToF, quadrupole time-of-flight; RCA, *Ricinus communis* agglutinin; SA, supplemental activation; SAGR, smart anti-glycan reagent; SCE, stepped collision energy; Ser, serine; SIM, selected ion monitoring; SPE, solid phase extraction; sT, sialyl-T antigen; sTn, sialyl-Tn antigen; Thr, threonine; TMT, tandem mass tag; UDP, uridine diphosphate; UVPD, ultraviolet photodissociation; VVA, *Vicia villosa* agglutinin; WGA, wheat germ agglutinin; XIC, extracted ion chromatogram; ZIC-HILIC, zwitterionic-hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography.

References

1 Tran, D.T. and Ten Hagen, K.G. (2013) Mucin-type O-glycosylation during development. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 6921–6920 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R112.418558

2 Kaltner, H., Abad-Rodriguez, J., Corfield, A.P., Kopitz, J. and Gabius, H.J. (2019) The sugar code: letters and vocabulary, writers, editors and readers and biosignificance of functional glycan-lectin pairing. *Biochem. J.* **476**, 2623–2655 https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20170853

3 Lin, B., Qing, X., Liao, J. and Zhuo, K. (2020) Role of protein glycosylation in host-pathogen interaction. *Cells* **9**, 1022 https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9041022

4 Thompson, A.J., de Vries, R.P. and Paulson, J.C. (2019) Virus recognition of glycan receptors. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **34**, 117–129 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2019.01.004

5 Pereira, M.S., Alves, I., Vicente, M., Campar, A., Silva, M.C., Padrao, N.A. et al. (2018) Glycans as key checkpoints of T cell activity and function. *Front. Immunol.* **9**, 2754 https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02754

6 Ugonotti, J., Chatterjee, S. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2020) Structural and functional diversity of neutrophil glycosylation in innate immunity and related disorders. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 100882 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2020.100882

7 Reily, C., Stewart, T.J., Renfrow, M.B. and Novak, J. (2019) Glycosylation in health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **15**, 346–366 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0129-4

8   Goth, C.K., Vakhrushev, S.Y., Joshi, H.J., Clausen, H. and Schjoldager, K.T. (2018) Fine-tuning limited proteolysis: a major role for regulated site-specific O-glycosylation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **43**, 269–284 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2018.02.005

9   Varki, A. (2017) Biological roles of glycans. *Glycobiology* **27**, 3–49 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww086

10 Sumer-Bayraktar, Z., Grant, O.C., Venkatakrishnan, V., Woods, R.J., Packer, N.H. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2016) Asn347 glycosylation of corticosteroid-binding globulin fine-tunes the host immune response by modulating proteolysis by Pseudomonas aeruginosa and neutrophil elastase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 17727–17742 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.735258

11 Rodrigues, J.G., Balmana, M., Macedo, J.A., Pocas, J., Fernandes, A., de-Freitas-Junior, J.C.M. et al. (2018) Glycosylation in cancer: selected roles in tumour progression, immune modulation and metastasis. *Cell. Immunol.* **333**, 46–57 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2018.03.007

12 Zhang, L. and Ten Hagen, K.G. (2018) Pleiotropic effects of O-glycosylation in colon cancer. *J. Biol. Chem.* **293**, 1315–1316 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.H117.812826

13 Chatterjee, S., Lee, L.Y., Kawahara, R., Abrahams, J.L., Adamczyk, B., Anugraham, M. et al. (2019) Protein paucimannosylation is an enriched N-glycosylation signature of human cancers. *Proteomics* **19**, e1900010 https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201900010

14 Loke, I., Kolarich, D., Packer, N.H. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2016) Emerging roles of protein mannosylation in inflammation and infection. *Mol. Aspects Med.* **51**, 31–55 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2016.04.004

15 Bhat, A.H., Maity, S., Giri, K. and Ambatipudi, K. (2019) Protein glycosylation: sweet or bitter for bacterial pathogens? *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **45**, 82–102 https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2018.1547681

16 Hare, N.J., Lee, L.Y., Loke, I., Britton, W.J., Saunders, B.M. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2017) Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection manipulates the glycosylation machinery and the N-glycoproteome of human macrophages and their microparticles. *J. Proteome Res.* **16**, 247–263 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00685

17 Delannoy, C., Huang, C., Coddeville, B., Chen, J.-Y., Mouajjah, D., Groux-Degroote, S. et al. (2020) Mycobacterium bovis BCG infection alters the macrophage N-glycome. *Mol. Omics* **16**, 345–354 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9MO00173E

18 Mealer, R.G., Williams, S.E., Daly, M.J., Scolnick, E.M., Cummings, R.D. and Smoller, J.W. (2020) Glycobiology and schizophrenia: a biological hypothesis emerging from genomic research. *Mol. Psychiatry* **25**, 3129–3139 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-0753-1

19 Stambuk, T., Klasic, M., Zoldos, V. and Lauc, G. (2020) N-glycans as functional effectors of genetic and epigenetic disease risk. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 100891 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2020.100891

20 Axford, J., Alavi, A., Cummings, R., Lauc, G., Opdenakker, G., Reis, C. et al. (2019) Translational glycobiology: from bench to bedside. *J. R. Soc. Med.* **112**, 424–427 https://doi.org/10.1177/0141076819865863

21 Chang, D. and Zaia, J. (2019) Why glycosylation matters in building a better Flu vaccine. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **18**, 2348–2358 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.R119.001491

22 Thaysen-Andersen, M., Packer, N.H. and Schulz, B.L. (2016) Maturing glycoproteomics technologies provide unique structural insights into the N-glycoproteome and Its regulation in health and disease. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **15**, 1773–1790 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.O115.057638

23 Thaysen-Andersen, M. and Packer, N.H. (2014) Advances in LC-MS/MS-based glycoproteomics: getting closer to system-wide site-specific mapping of the N- and O-glycoproteome. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1844**, 1437–1452 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2014.05.002

24 Levery, S.B., Steentoft, C., Halim, A., Narimatsu, Y., Clausen, H. and Vakhrushev, S.Y. (2015) Advances in mass spectrometry driven O-glycoproteomics. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1850**, 33–42 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2014.09.026

25 Darula, Z. and Medzihradszky, K.F. (2018) Analysis of mammalian O-glycopeptides-we have made a good start, but there is a long way to go. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **17**, 2–17 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.MR117.000126

26 Khoo, K.H. (2019) Advances toward mapping the full extent of protein site-specific O-GalNAc glycosylation that better reflects underlying glycomic complexity. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **56**, 146–154 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2019.02.007

27 Yang, Y., Franc, V. and Heck, A.J.R. (2017) Glycoproteomics: a balance between high-throughput and in-depth analysis. *Trends Biotechnol.* **35**, 598–609 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.04.010

28 Ruhaak, L.R., Xu, G., Li, Q., Goonatilleke, E. and Lebrilla, C.B. (2018) Mass spectrometry approaches to glycomic and glycoproteomic analyses. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 7886–7930 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00732

29 Xiao, H., Suttapitugsakul, S., Sun, F. and Wu, R. (2018) Mass spectrometry-based chemical and enzymatic methods for global analysis of protein glycosylation. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **51**, 1796–1806 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00200

30 Narimatsu, H., Kaji, H., Vakhrushev, S.Y., Clausen, H., Zhang, H., Noro, E. et al. (2018) Current technologies for complex glycoproteomics and their applications to biology/disease-driven glycoproteomics. *J. Proteome Res.* **17**, 4097–4112 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00515

31 Yu, A., Zhao, J., Peng, W., Banazadeh, A., Williamson, S.D., Goli, M. et al. (2018) Advances in mass spectrometry-based glycoproteomics. *Electrophoresis* **39**, 3104–3122 https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201800272

32 Chen, Z., Huang, J. and Li, L. (2019) Recent advances in mass spectrometry (MS)-based glycoproteomics in complex biological samples. *Trends Analyt. Chem.* **118**, 880–892 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.10.009

33 Cipollo, J.F. and Parsons, L.M. (2020) Glycomics and glycoproteomics of viruses: mass spectrometry applications and insights toward structure-function relationships. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **39**, 371–409 https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21629

34 Suttapitugsakul, S., Sun, F. and Wu, R. (2020) Recent advances in glycoproteomic analysis by mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 267–291 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b04651

