



## OPEN ACCESS

# Uncommon functional properties of the first piscine 26S proteasome from the Antarctic notothenioid *Trematomus bernacchii*

Marta Gogliettino\*<sup>1</sup>, Marco Balestrieri\*<sup>1</sup>, Alessia Riccio\*, Angelo Facchiano†, Carmela Fusco\*, Vincenzo Cecere Palazzo\*, Mosè Rossi\*, Ennio Cocca\*<sup>2</sup> and Gianna Palmieri\*

\*Institute of Biosciences and BioResources, National Research Council (CNR-IBBR), Via Pietro Castellino 111, 80131 Napoli, Italy

†Institute of Food Sciences, National Research Council (CNR-ISA), Via Roma 64, 83100 Avellino, Italy

## Synopsis

Protein homeostasis is a fundamental process allowing the preservation of functional proteins and it has a great impact on the life of the Antarctic organisms. However, the effect of low temperatures on protein turnover is poorly understood and the cold-adaptation of the degradation machinery remains an unresolved issue. As the 26S proteasome represents the main proteolytic system devoted to the controlled degradation of intracellular proteins, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the functions of this complex in the notothenioid *Trematomus bernacchii*, in order to better understand its role in the physiology of Antarctic fish. To this aim, we purified and characterized the 26S proteasome from *T. bernacchii* and isolated the cDNAs codifying seven of the 14 subunits belonging to the proteasome 20S core particle. Results provided evidences of the high resistance of the piscine 26S proteasome to oxidative agents and of its 'uncommon' ability to efficiently hydrolyse oxidized bovine serum albumin (BSA), suggesting that this enzymatic complex could play a key role in the antioxidant defense systems in fish inhabiting permanently cold marine environments. These unique properties were also reflected by the 3D model analysis, which revealed a higher structural stability of the piscine complex respect to the murine template. Finally, a comparative analysis, performed in a variety of tissues collected from *T. bernacchii* and the temperate fish *Dicentrarchus labrax*, showed a lower protein retention in the cold-adapted fish, possibly due to a better efficiency of its degradation machinery.

**Key words:** 26S proteasome, Antarctic notothenioid *Trematomus bernacchii*, cold adaptation, oxidized protein degradation, protein degradation machinery.

Cite this article as: Bioscience Reports (2016) 36, e00320, doi:10.1042/BSR20160022

## INTRODUCTION

In the last decades, several studies have been focused on the strategies adopted by polar fish to counteract the extreme temperature conditions of inhabiting sub-zero environments. Evolution in the Antarctic marine organisms has resulted in a series of physiological and biochemical adaptations that include antifreeze protein production, elevated blood osmotic concentrations, mitochondrial proliferation and thermal compensation of metabolic activity [1–4].

Protein homeostasis represents a fundamental process which allows the preservation of all the functional cellular proteins and

it has a great impact on the life of marine Antarctic habitats [5]. However, managing of cold-related protein damage and adaptation of the protein degradation machinery in polar organisms remain a yet unresolved issue in the evolutionary biology.

Previous research on Antarctic notothenioids revealed the absence in these species of defense induction mechanisms represented by the heat shock response [6], thus suggesting that this restoring protein function has been lost, possibly due to the lack of a positive selection during evolution at stable sub-zero temperatures [6]. Furthermore, several studies have reported the *in vitro* denaturing effects of cold temperatures on 3D structures of proteins, although it is still unclear whether this is also reflected into higher levels of damaged proteins *in vivo* [7–10]. Low

**Abbreviations:** BSA, bovine serum albumin; CP, proteasome core particle; CT-like, chymotrypsin-like activity; LLE, *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-Leu-Leu-Glu-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin; LLVY, *N*-succinyl-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin; LRR, *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-Leu-Arg-Arg-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin; PGPH-like, caspase-like activity; T-like, trypsin-like activity; UPS, ubiquitin–proteasome system.

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed (email ennio.cocca@ibbr.cnr.it).

temperatures alter either the rate of protein synthesis than the protein folding, resulting in *not-functional* conformations and an imbalance in the synthesis and degradation processes [2,3]. Therefore, a constant and dynamic regulation of these pathways is essential for cell viability in all living organisms as it is essential to elude the accumulation of damaged proteins that induce cytotoxic effects. The ubiquitin (Ub)–proteasome system (UPS) represents the main pathway responsible for breaking down proteins, which involves two successive steps: (1) tagging of the substrate by covalent attachment of multiple Ub molecules, and (2) degradation of the tagged protein into small peptides by 26S proteasome with release of free and reusable Ub molecules [11,12]. The 26S proteasome is a giant machine containing a proteolytic core particle 20S (CP) capped at one or both ends by a 19S regulatory particle (RP). The CP is a barrel-shaped complex of 28 subunits (alpha and beta) that harbours three distinct proteolytic active sites (beta 1, beta 2 and beta 5), in the two central beta-rings [13–16]. Information on UPS in fish species is generally scarce and few data on the regulation of protein degradation processes specifically in Antarctic fish, are available [5,10,17].

Notothenioids represent 55 % of the fish species in the Southern Ocean and in many coastal shelf areas they represent over 90 % of the fish biomass [3,18]. A previous investigation on Ub-conjugated protein levels, which have been considered a good indicator of the cellular protein integrity, provided evidences that the low temperatures of the Antarctic marine environments can significantly affect the protein functions [10], although more studies are still necessary to better understand the physiological constraints of maintaining protein homeostasis in these polar species. Accordingly, in the last years, there has been a growing interest in studying the oxidative stress phenomena in fish inhabiting Antarctic oceans [19–21], which should have developed sophisticated antioxidant defense systems to counteract the side effects of life at low temperatures [17,20–22]. In mammals, a large body of evidences demonstrated that the 20S proteasome is the main proteolytic factor able to efficiently remove oxidatively damaged proteins [13–16,23]. In contrast, 26S proteasome turns out to be very poor at degrading these molecular species and there are no clear indications on the involvement of this enzymatic complex in antioxidant defense systems in eukaryotic organisms [24–26].

The present study was undertaken to investigate the proteasomes from the notothenioid *Trematomus bernacchii*, which belongs to the endemic class of fish in Antarctic waters, living at temperatures below 1.5 °C. To this aim, we purified and characterized the piscine 26S proteasome and isolated the cDNAs codifying seven of 14 subunits of the 20S complex, in order to understand its role in the physiology of a red-blooded Antarctic fish. Our data demonstrated that the piscine 26S proteasome was highly resistant to oxidative agents and able to efficiently hydrolyse oxidized bovine serum albumin (BSA), unlike the mammalian counterparts [24–26], suggesting that it could play a key role in the antioxidant defense systems. Unique properties were also found by the 3D models analysis, which revealed a higher structural stability of the piscine complex respect to the murine

template. Furthermore, a lower Ub-protein level was detected in a variety of *T. bernacchii* tissues respect to that observed in the temperate fish *Dicentrarchus labrax*, possibly due to a more efficient degradation machinery and/or a reduced ubiquitination process. Therefore, our results provided the first evidence on the role of the 26S proteasome in the protein homeostasis in a polar fish, suggesting that the cold adaptation could have stimulated an improvement in the molecular recognition events of the UPS pathway.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Ethical procedures

The sample collection and experimental research conducted on the animals utilized in the present study were according to the law on activities and environmental protection in Antarctica approved by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Italy (MAE), to comply with the ‘Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty’, Annex II, art.3. All procedures, including euthanasia, were reviewed and approved by MAE and performed in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive of 24 November 1986 (86/609/EEC).

### Animal sampling

Specimens of *T. bernacchii* were fished in the vicinity of Mario Zucchelli Station, along the coast of Terra Nova Bay (74°42'S, 164°07'E), Antarctica, during the Italian XXVII and XXIX expeditions (December 2011 to January 2012 and January to February 2014 respectively). They were maintained in running seawater at -2 °C to +1 °C until tissue sampling. *D. labrax* specimens were collected at a fish farm, where the water temperature was maintained at 18 °C. The animals were anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222, 300 mg/l) for at least 30 min before being killed by truncation of the spinal cord. Tissues were dissected from adult specimens, and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. Blood was drawn from the caudal vein with heparinized syringes. Blood cells were collected by centrifugation at 3000 g for 5 min, washed in 1.7 % NaCl, and then frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissues and cells were stored at -80 °C until use.

### Proteasome activity and Ub-protein levels

*T. bernacchii* and *D. labrax* hemolysates were obtained from erythrocytes by incubation in hypotonic solution (25 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5) for 30 min on ice and centrifugation at 9200 g for 40 min at 4 °C. Tissue samples were homogenized in four volumes of ice-cold homogenization buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, containing 150 mM NaCl) with an Ultra-Turrax T25 homogenizer (IKAWorks). The homogenates were centrifuged at 288 000 g for 1.5 h at 4 °C and the supernatant used for the next experiments. Total protein concentration of the tissue homogenates was determined using the Bradford protein assay [27] for

normalization of sample protein content. Equal amounts of total proteins from each tissue were used for dot blot analysis by spotting the samples through circular templates directly on to the nitrocellulose membrane. Following blocking, the membrane was incubated with Ub conjugates specific primary antibody HRP conjugate (1:2500, produced by Enzo Life Sciences) for 1 h at room temperature. Enhanced chemiluminescence and autoradiography (Amersham Biosciences) were used to display immune complexes formed and measured by densitometry analysis with ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Chemiluminescence was quantified using Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and the acquisition data were performed under conditions to prevent saturation of the chemiluminescence signal. The chymotrypsin-like (CT-like) proteasomal activity for each tissue under analysis was revealed using the fluorogenic substrate *N*-succinyl-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (LLVY, purchased from Sigma–Aldrich). The assays were monitored in a Perkin–Elmer LS 50B fluorimeter. The excitation and emission wavelengths were 380 nm and 460 nm respectively. The  $K_m$  determination of proteasome using LLVY as substrate was performed on pancreas, heart, muscle and trunk kidney protein extracts from *T. bernacchii* and *D. labrax*, after one purification step on Superose 6 gel filtration column following the procedure described below.

### 26S proteasome preparation

The proteasome active fractions, recovered after each purification step, were detected by measuring the CT-like activity using the specific fluorogenic substrate LLVY. The *T. bernacchii* hemolysates were loaded on a DEAE Sepharose Fast Flow column, previously equilibrated in 25 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5 (Buffer A), and connected to an AKTA<sub>FPLC</sub> system (Amersham Biosciences). Bound proteins were eluted using an ionic strength gradient from 0 to 1 M NaCl in Buffer A at a flow rate of 1 ml·min<sup>-1</sup>. The active fractions were pooled, dialysed extensively against 25 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5 and 1 M ammonium sulfate (Buffer A) and then loaded on to a Phenyl Sepharose (Amersham) column, connected to an AKTA<sub>FPLC</sub> system (Amersham Biosciences), equilibrated in the same buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with a linear gradient (0–100%) of 25 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5) (buffer B) at a flow rate of 1 ml·min<sup>-1</sup>. The active fractions were pooled, dialysed against 25 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5) and then applied to a Superdex 200 PC 3.2/30 column connected to a SMART System (Pharmacia), equilibrated in 25 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl. Finally, active fractions were pooled and the purified proteasome was stored in 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, containing 5% glycerol.