35 Parker, B.L., Thaysen-Andersen, M., Fazakerley, D.J., Holliday, M., Packer, N.H. and James, D.E. (2016) Terminal galactosylation and sialylation switching on membrane glycoproteins upon TNF-alpha-induced insulin resistance in adipocytes. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **15**, 141–153 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M115.054221

36 King, S.L., Joshi, H.J., Schjoldager, K.T., Halim, A., Madsen, T.D., Dziegiel, M.H. et al. (2017) Characterizing the O-glycosylation landscape of human plasma, platelets, and endothelial cells. *Blood Adv.* **1**, 429–442 https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2016002121

37 Liu, M.Q., Zeng, W.F., Fang, P., Cao, W.Q., Liu, C., Yan, G.Q. et al. (2017) pGlyco 2.0 enables precision N-glycoproteomics with comprehensive quality control and one-step mass spectrometry for intact glycopeptide identification. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 438 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00535-2

38 Alves, M.J., Kawahara, R., Viner, R., Colli, W., Mattos, E.C., Thaysen-Andersen, M. et al. (2017) Comprehensive glycoprofiling of the epimastigote and trypomastigote stages of Trypanosoma cruzi. *J. Proteomics* **151**, 182–192 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2016.05.034

39   Chen, Z.W., Yu, Q., Hao, L., Liu, F.B., Johnson, J., Tian, Z.C. et al. (2018) Site-specific characterization and quantitation of N-glycopeptides in PKM2 knockout breast cancer cells using DiLeu isobaric tags enabled by electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation (EThcD). *Analyst* **143**, 2508–2519 https://doi.org/10.1039/C8AN00216A

40   Yang, W., Ao, M., Hu, Y., Li, Q.K. and Zhang, H. (2018) Mapping the O-glycoproteome using site-specific extraction of O-linked glycopeptides (EXoO). *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **14**, e8486 https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20188486

41   Riley, N.M., Hebert, A.S., Westphall, M.S. and Coon, J.J. (2019) Capturing site-specific heterogeneity with large-scale N-glycoproteome analysis. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1311 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09222-w

42   Brown, C.J., Gaunitz, S., Wang, Z., Strindelius, L., Jacobson, S.C., Clemmer, D.E. et al. (2020) Glycoproteomic analysis of human urinary exosomes. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 14357–14365 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01952

43   Kawahara, R., Recuero, S., Srougi, M., Leite, K.R.M., Thaysen-Andersen, M. and Palmisano, G. (2020) The complexity and dynamics of the tissue glycoproteome associated with prostate cancer progression. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA120.002320

44   Darula, Z. and Medzihradszky, K.F. (2015) Carbamidomethylation side reactions may lead to glycan misassignments in glycopeptide analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **87**, 6297–6302 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01121

45   Lee, L.Y., Moh, E.S.X., Parker, B.L., Bern, M., Packer, N.H. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2016) Toward automated N-glycopeptide identification in glycoproteomics. *J. Proteome Res.* **15**, 3904–3915 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00438

46   Riley, N.M., Malaker, S.A. and Bertozzi, C.R. (2020) Electron-based dissociation is needed for O-glycopeptides derived from OpeRATOR proteolysis. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 14878–14884 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c02950

47   Moremen, K.W., Tiemeyer, M. and Nairn, A.V. (2012) Vertebrate protein glycosylation: diversity, synthesis and function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **13**, 448–462 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3383

48   Tjondro, H.C., Loke, I., Chatterjee, S. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2019) Human protein paucimannosylation: cues from the eukaryotic kingdoms. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **94**, 2068–2100 https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12548

49   Yang, G., Hu, Y., Sun, S., Ouyang, C., Yang, W., Wang, Q. et al. (2018) Comprehensive glycoproteomic analysis of Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 14294–14302 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b03520

50   Shu, Q., Li, M., Shu, L., An, Z., Wang, J., Lv, H. et al. (2020) Large-scale identification of N-linked intact glycopeptides in human serum using HILIC enrichment and spectral library search. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **19**, 672–689 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA119.001791

51   Bollineni, R.C., Koehler, C.J., Gislefoss, R.E., Anonsen, J.H. and Thiede, B. (2018) Large-scale intact glycopeptide identification by mascot database search. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 2117 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20331-2

52   Kawahara, R., Ortega, F., Rosa-Fernandes, L., Guimaraes, V., Quina, D., Nahas, W. et al. (2018) Distinct urinary glycoprotein signatures in prostate cancer patients. *Oncotarget* **9**, 33077–33097 https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.26005

53   Woo, C.M., Lund, P.J., Huang, A.C., Davis, M.M., Bertozzi, C.R. and Pitteri, S.J. (2018) Mapping and quantification of over 2000 O-linked glycopeptides in activated human T cells with isotope-targeted glycoproteomics (Isotag). *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **17**, 764–775 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA117.000261

54   Zhang, Y., Xie, X., Zhao, X., Tian, F., Lv, J., Ying, W. et al. (2018) Systems analysis of singly and multiply O-glycosylated peptides in the human serum glycoproteome via EThcD and HCD mass spectrometry. *J. Proteomics* **170**, 14–27 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2017.09.014

55   Wang, Y., Xu, F., Xiao, K., Chen, Y. and Tian, Z. (2019) Site- and structure-specific characterization of N-glycoprotein markers of MCF-7 cancer stem cells using isotopic-labelling quantitative N-glycoproteomics. *Chem. Commun.* **55**, 7934–7937 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CC04114A

56   Xiao, K.J. and Tian, Z.X. (2019) GPSeeker enables quantitative structural N-glycoproteomics for site- and structure-specific characterization of differentially expressed N-glycosylation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Proteome Res.* **18**, 2885–2895 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00191

57   Ye, Z., Mao, Y., Clausen, H. and Vakhrushev, S.Y. (2019) Glyco-DIA: a method for quantitative O-glycoproteomics with in silico-boosted glycopeptide libraries. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 902–910 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0504-x

58   Fang, P., Ji, Y., Silbern, I., Doebele, C., Ninov, M., Lenz, C. et al. (2020) A streamlined pipeline for multiplexed quantitative site-specific N-glycoproteomics. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5268 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19052-w

59   Hu, Y., Pan, J., Shah, P., Ao, M., Thomas, S.N., Liu, Y. et al. (2020) Integrated proteomic and glycoproteomic characterization of human high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Cell Rep.* **33**, 108276 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108276

60   Leung, K.K., Wilson, G.M., Kirkemo, L.L., Riley, N.M., Coon, J.J. and Wells, J.A. (2020) Broad and thematic remodeling of the surfaceome and glycoproteome on isogenic cells transformed with driving proliferative oncogenes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 7764–7775 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917947117

61   Shen, Y., Xiao, K. and Tian, Z. (2020) Site- and structure-specific characterization of human urinary N-glycoproteome with site-determining and structure-diagnostic product ions. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **35**, e8952 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8952

62   Xu, F., Wang, Y., Xiao, K., Hu, Y., Tian, Z. and Chen, Y. (2020) Quantitative site- and structure-specific N-glycoproteomics characterization of differential N-glycosylation in MCF-7/ADR cancer stem cells. *Clin. Proteomics* **17**, 3 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12014-020-9268-7

63   Zhang, Y., Mao, Y., Zhao, W., Su, T., Zhong, Y., Fu, L. et al. (2020) Glyco-CPLL: an integrated method for in-depth and comprehensive N-glycoproteome profiling of human plasma. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 655–666 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00557

64   Zhao, T., Jia, L., Li, J., Ma, C., Wu, J., Shen, J. et al. (2020) Heterogeneities of site-specific N-glycosylation in HCC tumors with low and high AFP concentrations. *Front. Oncol.* **10**, 496 https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00496

65   Zhao, X., Zheng, S., Li, Y., Huang, J., Zhang, W., Xie, Y. et al. (2020) An integrated mass spectroscopy data processing strategy for fast identification, in-depth, and reproducible quantification of protein O-glycosylation in a large cohort of human urine samples. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 690–698 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b02228

66   Zhu, J., Lin, Y.H., Dingess, K.A., Mank, M., Stahl, B. and Heck, A.J.R. (2020) Quantitative longitudinal inventory of the N-glycoproteome of human milk from a single donor reveals the highly variable repertoire and dynamic site-specific changes. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 1941–1952 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00753