### Molecular mass determination

Molecular mass of the native 26S proteasome was established by gel filtration chromatography on Superdex 200 and Superose 6 PC 3.2/30 columns (Pharmacia Biotech), connected to a SMART System, equilibrated in 25 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl, and calibrated with molecular mass standards (26S human proteasome 2100 kDa, 20S human proteasome 700 kDa,

Apoferritin 443 kDa, porcine Acylpeptide hydrolase 300 kDa, bovine serum albumin 66.5 kDa, chymotrypsin 25 kDa). The protein concentration was determined with the Bradford assay method [27].

### Enzyme assays

Enzyme assays were performed by spectroscopic fluorescence, using the typical substrates for the detection of the different proteasome activities: LLVY for CT-like activity, *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-Leu-Arg-Arg-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (LRR, purchased from Boston Biochem) for trypsin-like (T-like) activity and *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-Leu-Leu-Glu-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (LLE, purchased from Sigma–Aldrich) for caspase-like (PGPH-like) activity. The release of fluorescent product [7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC)] was monitored at 380 nm and 460 nm, as excitation and emission wavelengths respectively, using a Jasco FP-8200 spectrofluorometer, equipped with a thermostated cuvette compartment. All experiments were carried out in triplicate on three different protein preparations. The reaction mixture (0.8 ml), containing the appropriate amount of enzyme in 50 mM Tris/HCl buffer at optimal pH, temperature and SDS concentrations, was preincubated for 5 min. Then, the specific substrate was added and the release of product was measured. Calculated activities were based on the initial linear phase of release and all the enzymatic activities were expressed in arbitrary units.

### pH, temperature and SDS effects on proteasome activities

For all assays, activities were measured as described above using LLVY, LRR and LLE as substrates. Effect of pH was determined between pH 5.0 and 10.0. Sodium acetate buffer (50 mM) was used for pH values ranging from 5.0 to 6.0, replaced by Tris/HCl (50 mM) buffer in the 6.5–9.0 pH range and by CAPS at pH 10.0. Temperature effect was analysed between 15 and 60 °C. Relative activity was expressed as a percentage of the maximum of the enzyme activities under the standard assay conditions. The thermal stability was determined by measuring residual activities after incubation of the enzyme at various temperatures (10 °C; 37 °C; 45 °C). Temperatures below 10 °C were not explored due to their dramatic influence on substrate solubility. For the SDS effect, the proteasome was preincubated with the detergent (0–0.2%) at 37 °C before addition of the adequate substrate. The relative activity was expressed as percentage of the activity respect to the control (0% SDS) under the standard assay conditions.

### $K_m$ determination

The temperature– $K_m$  effects were determined at different temperatures using LLVY as substrate. All experiments were carried out in triplicate on two different protein preparations. Data were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation by a nonlinear regression with the GraphPad Prism software.

## Western blot analysis

Samples were run on SDS/PAGE (12%) and then electroblotted on to PVDF membranes (ImmobilonTM, Millipore). Membranes were next incubated with the piscine anti-beta 1/beta 5 (LS-C111925 rabbit IgG, 1:1000, purchased from LifeSpan BioSciences) and piscine anti-Rpt1 (LS-C290473 rabbit IgG, 1:1000, purchased from LifeSpan BioSciences) primary antibodies (1 h at room temperature) and then with the HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1 h at room temperature). Immune complexes formed were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence and autoradiography (Amersham Biosciences) and measured by densitometry analysis with ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Protein expression data were quantified with Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

## Gel electrophoresis

SDS/PAGE was carried out according to the procedure described by Laemmli [28]. Standard proteins (Broad Range) were from New England BioLabs. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis under non-denaturing conditions (Native-PAGE) was performed according to the method described by Holzl et al. [29]. Proteasome activity was detected by in-gel peptidase activity performed as previously reported [30] with some modifications. Specifically, the gel was immersed in 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 9.0, 0.02% SDS and 100  $\mu$ M LLVY at 37°C. The fluorescence was detected 30 min after exposure to the fluorogenic peptide. For immunoblotting, proteins in native gels were transferred to PVDF membranes following the same protocols described in Western blot analysis section.

## Degradation of oxidized BSA by proteasomes

BSA (purchased from Sigma–Aldrich) was used as a model of proteolytic substrate. The oxidized and unoxidized BSA were prepared as described by Fujino et al. [31]. The oxidant resistance of 26S proteasome complex was tested with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Exposure of the proteasome (8  $\mu$ g) to different H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations (1, 3, 5, 10 and 50  $\mu$ mol/mg protein) was carried out for 24 h at 37°C in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.5 and the reaction mixtures were subjected to native-PAGE analysis. Solutions of unoxidized (3  $\mu$ g) and oxidized BSA (10  $\mu$ g) were incubated with untreated and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated 26S proteasome (protein ratio of 200  $\mu$ g substrate protein/7  $\mu$ g of proteasome) in 30  $\mu$ l of 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0 at 37°C for 2 and 24 h. Then, the reaction mixtures were subjected to SDS/PAGE analysis.

## Cloning

Total RNAs from several tissues of *T. bernacchii* were isolated according to the RNeasy Plus Universal Mini Kit (Qiagen) protocol. RNA concentrations were determined with a Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen). RNAs were then reverse transcribed with the SuperScript VILO MasterMix (Invitrogen). The cDNAs of the proteasome subunits under investigation were amplified by PCR with oligonucleotides designed on the homo-

**Table 1 Primers used for the amplifications of the *T. bernacchii* proteasome subunits cDNAs**

Primer	SEQUENCE	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)
Alpha 4for	5'-GTAGTGGACCTCTATTCTGTAGG-3'	58.4
Alpha 4rev	5'-CAATACAGGATTGGTGACAGG-3'	58.1
Alpha 5for	5'-GACGCTTCCCTGAAACAAG-3'	60.6
Alpha 5rev	5'-GTGACATTCAGCCAGGTG-3'	60.1
Alpha 7for	5'-GGCTTCACAAATGCTAACTAGC-3'	59.5
Alpha 7rev	5'-CTCAAGTTATGATTAGCTCTGCACA-3'	60.2
Beta 1for	5'-CCATATTGCAGTGATACAGCGAG-3'	60.5
Beta 1rev	5'-CTCAGTCCCTCCTCAGGGG-3'	60.1
Beta 2for	5'-GTCGGGATACAGGGACCG-3'	60.8
Beta 2rev	5'-AGCGGTCACTTGCGCG-3'	62.8
Beta 3for	5'-CACAATAGCCAAGAAAAAGTGGAG-3'	59.3
Beta 3rev	5'-GTCGTGTGGTGATCTGTCC-3'	60.4
Beta 5for	5'-GGGAGTTTCAAAGATGGCTCT-3'	59.2
Beta 5rev	5'-TTGTACTGCTGGTGCAGCAT-3'	61.7

logues sequences from *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Xiphophorus maculatus*, *Takifugu rubripes* and *Danio rerio*, and on the BLAST analysis of several SRA libraries from Notothenioidei (SRX088548, SRX089044–9, SRX373094–100, SRX305406, SRX306432, SRX306459, SRX306462–4).

The oligonucleotides are listed in Table 1. The amplifications were performed as follows: 94°C for 2 min, 40 cycles of 94°C (30 s), 58–62°C (30 s) and 72°C (1 min), and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were analysed on 1% agarose gel, purified with the StrataPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Stratagene) and cloned into the StrataClone PCR Cloning kit (Stratagene).

## Sequence analysis

The sequences of the seven cloned cDNAs were determined with an ABI PRISM 3100 automated sequencer at PRIMM. The sequences were edited and analysed with the CLC Main Workbench 7.6 program (CLC bio, 2015) and deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers KP735942 (*beta 1<sub>Tb</sub>*), KP735943 (*beta 2<sub>Tb</sub>*), KP735944 (*beta 5<sub>Tb</sub>*), KP735945 (*alpha 4<sub>Tb</sub>*), KP735946 (*alpha 5<sub>Tb</sub>*), KP735947 (*alpha 7<sub>Tb</sub>*) and KP735948 (*beta 3<sub>Tb</sub>*).

The amino acid sequences of the *T. bernacchii* proteasome subunits were aligned with their homologues retrieved from databanks by use of the MUSCLE program.

## Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses for the three catalytic proteasome subunits (*beta 1*, *beta 2* and *beta 5*) were carried out using MEGA version 6 [32]. The alignment containing all the amino acid sequences belonging to these three subtypes, together with the sequence of the proteasome beta subunit of the archaeobacterium *Thermoplasma acidophilum* used as outgroup,

was tested by 'Find Best DNA/Protein Models (ML)' option to search the most appropriate evolutionary model. Maximum likelihood analysis was performed with the 'Construct/Test Maximum Likelihood Tree (ML)' option, using the parameters indicated by the evolutionary model (substitution model LG + G, gamma = 3.7136) and the bootstrap test with 1000 replicates. Finally, a phylogenetic tree with the best bootstrap consensus was obtained [32].


### Molecular modelling

Molecular modelling of the 20S seven protein subunits was performed in agreement with well established procedures applied in our labs [33]. The search for templates were performed by using BLAST at the NCBI web site. The best template structures were found belonging to the mouse proteasome (PDB code 3UNB). The subunits have been modelled as single chain, according to the related chain in 3UNB: alpha 4, chain B; alpha 5, chain D; alpha 7, chain C; beta 1, chain L; beta 2, chain J; beta 3, chain I; beta 5, chain K. The percentage of sequence identity was in all cases >80%, thus making very simple the correct alignment of the target and template sequences. Modeller 9.12 [34] was used to create 10 models for each chain, and the best was selected on the basis of the model energy, evaluated by PROSA-web server [35] and the backbone stereochemistry, evaluated by PROCHECK software [36]. Models were also analysed for the presence of H-bonds by HBplus [37] and salt-bridges, by using an original software that apply criteria similar to the online tool described by Paladino et al. [38] with specific filters for simplify the finding of intra- and inter-chain interactions. Presence and conservation of cysteines and amino acids involved in salt bridges were checked manually on the multiple alignments.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Tissue levels of ubiquitinated proteins and proteasome activity in *T. bernacchii* and *D. labrax*

Protein degradation is a critical determinant of growth and it is influenced by the temperature in a fashion independent from that of protein synthesis [5,10]. In these cellular processes, UPS has emerged as a central player, but functional and structural information on this complex machinery in fish are still scarce. Therefore, to understand the effects of sub-zero environments on the accumulation of the ubiquitinated (Ub)-proteins, we compared the levels of these conjugates in a variety of tissues of the Antarctic fish *T. bernacchii* and the temperate species *D. labrax*. The same analysis was performed evaluating the total CT-like proteasome activity associated to the beta 5 catalytic subunit. Unexpectedly, the Ub-conjugated protein levels in *D. labrax*, quantified by Dot Blot densitometric analysis, were significantly higher than those detected in *T. bernacchii* in pancreas, trunk kidney, testicle, gills, muscle, heart and brain, as evidenced by the low ratio values



**Figure 1 Analysis of Ub-conjugated protein levels and CT-like proteasomal activity in different tissues of *T. bernacchii* and *D. labrax***

(A) Ub-conjugated protein levels in different tissues of *T. bernacchii* and *D. labrax* determined by Dot Blot densitometric analysis. Ubiquitin-conjugated protein levels are reported as relative intensity determined by comparing the dot blot values of the *T. bernacchii* tissues respect to the corresponding tissues of *D. labrax*. (B) CT-like proteasomal activity (beta 5 subunit) measured using LLVY as substrate and expressed in arbitrary units. The enzymatic units (U) corresponded to the maximal activity ( $V_{max}$ ) measured in each tissue protein extract. Data were expressed as mean from experiments performed in triplicates on three different protein preparations and all standard deviation values were lower than 5%.