67   Blazev, R., Ashwood, C., Abrahams, J.L., Chung, L.H., Francis, D., Yang, P. et al. (2020) Integrated glycoproteomics identifies a role of N-glycosylation and galectin-1 on myogenesis and muscle development. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA120.002166

68 Fang, P., Xie, J., Sang, S., Zhang, L., Liu, M., Yang, L. et al. (2020) Multilayered N-glycoproteome profiling reveals highly heterogeneous and dysregulated protein N-glycosylation related to Alzheimer's disease. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 867–874 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b03555

69 Li, J., Jia, L., Hao, Z., Xu, Y., Shen, J., Ma, C. et al. (2020) Site-specific N-glycoproteomic analysis reveals upregulated sialylation and core fucosylation during transient regeneration loss in neonatal mouse hearts. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 3191–3200 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00172

70 Yang, G., Hoti, N., Chen, S.Y., Zhou, Y., Wang, Q., Betenbaugh, M. et al. (2020) One-step enrichment of intact glycopeptides from glycoengineered Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Front. Chem.* **8**, 240 https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.00240

71 Zeng, W., Ford, K.L., Bacic, A. and Heazlewood, J.L. (2018) N-linked glycan micro-heterogeneity in glycoproteins of arabidopsis. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **17**, 413–421 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA117.000165

72 Zacchi, L.F. and Schulz, B.L. (2016) SWATH-MS glycoproteomics reveals consequences of defects in the glycosylation machinery. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **15**, 2435–2447 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M115.056366

73 Lin, C.H., Krisp, C., Packer, N.H. and Molloy, M.P. (2018) Development of a data independent acquisition mass spectrometry workflow to enable glycopeptide analysis without predefined glycan compositional knowledge. *J. Proteomics* **172**, 68–75 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2017.10.011

74 Pegg, C.L., Phung, T.K., Caboche, C.H., Niamsuphap, S., Bern, M., Howell, K. et al. (2020) Quantitative data independent acquisition glycoproteomics of sparkling wine. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA120.002181

75 Zhou, C. and Schulz, B.L. (2020) Glycopeptide variable window SWATH for improved data independent acquisition glycoprotein analysis. *Anal. Biochem.* **597**, 113667 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2020.113667

76 Mun, D.G., Renuse, S., Saraswat, M., Madugundu, A., Udainiya, S., Kim, H. et al. (2020) PASS-DIA: a data-Independent acquisition approach for discovery studies. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 14466–14475 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c02513

77 Bern, M., Kil, Y.J. and Becker, C. (2012) Byonic: advanced peptide and protein identification software. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics* https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1320s40

78 Roushan, A., Wilson, G.M., Kletter, D., Sen, K.I., Tang, W.H., Kil, Y.J. et al. (2020) Peak filtering, peak annotation, and wildcard search for glycoproteomics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA120.002260

79 Toghi Eshghi, S., Shah, P., Yang, W., Li, X. and Zhang, H. (2015) GPQuest: a spectral library matching algorithm for site-specific assignment of tandem mass spectra to intact N-glycopeptides. *Anal. Chem.* **87**, 5181–5188 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00024

80 Mysling, S., Palmisano, G., Højrup, P. and Thaysen-andersen, M. (2010) Utilizing ion-pairing hydrophilic interaction chromatography solid phase extraction for efficient glycopeptide enrichment in glycoproteomics. *Anal. Chem.* **82**, 5598–5609 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac100530w

81 Neue, K., Mormann, M., Peter-Katalinić, J. and Pohlentz, G. (2011) Elucidation of glycoprotein structures by unspecific proteolysis and direct nanoESI mass spectrometric analysis of ZIC-HILIC-enriched glycopeptides. *J. Proteome Res.* **10**, 2248–2260 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr101082c

82 Ahmad Izaham, A.R., Ang, C.S., Nie, S., Bird, L.E., Williamson, N.A. and Scott, N.E. (2020) What are we missing by using hydrophilic enrichment? improving bacterial glycoproteome coverage using total proteome and FAIMS analyses. *J. Proteome Res.* https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00565

83 Loke, I., Packer, N. and Thaysen-Andersen, M. (2015) Complementary LC-MS/MS-based N-glycan, N-glycopeptide, and intact N-glycoprotein profiling reveals unconventional Asn71-glycosylation of human neutrophil cathepsin G. *Biomolecules* **5**, 1832–1854 https://doi.org/10.3390/biom5031832

84 Steentoft, C., Vakhrushev, S.Y., Vester-Christensen, M.B., Schjoldager, K.T., Kong, Y., Bennett, E.P. et al. (2011) Mining the O-glycoproteome using zinc-finger nuclease-glycoengineered SimpleCell lines. *Nat. Methods* **8**, 977–982 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1731

85 Steentoft, C., Vakhrushev, S.Y., Joshi, H.J., Kong, Y., Vester-Christensen, M.B., Schjoldager, K.T.B.G. et al. (2013) Precision mapping of the human O-GalNAc glycoproteome through SimpleCell technology. *EMBO J.* **32**, 1478–1488 https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2013.79

86 Vakhrushev, S.Y., Steentoft, C., Vester-Christensen, M.B., Bennett, E.P., Clausen, H. and Levery, S.B. (2013) Enhanced mass spectrometric mapping of the human GalNAc-type O-glycoproteome with SimpleCells. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **12**, 932–944 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.0112.021972

87 Yang, Z., Halim, A., Narimatsu, Y., Jitendra Joshi, H., Steentoft, C., Schjoldager, K.T. et al. (2014) The GalNAc-type O-glycoproteome of CHO cells characterized by the SimpleCell strategy. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 3224–3235 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M114.041541

88 Campos, D., Freitas, D., Gomes, J., Magalhaes, A., Steentoft, C., Gomes, C. et al. (2015) Probing the O-glycoproteome of gastric cancer cell lines for biomarker discovery. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **14**, 1616–1629 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M114.046862

89 King, S.L., Goth, C.K., Eckhard, U., Joshi, H.J., Haue, A.D., Vakhrushev, S.Y. et al. (2018) TAILS N-terminomics and proteomics reveal complex regulation of proteolytic cleavage by O-glycosylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **293**, 7629–7644 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.001978

90 Lavrsen, K., Dabelsteen, S., Vakhrushev, S.Y., Levann, A.M.R., Haue, A.D., Dylander, A. et al. (2018) De novo expression of human polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase 6 (GalNAc-T6) in colon adenocarcinoma inhibits the differentiation of colonic epithelium. *J. Biol. Chem.* **293**, 1298–1314 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.812826

91 Narimatsu, Y., Joshi, H.J., Schjoldager, K.T., Hintze, J., Halim, A., Steentoft, C. et al. (2019) Exploring regulation of protein O-glycosylation in isogenic human HEK293 cells by differential O-glycoproteomics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **18**, 1396–1409 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA118.001121

92 Bagdonaite, I., Pallesen, E.M., Ye, Z., Vakhrushev, S.Y., Marinova, I.N., Nielsen, M.I. et al. (2020) O-glycan initiation directs distinct biological pathways and controls epithelial differentiation. *EMBO Rep.* **21**, e48885 https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201948885

93 Dabelsteen, S., Pallesen, E.M.H., Marinova, I.N., Nielsen, M.I., Adamopoulou, M., Rømer, T.B. et al. (2020) Essential functions of glycans in human epithelia dissected by a CRISPR-Cas9-engineered human organotypic skin model. *Dev. Cell* **54**, 669–84.e7 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2020.06.039

94 Polasky, D.A., Yu, F., Teo, G.C. and Nesvizhskii, A.I. (2020) Fast and comprehensive N- and O-glycoproteomics analysis with MSFragger-Glyco. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 1125–1132 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0967-9

95 Lu, L., Riley, N.M., Shortreed, M.R., Bertozzi, C.R. and Smith, L.M. (2020) O-Pair search with metaMorpheus for O-glycopeptide characterization. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 1133–1138 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00985-5

96 Abrahams, J.L., Taherzadeh, G., Jarvas, G., Guttman, A., Zhou, Y. and Campbell, M.P. (2020) Recent advances in glycoinformatic platforms for glycomics and glycoproteomics. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **62**, 56–69 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2019.11.009

97 Cao, W., Liu, M., Kong, S., Wu, M., Zhang, Y. and Yang, P. (2020) Recent advances in software tools for more generic and precise intact glycopeptide analysis. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.R120.002090