(less than 1) between the corresponding intensities in these tissues (Figure 1A). On the contrary, *T. bernacchii* showed higher levels of Ub-conjugate proteins in liver and cephalic kidney (ratio values more than 1) and comparable amounts in the remaining analysed tissues respect to *D. labrax*. In addition, the total CT-like activity of proteasome in *D. labrax* was generally higher than that measured in *T. bernacchii* in all the tissues under investigation except for the muscle and pancreas (Figure 1B), suggesting a potentially more efficacious degradation machinery in the temperate species.

These results seemed to be in contrast with those observed analysing the substrate affinity values ( $K_m$ ) using the substrate LLVY, which is widely used for the detection of CT-like proteasome activity. The  $K_m$  values were only evaluated in pancreas, heart, muscle and trunk kidney, as the very complex protein extracts strongly affected this analysis. The substrate affinity of the proteasome appeared improved in *T. bernacchii* at least in pancreas and heart, possibly resulting in an increased efficiency of UPS pathway (Figure 1B). However, the  $K_m$  values were

similar in muscle and trunk kidney ( $K_m$  values ranging from 80 to  $100 \times 10^{-6}$  M and  $60\text{--}80 \times 10^{-6}$  M respectively) as typically evidenced among the orthologous enzymes [2]. These results were also confirmed on partially purified proteasome preparations (see Materials and Methods).

The obtained data revealed, for the first time, increased Ub-protein levels in a temperate fish, that could derive from a reduced cellular capacity in the degradation of ubiquitinated proteins respect to that observed in a cold-adapted species. In this case, an optimization of the thermodynamic parameters of the catalytic proteasome subunits (at least the beta 5), which results in an improvement of molecular recognition events, could contribute to maintain a high protein degradation efficiency.

### Purification of proteasome from *T. bernacchii* erythrocytes

Since very little is known about the structural and functional properties of proteasome in fish, we first developed a strategy to isolate and characterize this enzymatic complex from *T. bernacchii* red blood cells (RBCs). Proteasome detection and enrichment at each purification step was followed by immunoblot analysis and measuring the proteolytic activity towards the fluorogenic substrate LLVY. Purification of the proteasome to homogeneity was ultimately achieved by sequential anion exchange, hydrophobic interaction and size exclusion chromatographies. During the hydrophobic step, the activity profile revealed the presence of two peaks (data not shown): peak 1, containing the most of proteolytic activity and peak 2, containing high molecular mass contaminating proteins and the previously characterized protease APEH (acylpeptide hydrolase) [20]. For these reasons, peak 1 fractions were pooled and subjected to the next purification step. The protein homogeneity and its identification as the 26S proteasome was confirmed by several analytical procedures: (1) gel filtration chromatography using two different size-exclusion columns, which revealed a molecular mass of about 1400 kDa for the purified holoenzyme, in agreement with those reported for the singly-capped 26S proteasome (19S–20S) eukaryal counterparts (data not shown) [13–15]; (2) native-PAGE, displaying a unique intermediate electrophoretic band (Coomassie blue-stained) between those observed for the human doubly- and singly-capped 26S used as positive control [39] (Figure 2); indeed, as reported, the 26S human isoform easily dissociates into the 20S catalytic core and the regulatory complex (19S) [15,16,40], in contrast with the piscine isoform, that appeared to be highly stable; (3) native-PAGE (Figure 2), followed by in-gel detection of CT-like activity, which revealed a single fluorescent signal for 26S piscine isoform, corresponding to the Coomassie blue-stained band, under the assay conditions described in Materials and Methods. The same analysis, performed on the human 26S isoform, allowed to detect only the activity band corresponding to the 20S isoform (Figure 2), in agreement with that reported for eukaryal proteasomes [39,30]; (4) SDS/PAGE analysis (Figure 2), showing a wide range of molecular masses, from 22 kDa to over 150 kDa, thus mirroring what was previously described for eukaryal 26S


proteasomes [14,29,41]; (5) Western blot analysis, performed using anti-beta 1/beta 5 subunits; (6) native-PAGE followed by immunoblotting against Rpt1 (19S subunit) and beta 1/beta 5 (20S subunits), evidencing immunoreactive signals in correspondence to the Coomassie blue-stained bands (Figure 2).

The 26S proteasome purification protocol is reported in Supplementary Table S1. The isoform was purified approximately 6-fold, with an activity recovery of 0.1% and a CT-like specific activity of 37900 U/mg. In addition, T-like and caspase-like (PGPH-like) hydrolysing activities were determined as 94750 and 60160 U/mg respectively [the enzymatic units (U) corresponded to the maximal activity ( $V_{max}$ ) measured].

Interestingly, the standard procedure developed to purify the mammalian 20S proteasome, omitting the use of glycerol and ATP, was sufficient to purify the piscine 26S isoform and preserve its integrity, thus suggesting a remarkable structural stability of *T. bernacchii* holoenzyme. Indeed, the presence of these two compounds in the purification buffers usually prevents the dissociation of the 26S proteasome into 19S and 20S particles [30].