98 Noach, I., Ficko-Blean, E., Pluvinage, B., Stuart, C., Jenkins, M.L., Brochu, D. et al. (2017) Recognition of protein-linked glycans as a determinant of peptidase activity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, E679–EE88 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1615141114

99 Shon, D.J., Malaker, S.A., Pedram, K., Yang, E., Krishnan, V., Dorigo, O. et al. (2020) An enzymatic toolkit for selective proteolysis, detection, and visualization of mucin-domain glycoproteins. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 21299–21307 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2012196117

100 Haurat, M.F., Scott, N.E., Di Venanzio, G., Lopez, J., Pluvinage, B., Boraston, A.B. et al. (2020) The glycoprotease CpaA secreted by medically relevant acinetobacter species targets multiple O-Linked host glycoproteins. *mBio* **11**, e02033-20 https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02033-20

101 Yang, S., Onigman, P., Wu, W.W., Sjogren, J., Nyhlen, H., Shen, R.F. et al. (2018) Deciphering protein O-Glycosylation: solid-phase chemoenzymatic cleavage and enrichment. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 8261–8269 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b01834

102 Yang, W., Song, A., Ao, M., Xu, Y. and Zhang, H. (2020) Large-scale site-specific mapping of the O-GalNAc glycoproteome. *Nat. Protoc.* **15**, 2589–2610 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0345-1

103 Trastoy, B., Naegeli, A., Anso, I., Sjogren, J. and Guerin, M.E. (2020) Structural basis of mammalian mucin processing by the human gut O-glycopeptidase OgpA from Akkermansia muciniphila. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4844 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18696-y

104 Malaker, S.A., Pedram, K., Ferracane, M.J., Bensing, B.A., Krishnan, V., Pett, C. et al. (2019) The mucin-selective protease StcE enables molecular and functional analysis of human cancer-associated mucins. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 7278–7287 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1813020116

105 Neelamegham, S., Aoki-Kinoshita, K., Bolton, E., Frank, M., Lisacek, F., Lütteke, T. et al. (2019) Updates to the symbol nomenclature for glycans guidelines. *Glycobiology* **29**, 620–624 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwz045

106 Palaniappan, K.K. and Bertozzi, C.R. (2016) Chemical glycoproteomics. *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 14277–14306 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00023

107 Aguilar A, L., Briard, J.G., Yang, L., Ovryn, B., Macauley, M.S. and Wu, P. (2017) Tools for studying glycans: recent advances in chemoenzymatic glycan labeling. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **12**, 611–621 https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b01089

108 Han, S.S., Shim, H.E., Park, S.J., Kim, B.C., Lee, D.E., Chung, H.M. et al. (2018) Safety and optimization of metabolic labeling of endothelial progenitor cells for tracking. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 13212 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31594-0

109 Boyce, M., Carrico, I.S., Ganguli, A.S., Yu, S.H., Hangauer, M.J., Hubbard, S.C. et al. (2011) Metabolic cross-talk allows labeling of O-linked beta-N-acetylglucosamine-modified proteins via the N-acetylgalactosamine salvage pathway. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 3141–3146 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010045108

110 Woo, C.M., Iavarone, A.T., Spiciarich, D.R., Palaniappan, K.K. and Bertozzi, C.R. (2015) Isotope-targeted glycoproteomics (IsoTaG): a mass-independent platform for intact N- and O-glycopeptide discovery and analysis. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 561–567 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3366

111 Qin, W., Qin, K., Fan, X., Peng, L., Hong, W., Zhu, Y. et al. (2018) Artificial cysteine S-glycosylation induced by per-O-acetylated unnatural monosaccharides during metabolic glycan labeling. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **57**, 1817–1820 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201711710

112 Darabedian, N., Yang, B., Ding, R., Cutolo, G., Zaro, B.W., Woo, C.M. et al. (2020) O-acetylated chemical reporters of glycosylation can display metabolism-dependent background labeling of proteins but are generally reliable tools for the identification of glycoproteins. *Front. Chem.* **8**, 318 https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.00318

113 Qin, K., Zhang, H., Zhao, Z. and Chen, X. (2020) Protein S-glyco-modification through an elimination–addition mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 9382–9388 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c02110

114 Debets, M.F., Tastan, O.Y., Wisnovsky, S.P., Malaker, S.A., Angelis, N., Moeckl, L.K.R. et al. (2020) Metabolic precision labeling enables selective probing of O-linked N-acetylgalactosamine glycosylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 25293–25301 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007297117

115 Choi, J., Wagner, L.J.S., Timmermans, S., Malaker, S.A., Schumann, B., Gray, M.A. et al. (2019) Engineering orthogonal polypeptide GalNAc-transferase and UDP-sugar pairs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 13442–13453 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b04695

116 Schumann, B., Malaker, S.A., Wisnovsky, S.P., Debets, M.F., Agbay, A.J., Fernandez, D. et al. (2020) Bump-and-Hole engineering identifies specific substrates of glycosyltransferases in living cells. *Mol. Cell* **78**, 824–34.e15 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.03.030

117 Cioce, A., Malaker, S.A. and Schumann, B. (2020) Generating orthogonal glycosyltransferase and nucleotide sugar pairs as next-generation glycobiology tools. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **60**, 66–78 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.09.001

118 Li, M., Shen, J., Liu, X., Shao, J., Yi, W., Chow, C.S. et al. (2008) Identification of a new alpha1,2-fucosyltransferase involved in O-antigen biosynthesis of escherichia coli O86:B7 and formation of H-type 3 blood group antigen. *Biochemistry* **47**, 11590–7 https://doi.org/10.1021/bi801067s

119 Yi, W., Shen, J., Zhou, G., Li, J. and Wang, P.G. (2008) Bacterial homologue of human blood group A transferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 14420–1 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja805844y

120 Wen, L., Zheng, Y., Jiang, K., Zhang, M., Kondengaden, S.M., Li, S. et al. (2016) Two-step chemoenzymatic detection of N-acetylneuraminic acid-alpha (2-3)-Galactose glycans. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 11473–6 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b07132

121 Li, Q., Li, Z., Duan, X. and Yi, W. (2014) A tandem enzymatic approach for detecting and imaging tumor-associated thomsen-Friedreich antigen disaccharide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 12536–9 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja5054225

122 Zhu, H., Wang, S., Liu, D., Ding, L., Chen, C., Liu, Y. et al. (2020) Identifying sialylation linkages at the glycopeptide level by glycosyltransferase labeling assisted mass spectrometry (GLAMS). *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 6297–6303 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b05068

123 Wen, L., Liu, D., Zheng, Y., Huang, K., Cao, X., Song, J. et al. (2018) A One-step chemoenzymatic labeling strategy for probing sialylated thomsen–Friedenreich antigen. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **4**, 451–457 https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.7b00573

124 Fu, C., Zhao, H., Wang, Y., Cai, H., Xiao, Y., Zeng, Y. et al. (2016) Tumor-associated antigens: Tn antigen, sTn antigen, and T antigen. *HLA* **88**, 275–286 https://doi.org/10.1111/tan.12900

125 Rodríguez, E., Schetters, S.T.T. and Van Kooyk, Y. (2018) The tumour glyco-code as a novel immune checkpoint for immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **18**, 204–211 https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2018.3

126 Zheng, J., Xiao, H. and Wu, R. (2017) Specific identification of glycoproteins bearing the Tn antigen in human cells. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **56**, 7107–7111 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201702191

127 You, X., Yao, Y., Mao, J., Qin, H., Liang, X., Wang, L. et al. (2018) Chemoenzymatic approach for the proteomics analysis of mucin-type core-1 O-glycosylation in human serum. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 12714–12722 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02993

128 Yang, W., Ao, M., Song, A., Xu, Y., Sokoll, L. and Zhang, H. (2020) Mass spectrometric mapping of glycoproteins modified by Tn-Antigen using solid-Phase capture and enzymatic release. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 9230–9238 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01564

129 Qin, K., Zhu, Y., Qin, W., Gao, J., Shao, X., Wang, Y.-L. et al. (2018) Quantitative profiling of protein O-GlcNAcylation sites by an isotope-tagged cleavable linker. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **13**, 1983–1989 https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.8b00414

130 Li, J., Li, Z., Duan, X., Qin, K., Dang, L., Sun, S. et al. (2019) An isotope-coded photocleavable probe for quantitative profiling of protein O-GlcNAcylation. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **14**, 4–10 https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.8b01052