### SDS effect on 26S proteasome CT-, T- and PGPH-like activities

As reported, the catalytic sites of mammalian 26S proteasomes are sequestered in the central hollow of its core particle 20S (Figure 3A) [14,15,42]. The entry into this chamber occurs via a narrow channel delimited by the outer alpha rings. Indeed, the N-terminus of the neighbouring alpha subunits maintains the 20S proteasome into an auto-inhibited state by an intricate lattice of interactions, hindering access to the channel (Figure 3A) [42]. Nevertheless, the exposure to a mild chaotropic agent, such as SDS, can stimulate the opening of the alpha-channel gate, allowing greater access of protein substrates [42–44]. As shown by SDS-mediated activation profiles reported in Figure 3(B), the effects of this denaturing compound on piscine 26S proteasome were dependent on the type of subunit considered. The T-like activity improved at the lowest SDS concentration tested (0.001%) and then restored to the original level with a further SDS increase. By contrast, CT-like and PGPH-like hydrolysing activities needed higher levels of SDS to reach their maxima. Specifically, the best CT-like activity was detected at 0.02% SDS, whereas the effect of this agent on PGPH-like activity was maximal at 0.12% SDS. Therefore, the activation of the three catalytic subunits were reached at very different SDS concentrations, in contrast with that described for the eukaryal 26S proteasomes [45,46]. In addition, it seems that the substrate used for T-like activity measurements was able to stimulate the gate opening without the contribution of high SDS concentrations, as already reported [45,46]. On the contrary, CT-like and PGPH-like activities needed increasing SDS amounts to allow the passage of the corresponding substrates by opening the entrance of the alpha-channel. Specifically, it appears that the optimal PGPH-like activity requires the maximum gate opening, which is triggered by an unusual high SDS concentration (0.12%), possibly reflecting a compact overall proteasome structure.



**Figure 2** Native-PAGE, SDS/PAGE and Western blot analyses of the 26S proteasome from *T. bernacchii*


(A) Native-PAGE of the purified *T. bernacchii* 26S (26S *b*), immunoblotted against 19S subunit Rpt1 or 20S subunits β<sub>5</sub>/β<sub>1</sub>. The commercially available 26S from human erythrocytes (26S *h*) was used as positive control. (B) Native-PAGE of 26S *b* followed either by in-gel detection of CT-like activity (β<sub>5</sub> subunit), using the fluorogenic substrate LLVY, than Coomassie-blue stained. 26S *h* and 20S *h* were used as positive controls. The main proteasome species are indicated: 19S–20S–19S, doubly capped 26S; 19S–20S, singly capped 26S; 19S (regulatory complex) and 20S (catalytic core), free particles. (C) SDS/PAGE analysis of 26S *b* in comparison with 26S *h* and 20S *h* used as controls. (D) SDS/PAGE of 26S *b* immunoblotted against 20S subunits β<sub>5</sub>/β<sub>1</sub>. The 26S *h* was used as control. The results were representative of three independent experiments on three different protein preparations.

### pH and temperature effects on CT-, T- and PGPH-like activities of 26S proteasome

In studies on the effects of pH on the hydrolysing activities, we found that all the synthetic peptides used were maximally degraded at neutral and weakly alkaline pH values (Figure 4A). Specifically, the degradation of LLVY (the substrate for CT-like activity) was optimal at pH 9.0, whereas the complex had the highest activity at pH 8.5 and 7.0 towards LRR (the substrate for

T-like activity) and LLE (the substrate for PGPH-like activity) respectively. All the activities gradually decreased with lowering of pH, reaching about 10% of their optima at pH values close to 5.5. The observed pH-activity profiles might be attributed to a change in ionic environment of the active sites and to a reduced access of the substrates to these sites.

To further characterize the piscine proteasome, we examined the effects of temperature on its enzymatic activities. As shown



**Figure 3** Effect of SDS on the proteasomal CT-like, T-like and PGPH-like activities

(A) Schematic representation of the 26S proteasome. (B) The three different proteasome activities were measured after addition of increasing concentrations of SDS. The proteasome was preincubated 5 min with the detergent (0–0.2%) at 37 °C before addition of the adequate substrate. The relative activity was expressed as percentage of the activity respect to the control (0% SDS). All experiments were performed in triplicate on three different protein preparations. T-like (beta 2), CT-like (beta 5) and PGPH-like (beta 1) activities are indicated in black, red and blue lines respectively.

in Figure 4(B), LLVY, LRR and LLE hydrolysing activities were maximal at 45, 50 and 37 °C respectively. These temperatures remained much above the optimal temperature for *T. bernacchii*, as it has also been observed for other proteases and enzymes from psychrophilic organisms [47,48]. This may suggest that the

*in vitro* analyses do not reproduce the physiological conditions as several factors may greatly affect the stabilization of the protein and the enzyme–substrate recognition in cells.

Next, we explored the thermostability at 10, 37 and 45 °C of the purified 26S proteasome (Figures 4C–4E). A significant different thermal behaviour was observed for the three peptidase activities only at temperatures above 10 °C. Specifically, although the degradation of LLVY strongly decreased after 5 min of incubation at 37 °C, LLE and LRR activities exhibited higher stabilities. At 45 °C, all of them showed a gradual decline and a total inactivation was achieved for CT-like activity after 300 min of incubation.


Finally, the temperature-dependence of the Michaelis–Menten affinity constant ( $K_m$ ), using LLVY as substrate, was investigated. As shown in Figure 4(F), the  $K_m$  of proteasome was relatively unaffected in the temperature range 10–50 °C, with the better values measured at the highest temperatures. This behaviour is in contrast with that observed with the typical cold-adapted enzymes [2,47], thus suggesting an incomplete adaptation to cold environments for the 26S complex.

### Degradation of oxidized BSA by the 26S proteasome

The production of free radicals and the consequential oxidative alteration of cell structures are ubiquitous in mammalian cells [49]. This phenomenon yields the irreversible oxidation of proteins leading to disruption of their structure and consequently to an impairment of their function. Consequently, it is necessary to remove these species in order to prevent severe metabolic disorders [49]. It is widely reported that the 20S proteasome represents the primary proteolytic system responsible for the degradation of damage oxidized proteins [13,25]. Indeed, in response to oxidative conditions or upon exposure to oxidants, the 26S holoenzyme disassembles into 20S core and 19S regulatory particles, thus favouring the 20S-mediated degradation of oxidized proteins that are not poly-ubiquitylated [16]. In contrast, there are not clear evidences about the direct implication of eukaryal 26S holoenzyme in antioxidant defense systems [24–26]. To better clarify the physiological role of 26S proteasome in Antarctic fish inhabiting under permanently oxidative stress conditions, we examined the oxidized protein hydrolase activity of this complex in *T. bernacchii*. To this aim, we raised two important questions: (i) whether the piscine 26S proteasome was susceptible to inactivation by oxidants, as reported in mammalian cells by Reinheckel et al. [40]; (ii) whether acclimation to cold temperatures could have affected the proteasome activity towards oxidized proteins.