131 Xu, S., Sun, F. and Wu, R. (2020) A chemoenzymatic method based on easily accessible enzymes for profiling protein O-GlcNAcylation. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 9807–9814 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01284

132 Varki, A. and Gagneux, P. (2012) Multifarious roles of sialic acids in immunity. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1253**, 16–36 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06517.x

133 Zhou, X., Yang, G. and Guan, F. (2020) Biological functions and analytical strategies of sialic acids in tumor. *Cells* **9**, 273 https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9020273

134 Yang, S., Wu, W.W., Shen, R.F., Bern, M. and Cipollo, J. (2018) Identification of sialic acid linkages on intact glycopeptides via differential chemical modification using IntactGIG-HILIC. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **29**, 1273–1283 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-018-1931-0

135 Riley, N.M., Bertozzi, C.R. and Pitteri, S.J. (2020) A pragmatic guide to enrichment strategies for mass spectrometry-based glycoproteomics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.R120.002277

136 Nascimento ASF, D., Serna, S., Beloqui, A., Arda, A., Sampaio, A.H., Walcher, J. et al. (2015) Algal lectin binding to core (α1–6) fucosylated N-glycans: structural basis for specificity and production of recombinant protein. *Glycobiology* **25**, 607–616 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwv002

137 Kobayashi, Y., Tateno, H., Dohra, H., Moriwaki, K., Miyoshi, E., Hirabayashi, J. et al. (2012) A novel core fucose-specific lectin from the mushroom Pholiota squarrosa. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 33973–33982 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.327692

138 Cabanettes, A., Perkams, L., Spies, C., Unverzagt, C. and Varrot, A. (2018) Recognition of complex core-fucosylated N-glycans by a mini lectin. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **57**, 10178–10181 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201805165

139 Vainauskas, S., Duke, R.M., McFarland, J., McClung, C., Ruse, C. and Taron, C.H. (2016) Profiling of core fucosylated N-glycans using a novel bacterial lectin that specifically recognizes alpha1,6 fucosylated chitobiose. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34195 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34195

140 Allam, H., Aoki, K., Benigno, B.B., McDonald, J.F., Mackintosh, S.G., Tiemeyer, M. et al. (2015) Glycomic analysis of membrane glycoproteins with bisecting glycosylation from ovarian cancer tissues reveals novel structures and functions. *J. Proteome Res.* **14**, 434–446 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr501174p

141 Nagae, M., Kanagawa, M., Morita-Matsumoto, K., Hanashima, S., Kizuka, Y., Taniguchi, N. et al. (2016) Atomic visualization of a flipped-back conformation of bisected glycans bound to specific lectins. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 22973 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22973

142 Lastovickova, M., Strouhalova, D. and Bobalova, J. (2020) Use of lectin-based affinity techniques in breast cancer glycoproteomics: a review. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 1885–1899 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00818

143 Napoletano, C., Steentoff, C., Battisti, F., Ye, Z., Rahimi, H., Zizzari, I.G. et al. (2020) Investigating patterns of immune interaction in ovarian cancer: probing the O-glycoproteome by the macrophage galactose-like C-type lectin (MGL). *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, 2841 https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12102841

144 Norton, P., Comunale, M.A., Herrera, H., Wang, M., Houser, J., Wimmerova, M. et al. (2016) Development and application of a novel recombinant aleuria aurantia lectin with enhanced core fucose binding for identification of glycoprotein biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Proteomics* **16**, 3126–3136 https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201600064

145 Su, Y., Ye, X., Xu, B., Li, Y., Yang, Q., Yu, W. et al. (2020) CBS homogenization mutation strategy narrows the glycan binding profile of a GlcNAc-specific lectin AANL. *Glycobiology* **30**, 159–173 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwz089

146 Yabe, R., Suzuki, R., Kuno, A., Fujimoto, Z., Jigami, Y. and Hirabayashi, J. (2006) Tailoring a novel sialic acid-binding lectin from a ricin-B chain-like galactose-binding protein by natural evolution-mimicry. *J. Biochem.* **141**, 389–399 https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvm043

147 Yabe, R., Itakura, Y., Nakamura-Tsuruta, S., Iwaki, J., Kuno, A. and Hirabayashi, J. (2009) Engineering a versatile tandem repeat-type alpha2-6sialic acid-binding lectin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **384**, 204–209 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.04.090

148 Hu, D., Tateno, H., Kuno, A., Yabe, R. and Hirabayashi, J. (2012) Directed evolution of lectins with sugar-binding specificity for 6-sulfo-galactose. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 20313–20320 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.351965

149 Hirabayashi, J. and Arai, R. (2019) Lectin engineering: the possible and the actual. *Interface Focus* **9**, 20180068 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2018.0068

150 Mariappa, D., Selvan, N., Borodkin, V., Alonso, J., Ferenbach, A.T., Shepherd, C. et al. (2015) A mutant O-GlcNAcase as a probe to reveal global dynamics of protein O-GlcNAcylation during drosophila embryonic development. *Biochem. J.* **470**, 255–262 https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20150610

151 Selvan, N., Williamson, R., Mariappa, D., Campbell, D.G., Gourlay, R., Ferenbach, A.T. et al. (2017) A mutant O-GlcNAcase enriches Drosophila developmental regulators. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **13**, 882–887 https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2404

152 Loureiro, L.R., Sousa, D.P., Ferreira, D., Chai, W., Lima, L., Pereira, C. et al. (2018) Novel monoclonal antibody L2A5 specifically targeting sialyl-Tn and short glycans terminated by alpha-2-6 sialic acids. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 12196 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30421-w

153 Steentoft, C., Migliorini, D., King, T.R., Mandel, U., June, C.H. and Posey, A.D. (2018) Glycan-directed CAR-T cells. *Glycobiology* **28**, 656–669 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwy008

154 Matsumoto, Y., Kudelka, M.R., Hanes, M.S., Lehoux, S., Dutta, S., Jones, M.B. et al. (2020) Identification of Tn antigen O-GalNAc-expressing glycoproteins in human carcinomas using novel anti-Tn recombinant antibodies. *Glycobiology* **30**, 282–300 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwz095

155 Steentoft, C., Fuhrmann, M., Battisti, F., Van Coillie, J., Madsen, T.D., Campos, D. et al. (2019) A strategy for generating cancer-specific monoclonal antibodies to aberrant O-glycoproteins: identification of a novel dysadherin-Tn antibody. *Glycobiology* **29**, 307–319 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwz004

156 McKitrick, T.R., Goth, C.K., Rosenberg, C.S., Nakahara, H., Heimburg-Molinaro, J., McQuillan, A.M. et al. (2020) Development of smart anti-glycan reagents using immunized lampreys. *Commun. Biol.* **3**, 91 https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-0819-2

157 McKitrick, T.R., Eris, D., Mondal, N., Aryal, R.P., McCurley, N., Heimburg-Molinaro, J. et al. (2020) Antibodies from lampreys as smart anti-glycan reagents (SAGRs): perspectives on their specificity, structure, and glyco-genomics. *Biochemistry* **59**, 3111–3122 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.9b01015

158 Bie, Z., Chen, Y., Ye, J., Wang, S. and Liu, Z. (2015) Boronate-affinity glycan-oriented surface imprinting: a new strategy to mimic lectins for the recognition of an intact glycoprotein and Its characteristic fragments. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **54**, 10211–5 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201503066

159 Bie, Z., Xing, R., He, X., Ma, Y., Chen, Y. and Liu, Z. (2018) Precision imprinting of glycopeptides for facile preparation of glycan-specific artificial antibodies. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 9845–9852 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b01903

160 Reyes, C.D.G., Jiang, P., Donohoo, K., Atashi, M. and Mechref, Y. (2020) Glycomics and glycoproteomics: approaches to address isomeric separation of glycans and glycopeptides. *J. Sep. Sci.* 1–25 https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.202000878

161 Choo, M.S., Wan, C., Rudd, P.M. and Nguyen-Khuong, T. (2019) GlycopeptideGraphMS: improved glycopeptide detection and identification by exploiting graph theoretical patterns in mass and retention time. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 7236–7244 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b00594

162 Ang, E., Neustaeter, H., Spicer, V., Perreault, H. and Krokhin, O. (2019) Retention time prediction for glycopeptides in reversed-phase chromatography for glycoproteomic applications. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 13360–6 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b02584

163 Klein, J. and Zaia, J. (2020) Relative retention time estimation improves N-glycopeptide identifications by LC-MS/MS. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 2113–2121 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00051

164 Ji, E.S., Lee, H.K., Park, G.W., Kim, K.H., Kim, J.Y. and Yoo, J.S. (2019) Isomer separation of sialylated O- and N-linked glycopeptides using reversed-phase LC-MS/MS at high temperature. *J. Chromatogr. B* **1110–1111**, 101–107 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.02.015

165 Hinneburg, H., Chatterjee, S., Schirmeister, F., Nguyen-Khuong, T., Packer, N.H., Rapp, E. et al. (2019) Post-column make-up flow (PCMF) enhances the performance of capillary-flow PGC-LC-MS/MS-based glycomics. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 4559–4567 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05720

166 Sethi, M.K., Kim, H., Park, C.K., Baker, M.S., Paik, Y.K., Packer, N.H. et al. (2015) In-depth N-glycome profiling of paired colorectal cancer and non-tumorigenic tissues reveals cancer-, stage- and EGFR-specific protein N-glycosylation. *Glycobiology* **25**, 1064–1078 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwv042

167 Froehlich, J.W., Barboza, M., Chu, C., Lerno, L.A., Clowers, B.H., Zivkovic, A.M. et al. (2011) Nano-LC–MS/MS of glycopeptides produced by nonspecific proteolysis enables rapid and extensive site-specific glycosylation determination. *Anal. Chem.* **83**, 5541–5547 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac2003888

168 Nwosu, C.C., Huang, J., Aldredge, D.L., Strum, J.S., Hua, S., Seipert, R.R. et al. (2013) In-gel nonspecific proteolysis for elucidating glycoproteins: a method for targeted protein-specific glycosylation analysis in complex protein mixtures. *Anal. Chem.* **85**, 956–963 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac302574f

169 Huang, J., Guerrero, A., Parker, E., Strum, J.S., Smilowitz, J.T., German, J.B. et al. (2015) Site-specific glycosylation of secretory immunoglobulin A from human colostrum. *J. Proteome Res.* **14**, 1335–1349 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr500826q

170 Lee, J., Hua, S., Lee, S.H., Oh, M.J., Yun, J., Kim, J.Y. et al. (2018) Designation of fingerprint glycopeptides for targeted glycoproteomic analysis of serum haptoglobin: insights into gastric cancer biomarker discovery. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **410**, 1617–1629 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0811-y

171 Cao, L., Tolic, N., Qu, Y., Meng, D., Zhao, R., Zhang, Q. et al. (2014) Characterization of intact N- and O-linked glycopeptides using higher energy collisional dissociation. *Anal. Biochem.* **452**, 96–102 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2014.01.003

172 Xue, Y., Xie, J., Fang, P., Yao, J., Yan, G., Shen, H. et al. (2018) Study on behaviors and performances of universal N-glycopeptide enrichment methods. *Analyst* **143**, 1870–1880 https://doi.org/10.1039/C7AN02062G

173 Thaysen-Andersen, M., Wilkinson, B.L., Payne, R.J. and Packer, N.H. (2011) Site-specific characterisation of densely O-glycosylated mucin-type peptides using electron transfer dissociation ESI-MS/MS. *Electrophoresis* **32**, 3536–3545 https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201100294

174 Nakano, M., Saldanha, R., Göbel, A., Kavallaris, M. and Packer, N.H. (2011) Identification of glycan structure alterations on cell membrane proteins in desoxyepothilone B resistant leukemia cells. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **10**, M111.009001 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M111.009001

175 Everest-Dass, A.V., Abrahams, J.L., Kolarich, D., Packer, N.H. and Campbell, M.P. (2013) Structural feature ions for distinguishing N- and O-linked glycan isomers by LC-ESI-IT MS/MS. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **24**, 895–906 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-013-0610-4

176 Ashwood, C., Lin, C.-H., Thaysen-Andersen, M. and Packer, N.H. (2018) Discrimination of isomers of released N- and O-glycans using diagnostic product ions in negative ion PGC-LC-ESI-MS/MS. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **29**, 1194–1209 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-018-1932-z

177 Chen, R., Stupak, J., Williamson, S., Twine, S.M. and Li, J. (2019) Online porous graphic carbon chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry for post-translational modification analysis. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **33**, 1240–1247 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8459

178 Zhu, R., Huang, Y., Zhao, J., Zhong, J. and Mechref, Y. (2020) Isomeric separation of N-glycopeptides derived from glycoproteins by porous graphitic carbon (PGC) LC-MS/MS. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 9556–9565 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00668

179 Zauner, G., Koeleman, C.A.M., Deelder, A.M. and Wuhrer, M. (2010) Protein glycosylation analysis by HILIC-LC-MS of proteinase K-generated N- and O-glycopeptides. *J. Sep. Sci.* **33**, 903–910 https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200900850

180 Dominguez-Vega, E., Tengattini, S., Peintner, C., van Angeren, J., Temporini, C., Haselberg, R. et al. (2018) High-resolution glycoform profiling of intact therapeutic proteins by hydrophilic interaction chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Talanta* **184**, 375–381 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.03.015

181 van der Burgt, Y.E.M., Siliakus, K.M., Cobbaert, C.M. and Ruhaak, L.R. (2020) HILIC-MRM-MS for linkage-specific separation of sialylated glycopeptides to quantify prostate-specific antigen proteoforms. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 2708–2716 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00050

182 Huang, Y., Nie, Y., Boyes, B. and Orlando, R. (2016) Resolving isomeric glycopeptide glycoforms with hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC). *J. Biomol. Tech.* **27**, 98–104 https://doi.org/10.7171/jbt.16-2703-003

183 Kozlik, P., Goldman, R. and Sanda, M. (2018) Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography in the separation of glycopeptides and their isomers. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **410**, 5001–5008 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-018-1150-3

184 Molnarova, K. and Kozlik, P. (2020) Comparison of different HILIC stationary phases in the separation of hemopexin and immunoglobulin G glycopeptides and their isomers. *Molecules* **25**, 4655 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204655

185 Kammeijer, G.S.M., Jansen, B.C., Kohler, I., Heemskerk, A.A.M., Mayboroda, O.A., Hensbergen, P.J. et al. (2017) Sialic acid linkage differentiation of glycopeptides using capillary electrophoresis - electrospray ionization - mass spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 3733 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03838-y

186 Kammeijer, G.S.M., Nouta, J., De La Rosette, J.J.M.C.H., De Reijke, T.M. and Wuhrer, M. (2018) An in-depth glycosylation assay for urinary prostate-specific antigen. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 4414–4421 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04281

187 Kammeijer, G.S.M., Kohler, I., Jansen, B.C., Hensbergen, P.J., Mayboroda, O.A., Falck, D. et al. (2016) Dopant enriched nitrogen gas combined with sheathless capillary electrophoresis–electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry for improved sensitivity and repeatability in glycopeptide analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **88**, 5849–5856 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b00479

188 Qu, Y., Sun, L., Zhu, G., Zhang, Z., Peuchen, E.H. and Dovichi, N.J. (2018) Sensitive and fast characterization of site-specific protein glycosylation with capillary electrophoresis coupled to mass spectrometry. *Talanta* **179**, 22–27 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.10.015

189 Khatri, K., Klein, J.A., Haserick, J.R., Leon, D.R., Costello, C.E., McComb, M.E. et al. (2017) Microfluidic capillary electrophoresis–mass spectrometry for analysis of monosaccharides, oligosaccharides, and glycopeptides. *Anal. Chem.* **89**, 6645–6655 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00875

190 Haselberg, R., De Jong, G.J. and Somsen, G.W. (2013) Low-flow sheathless capillary electrophoresis–mass spectrometry for sensitive glycoform profiling of intact pharmaceutical proteins. *Anal. Chem.* **85**, 2289–2296 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac303158f

191 Redman, E.A., Batz, N.G., Mellors, J.S. and Ramsey, J.M. (2015) Integrated microfluidic capillary electrophoresis-electrospray ionization devices with online MS detection for the separation and characterization of intact monoclonal antibody variants. *Anal. Chem.* **87**, 2264–2272 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac503964j