Firstly, to test the resistance of the 26S proteasome to oxidants, we exposed the isolated complex to different  $H_2O_2$  concentrations (ranging from 0.3 to 150 mM) for 24 h at 37 °C (Figure 5A). Surprisingly, even at high concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  used, the piscine 26S proteasome did not disassemble and remained active, in contrast with that reported for the mammalian counterparts [16,26], suggesting that the individual subunits and the overall proteasome structure in fish are more resistant and less susceptible to the damaging impact of  $H_2O_2$  (Figure 5A). In addition, to explore the role of the piscine 26S proteasome in response to the






**Figure 4** Molecular properties of the purified *T. bernacchii* 26S proteasome

(A) pH and (B) temperature effects on the proteasome activities. Relative activity was expressed as percentage of the corresponding maximal activities. (C–E) thermoresistance of 26S isoform at: (C) 10°C, (D) 37°C, (E) 45°C; (F) temperature- $K_m$  profile of *T. bernacchii* 26S proteasome, using LLVY as substrate. T-like, CT-like and PGPH-like activities are indicated by square, triangle and diamond respectively. All experiments were performed in triplicate on three different protein preparations. Data were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations. Standard deviation values lower than 5% were not shown

oxidative stress and consequently in the degradation of oxidized proteins, we carried out an experimental assay using as model substrate the BSA, a globular protein whose structure can be significantly modified by oxidative treatment [20,31]. Untreated and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated 26S proteasome were incubated at different times with BSA, previously submitted to oxidative treatment. The unoxidized BSA was used as negative control and the protein degradation was evaluated by SDS/PAGE analysis. As shown in Figure 5(B), the intensity of the oxidized BSA band decreased remarkably after 24 h incubation with the concomitant detection of lower molecular mass fragments, following the treatment with

both the unoxidized (*left panel*) and oxidized (*right panel*) 26S form. In contrast, when unoxidized BSA was used as substrate, no fragments were detected following the incubations. The obtained results were further confirmed by densitometric analysis of BSA electrophoretic bands (Figure 5C). It has been suggested that the susceptibility of oxidized BSA to proteasome degradation could be attributable to a conformational change that brings the cleavage sites, normally not exposed on the BSA surface, to the outer face of the molecule, where they become easily accessible to the enzyme upon oxidation [50]. Therefore, the hydrophobicity resulting from oxidation may represent a recognition



**Figure 5** Effects of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exposure on the *T. bernacchii* 26S proteasome

(A) Native-PAGE of *T. bernacchii* 26S proteasome complex after treatment with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Purified 26S proteasome was exposed to increasing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 24 h at 37 °C and the bands were visualized by Coomassie-blue stained. The experimental conditions for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment and native-PAGE are described in Materials and Methods section. (B, left panel) Unoxidized and oxidized BSA were incubated with 26S proteasome at 37 °C for the indicated periods; (B, right panel) Unoxidized and oxidized BSA were incubated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated 26S proteasome at 37 °C for the indicated periods. All the reaction mixtures were subjected to SDS/PAGE. (C) SDS/PAGE data are expressed as percentage density of BSA at the indicated incubation times compared with time 0, and were obtained by densitometric analysis with ChemiDoc XRS and Quantity One software. Oxidized BSA levels after incubation with 26S and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated 26S are indicated by diamonds and squares respectively. Unoxidized BSA incubated with 26S and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated 26S are indicated by triangles and circles respectively. The experiments were performed in duplicate on two different protein preparations, and the average of the relative intensities of measurements, performed in triplicate, are expressed as means ± standard deviations.

signal for the degradation of oxidized proteins by piscine 26S proteasome.

Our data represent the first evidence of a direct involvement of the 26S proteasome in the degradation of oxidized proteins and in the antioxidant defense systems in fish inhabiting permanently cold marine environments. Therefore, the cold-adaptation in *T. bernacchii* to a highly oxidative environments may have had a greater effect on the 26S antioxidant capacity, making it more stable, less subject to disassembly and especially able to degrade oxidized proteins, differently from the isoform purified from mammalian cells [16,40]. However, further investigations are needed for a better understanding of the piscine 26S proteasome-catalysed degradation pathways.

### Cloning of the cDNAs of *T. bernacchii* 20S proteasome subunits

The rising number of sequencing projects of transcriptomes and genomes of teleosts has enriched the gene databases with sequence information of the fish proteasome subunits. However, the characterization of these sequences, mostly concerning the phylogenetic or expression analyses, has been scarce up to now [51–58]. Therefore, to gain insights into the molecular structure and evolution of the fish proteasomes, we decided to investigate the structure-function relationship of 20S proteasome from *T. bernacchii*, an organism naturally adapted to conditions of low temperature and high oxygen concentration. Specifically, based on the 3D structures of mammalian 20S [59–61], the three catalytic subunits (beta 1, beta 2 and beta 5) and those in close