192 Le-Minh, V., Tran, N.T., Makky, A., Rosilio, V., Taverna, M. and Smadja, C. (2019) Capillary zone electrophoresis-native mass spectrometry for the quality control of intact therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1601**, 375–384 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2019.05.050

193 Giorgetti, J., Lechner, A., Del Nero, E., Beck, A., François, Y.-N. and Leize-Wagner, E. (2019) Intact monoclonal antibodies separation and analysis by sheathless capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry. *Eur. J. Mass Spectrom.* **25**, 324–332 https://doi.org/10.1177/1469066718807798

194 Camperi, J., Pichon, V. and Delaunay, N. (2020) Separation methods hyphenated to mass spectrometry for the characterization of the protein glycosylation at the intact level. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **178**, 112921 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2019.112921

195 Zhao, Y., Szeto, S.S.W., Kong, R.P.W., Law, C.H., Li, G., Quan, Q. et al. (2014) Online two-dimensional porous graphitic carbon/reversed phase liquid chromatography platform applied to shotgun proteomics and glycoproteomics. *Anal. Chem.* **86**, 12172–9 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac503254t

196 Stavenhagen, K., Plomp, R. and Wuhrer, M. (2015) Site-specific protein N- and O-glycosylation analysis by a C18-porous graphitized carbon-liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry approach using pronase treated glycopeptides. *Anal. Chem.* **87**, 11691–9 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02366

197 Stavenhagen, K., Kayili, H.M., Holst, S., Koeleman, C.A.M., Engel, R., Wouters, D. et al. (2018) N- and O-glycosylation analysis of human C1-inhibitor reveals extensive mucin-type O-glycosylation. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **17**, 1225–1238 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA117.000240

198 Stavenhagen, K., Gahoual, R., Dominguez Vega, E., Palmese, A., Ederveen, A.L.H., Cutillo, F. et al. (2019) Site-specific N- and O-glycosylation analysis of atacicept. *mAbs* **11**, 1053–1063 https://doi.org/10.1080/19420862.2019.1630218

199 Qu, Y., Sun, L., Zhang, Z. and Dovichi, N.J. (2018) Site-specific glycan heterogeneity characterization by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography solid-phase extraction, reversed-phase liquid chromatography fractionation, and capillary zone electrophoresis-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 1223–1233 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03912

200 Lu, J., Fu, D., Yu, L., Cao, C., Zou, L. and Liang, X. (2017) Determination of N-glycopeptides by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography and porous graphitized carbon chromatography with mass spectrometry detection. *Anal. Lett.* **50**, 315–324 https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2016.1181644

201 Glaskin, R.S., Khatri, K., Wang, Q., Zaia, J. and Costello, C.E. (2017) Construction of a database of collision cross section values for glycopeptides, glycans, and peptides determined by IM-MS. *Anal. Chem.* **89**, 4452–4460 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04146

202 Gelb, A.S., Lai, R., Li, H. and Dodds, E.D. (2019) Composition and charge state influence on the ion-neutral collision cross sections of protonated N-linked glycopeptides: an experimental and theoretical deconstruction of coulombic repulsion vs. charge solvation effects. *Analyst* **144**, 5738–5747 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9AN00875F

203 Both, P., Green, A.P., Gray, C.J., Šardzík, R., Voglmeir, J., Fontana, C. et al. (2014) Discrimination of epimeric glycans and glycopeptides using IM-MS and its potential for carbohydrate sequencing. *Nat. Chem.* **6**, 65–74 https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1817

204 Pathak, P., Baird, M.A. and Shvartsburg, A.A. (2020) High-resolution Ion mobility separations of isomeric glycoforms with variations on the peptide and glycan levels. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **31**, 1603–1609 https://doi.org/10.1021/jasms.0c00183

205 Campbell, J.L., Baba, T., Liu, C., Lane, C.S., Le Blanc, J.C.Y. and Hager, J.W. (2017) Analyzing glycopeptide isomers by combining differential mobility spectrometry with electron- and collision-based tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **28**, 1374–1381 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-017-1663-6

206 Hinneburg, H., Hofmann, J., Struwe, W.B., Thader, A., Altmann, F., Varon Silva, D. et al. (2016) Distinguishing N-acetylneuraminic acid linkage isomers on glycopeptides by ion mobility-mass spectrometry. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* **52**, 4381–4384 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CC01114D

207 Wu, R., Chen, X., Wu, W.J., Wang, Z., Hung, Y.L.W., Wong, H.T. et al. (2020) Fine adjustment of gas modifier loadings for separation of epimeric glycopeptides using differential ion mobility spectrometry mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **34**, e8751 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8751

208 Guttman, M. and Lee, K.K. (2016) Site-specific mapping of sialic acid linkage isomers by Ion mobility spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **88**, 5212–5217 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b00265

209 Barroso, A., Gimenez, E., Konijnenberg, A., Sancho, J., Sanz-Nebot, V. and Sobott, F. (2018) Evaluation of ion mobility for the separation of glycoconjugate isomers due to different types of sialic acid linkage, at the intact glycoprotein, glycopeptide and glycan level. *J. Proteomics.* **173**, 22–31 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2017.11.020

210 Frese, C.K., Altelaar, A.F.M., Van Den Toorn, H., Nolting, D., Griep-Raming, J., Heck, A.J.R. et al. (2012) Toward full peptide sequence coverage by dual fragmentation combining electron-transfer and higher-energy collision dissociation tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **84**, 9668–9673 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac3025366

211 Yu, Q., Wang, B., Chen, Z., Urabe, G., Glover, M.S., Shi, X. et al. (2017) Electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation (EThcD)-enabled intact glycopeptide/glycoproteome characterization. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **28**, 1751–1764 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-017-1701-4

212 Čaval, T., Zhu, J., Tian, W., Remmelzwaal, S., Yang, Z., Clausen, H. et al. (2019) Targeted analysis of lysosomal directed proteins and their sites of mannose-6-phosphate modification. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics.* **18**, 16–27 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA118.000967

213 Cao, Q., Yu, Q., Liu, Y., Chen, Z. and Li, L. (2020) Signature-ion-triggered mass spectrometry approach enabled discovery of N- and O-linked glycosylated neuropeptides in the crustacean nervous system. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 634–643 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00525

214 Yang, H., Yang, C. and Sun, T. (2018) Characterization of glycopeptides using a stepped higher-energy C-trap dissociation approach on a hybrid quadrupole orbitrap. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **32**, 1353–1362 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8191

215 Wang, Y. and Tian, Z. (2020) New energy setup strategy for intact N-glycopeptides characterization using higher-energy collisional dissociation. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **31**, 651–657 https://doi.org/10.1021/jasms.9b00089

216 Reiding, K.R., Bondt, A., Franc, V. and Heck, A.J.R. (2018) The benefits of hybrid fragmentation methods for glycoproteomics. *TrAC. Trends Anal. Chem.* **108**, 260–268 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.09.007

217 Domon, B. and Costello, C.E. (1988) A systematic nomenclature for carbohydrate fragmentations in FAB-MS/MS spectra of glycoconjugates. *Glycoconjugate J.* **5**, 397–409 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01049915

218 Roepstorff, P. and Fohlman, J. (1984) Letter to the editors. *Biol. Mass Spectrom.* **11**, 601 https://doi.org/10.1002/bms.1200111109

219 Riley, N.M., Malaker, S.A., Driessen, M.D. and Bertozzi, C.R. (2020) Optimal dissociation methods differ for N- and O-glycopeptides. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 3286–3301 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00218

220 Zhang, L. and Reilly, J.P. (2009) Extracting both peptide sequence and glycan structural information by 157 nm photodissociation of N-linked glycopeptides. *J. Proteome Res.* **8**, 734–742 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr800766f

221 Madsen, J.A., Ko, B.J., Xu, H., Iwashkiw, J.A., Robotham, S.A., Shaw, J.B. et al. (2013) Concurrent automated sequencing of the glycan and peptide portions of O-linked glycopeptide anions by ultraviolet photodissociation mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **85**, 9253–9261 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac4021177

222 Brodbelt, J.S. (2014) Photodissociation mass spectrometry: new tools for characterization of biological molecules. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 2757–2783 https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60444F

223 Escobar, E.E., King, D.T., Serrano-Negrón, J.E., Alteen, M.G., Vocadlo, D.J. and Brodbelt, J.S. (2020) Precision mapping of O-linked N-acetylglucosamine sites in proteins using ultraviolet photodissociation mass spectrometry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 11569–11577 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c04710

224 Halim, M.A., MacAleese, L., Lemoine, J., Antoine, R., Dugourd, P. and Girod, M. (2018) Ultraviolet, infrared, and high-low energy photodissociation of post-translationally modified peptides. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **29**, 270–283 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-017-1794-9

225 Harvey, D.J. (2020) Negative ion mass spectrometry for the analysis of N-linked glycans. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **39**, 586–679 https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21622

226 Halim, A., Westerlind, U., Pett, C., Schorlemer, M., Rüetschi, U., Brinkmalm, G. et al. (2014) Assignment of saccharide identities through analysis of oxonium ion fragmentation profiles in LC–MS/MS of glycopeptides. *J. Proteome Res.* **13**, 6024–6032 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr500898r

227 Yu, J., Schorlemer, M., Gomez Toledo, A., Pett, C., Sihlbom, C., Larson, G. et al. (2016) Distinctive MS/MS fragmentation pathways of glycopeptide-generated oxonium ions provide evidence of the glycan structure. *Chemistry* **22**, 1114–1124 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201503659

228 Pett, C., Nasir, W., Sihlbom, C., Olsson, B.M., Caixeta, V., Schorlemer, M. et al. (2018) Effective assignment of alpha2,3/alpha2,6-sialic acid isomers by LC-MS/MS-based glycoproteomics. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **57**, 9320–9324 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201803540

229 Acs, A., Ozohanics, O., Vekey, K., Drahos, L. and Turiak, L. (2018) Distinguishing core and antenna fucosylated glycopeptides based on low-energy tandem mass spectra. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 12776–12782 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b03140

230 Hoffmann, M., Pioch, M., Pralow, A., Hennig, R., Kottler, R., Reichl, U. et al. (2018) The fine art of destruction: a guide to in-depth glycoproteomic analyses-exploiting the diagnostic potential of fragment ions. *Proteomics* **18**, e1800282 https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201800282

231 Sanda, M., Benicky, J. and Goldman, R. (2020) Low collision energy fragmentation in structure-specific glycoproteomics analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 8262–8267 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00519

232 Dang, L., Shen, J., Zhao, T., Zhao, F., Jia, L., Zhu, B. et al. (2019) Recognition of bisecting N-glycans on intact glycopeptides by two characteristic ions in tandem mass spectra. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 5478–5482 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05639

233 Lee, H.K., Ha, D.I., Kang, J.G., Park, G.W., Lee, J.Y., Cho, K. et al. (2020) Selective identification of alpha-galactosyl epitopes in N-glycoproteins using characteristic fragment ions from higher-energy collisional dissociation. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 13144–13154 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c02276

234 Darula, Z., Pap, A. and Medzihradszky, K.F. (2019) Extended sialylated O-glycan repertoire of human urinary glycoproteins discovered and characterized using electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation. *J. Proteome Res.* **18**, 280–291 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00587

235 Pap, A., Tasnadi, E., Medzihradszky, K.F. and Darula, Z. (2020) Novel O-linked sialoglycan structures in human urinary glycoproteins. *Mol. Omics* **16**, 156–164 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9MO00160C

236 Marino, F., Bern, M., Mommen, G.P.M., Leney, A.C., van Gaans-van den Brink, J.A.M., Bonvin, A. et al. (2015) Extended O-GlcNAc on HLA class-I-bound peptides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 10922–5 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b06586

237 Nilsson, J., Brinkmalm, G., Ramadan, S., Gilborne, L., Noborn, F., Blennow, K. et al. (2019) Synthetic standard aided quantification and structural characterization of amyloid-beta glycopeptides enriched from cerebrospinal fluid of Alzheimer's disease patients. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 5522 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41897-5

238 White, III, C.W., Fan, X., Maynard, J.C., Wheatley, E.G. Bieri, G., Couthouis, J. et al. (2020) Age-related loss of neural stem cell O-GlcNAc promotes a glial fate switch through STAT3 activation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 22214–22224 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007439117

239 Kuo, C.W. and Khoo, K.H. (2020) Strategic applications of negative-mode LC-MS/MS analyses to expedite confident mass spectrometry-based identification of multiple glycosylated peptides. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 7612–7620 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00236

240 Eshghi S, T., Yang, W., Hu, Y., Shah, P., Sun, S., Li, X. et al. (2016) Classification of tandem mass spectra for identification of N- and O-linked glycopeptides. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 37189 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37189

241 Jeong, H.K., Hwang, H., Kang, Y.M., Lee, H.K., Park, G.W., Lee, J.Y. et al. (2020) Computational classification of core and outer fucosylation of N-glycoproteins in human plasma using collision induced dissociation in mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **34**, e8917 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8917

242 Hwang, H., Jeong, H.K., Lee, H.K., Park, G.W., Lee, J.Y., Lee, S.Y. et al. (2020) Machine learning classifies core and outer fucosylation of N-glycoproteins using mass spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 318 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57274-1

243 Park, G.W., Lee, J.W., Lee, H.K., Shin, J.H., Kim, J.Y. and Yoo, J.S. (2020) Classification of mucin-type O-glycopeptides using higher-energy collisional dissociation in mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **92**, 9772–9781 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01218

244 Reusch, D., Haberger, M., Falck, D., Peter, B., Maier, B., Gassner, J. et al. (2015) Comparison of methods for the analysis of therapeutic immunoglobulin G Fc-glycosylation profiles—Part 2: mass spectrometric methods. *mAbs* **7**, 732–742 https://doi.org/10.1080/19420862.2015.1045173

245 Pap, A., Klement, E., Hunyadi-Gulyas, E., Darula, Z. and Medzihradszky, K.F. (2018) Status report on the high-throughput characterization of complex intact O-glycopeptide mixtures. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **29**, 1210–1220 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-018-1945-7

246 Parker, B.L., Thaysen-Andersen, M., Solis, N., Scott, N.E., Larsen, M.R., Graham, M.E. et al. (2013) Site-specific glycan-peptide analysis for determination of N-glycoproteome heterogeneity. *J. Proteome Res.* **12**, 5791–5800 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr400783j

247 Zhao, P., Praissman, J.L., Grant, O.C., Cai, Y., Xiao, T., Rosenbalm, K.E. et al. (2020) Virus-receptor interactions of glycosylated SARS-CoV-2 spike and human ACE2 receptor. *Cell Host Microbe* **28**, 586–601.e6 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.08.004

248 Thaysen-Andersen, M., Kolarich, D. and Packer, N.H. (2020) Glycomics & glycoproteomics: from analytics to function. *Mol. Omics* https://doi.org/10.1039/d0mo90019b

249 Abrahams, J.L., Campbell, M.P. and Packer, N.H. (2018) Building a PGC-LC-MS N-glycan retention library and elution mapping resource. *Glycoconj. J.* **35**, 15–29 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10719-017-9793-4

250 Yang, Y., Wang, G., Song, T., Lebrilla, C.B. and Heck, A.J.R. (2017) Resolving the micro-heterogeneity and structural integrity of monoclonal antibodies by hybrid mass spectrometric approaches. *mAbs* **9**, 638–645 https://doi.org/10.1080/19420862.2017.1290033

251 Lin, Y.H., Franc, V. and Heck, A.J.R. (2018) Similar albeit not the same: in-depth analysis of proteoforms of human serum, bovine serum, and recombinant human fetuin. *J. Proteome Res.* **17**, 2861–2869 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00318

252 Wohlschlager, T., Scheffler, K., Forstenlehner, I.C., Skala, W., Senn, S., Damoc, E. et al. (2018) Native mass spectrometry combined with enzymatic dissection unravels glycoform heterogeneity of biopharmaceuticals. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1713 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04061-7

253 Reiding, K.R., Franc, V., Huitema, M.G., Brouwer, E., Heeringa, P. and Heck, A.J.R. (2019) Neutrophil myeloperoxidase harbors distinct site-specific peculiarities in its glycosylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 20233–20245 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011098

254 Tjondro, H.C., Ugonotti, J., Kawahara, R., Chatterjee, S., Loke, I., Chen, S. et al. (2020) Hyper-truncated Asn355- and Asn391-glycans modulate the activity of neutrophil granule myeloperoxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA120.016342