**Table 2** cDNAs and the corresponding proteins of *T. bernacchii* proteasome subunits analysed in the present study, with relative nucleotide or amino acid lengths (in brackets) and GenBank accession numbers

cDNA (nt)	Proteasome subunit (aa)	GenBank accession number
Beta 1 <sub>Tb</sub> (714)	Beta 1 <sub>Tb</sub> (237)	KP735942
Beta 2 <sub>Tb</sub> (600)	Beta 2 <sub>Tb</sub> (199)	KP735943
Beta 5 <sub>Tb</sub> (829)	Beta 5 <sub>Tb</sub> (271)	KP735944
Alpha 4 <sub>Tb</sub> (786)	Alpha 4 <sub>Tb</sub> (261)	KP735945
Alpha 5 <sub>Tb</sub> (726)	Alpha 5 <sub>Tb</sub> (241)	KP735946
Alpha 7 <sub>Tb</sub> (759)	Alpha 7 <sub>Tb</sub> (252)	KP735947
Beta 3 <sub>Tb</sub> (618)	Beta 3 <sub>Tb</sub> (205)	KP735948


contact with them (alpha 4, alpha 5, alpha 7 and beta 3) were analysed. Starting from total RNA of *T. bernacchii*, the cDNAs of the seven aforementioned proteasome subunits, containing the entire coding regions, were amplified by RT-PCR using the oligonucleotides listed in Table 1 and the conditions described in Materials and Methods. The cDNAs, their predicted proteins and the relative accession numbers are listed in Table 2.

### Phylogenetic analysis of *T. bernacchii* 20S proteasome catalytic subunits

The MUSCLE amino acid sequence alignments of the analysed *T. bernacchii* proteasome subunits with their homologues from organisms belonging to different kingdoms and phyla, are shown in the Supplementary Figures S1–S7. A common feature of the alignments for the non-catalytic subunits (alpha 4, alpha 5, alpha 7 and beta 3) is the very high sequence conservation (identity ranging from 87 to 100%) among those from fish, mammal and amphibian and even from phylogenetically more distant organisms (the sequence identity never falls below 45%). On the contrary, the alignments of the three catalytic subunits (beta 1, beta 2 and beta 5) showed a greater sequence variability, specifically in the N- and C-terminal regions. The beta 5 alignment revealed a significant sequence specificity among the different phylogenetic groups, accompanied by a low overall degree of conservation (the minimum sequence identity value was 35% among all the species). On the contrary, the remaining two catalytic subunits, beta 1 and beta 2, displayed a greater sequence conservation among fish, mammal and amphibian (identity ranging from 77 to 99%), which strongly dropped down when the other species were considered (the minimum identity value: 14%).

Following the procedure described in Materials and Methods and using the sequence of the unique beta subunit of proteasome from the archaeobacterium *T. acidophilum* as outgroup (Supplementary Figure S8), a phylogenetic analysis for the three catalytic subunits was carried out and the tree with the best bootstrap consensus was built (Figure 6).

As expected, distinct clusters for the three subunits were revealed, with some discrepancies in the branch order within the individual organism subfamilies, which affected the rooting position in the tree of the sequences of *D. rerio* and *O. niloticus* within fish, *Xenopus laevis* within vertebrates, *Drosophila melanogaster*



**Figure 6** Phylogenetic analysis of the catalytic subunits of *T. bernacchii* 20S proteasome

The amino acid sequences of beta 1, beta 2 and beta 5 subunits of *T. bernacchii* were compared with their respective homologues from teleosts [*D. rerio*, *O. niloticus* and *Notothenia coriiceps* (the latter is an Antarctic Nototheniide)], mammals (*Homo sapiens* and *M. musculus*), amphibian (*X. laevis*), insect (*D. melanogaster*), nematode (*C. elegans*), plant (*A. thaliana*), whose species names and accession numbers are shown in the alignment of Supplementary Figure S8. The unique beta subunit sequence from the archaeobacterium *T. acidophilum* was used as outgroup. A tree with the best bootstrap consensus is shown, with numbers at nodes representing the confidence limits computed by the bootstrap procedure (1000 replicates). The clusters relative to the three beta subfamilies are indicated.

and *Caenorhabditis elegans* within invertebrates and *Arabidopsis thaliana* within plants. Interestingly, the sequences of *D. melanogaster* beta 1 and *C. elegans* beta 2 clustered together with those of beta 5 subfamily, rather than with those of their respective groups. These anomalies, already described [62], represent a peculiarity within these clusters and are the result of evolutionary events involving this important enzyme complex, which make very difficult the phylogenetic analysis.

### Molecular modelling of *T. bernacchii* proteasome subunits

The availability of the 3D structures of proteasome from different sources allowed to approach a molecular modelling analysis

**Table 3 H-bonds, intra-chain salt bridges and cysteine residues in the proteasome subunits from *T. bernacchii* and *M. musculus***

	H-bonds		Intra-chain salt bridges		Cysteine residues	
	<i>T. bernacchii</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>T. bernacchii</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>T. bernacchii</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>
Alpha 4	208	204	10	11	5	5
Alpha 5	181	176	8	7	3	3
Alpha 7	162	157	12	15	3	3
Beta 1	169	177	9	8	4	4
Beta 2	158	151	10	8	3	3
Beta 3	159	153	5	5	5	5
Beta 5	176	172	6	7	9	3

of seven *T. bernacchii* proteasome subunits, whose cDNAs have been cloned in the present study (Table 2). Specifically, due to the high level of sequence identity (>80%) and sequence coverage (>90%) between the subunits of *T. bernacchii* and the corresponding proteins from *Mus musculus*, we chosen the 3D murine structure of 20S proteasome as template. Interestingly, the beta 1 and beta 5 subunits from *T. bernacchii* presented dissimilarities in the N-terminal region, including 24 and 68 amino acids respectively, with no reference in the corresponding murine subunits.


According to the modelling procedures in use by our group (see Materials and Methods for details), we generated ten 3D models for each *T. bernacchii* subunits and then we selected the best structures in terms of stereochemical properties and energy evaluation. We report the values obtained with Procheck and ProsaWeb analyses in Supplementary Table S2. The obtained results clearly indicate a high quality and in some cases better energy parameters of the built models respect to the structures of the murine template, suggesting that the piscine subunits are well suited into their respective known fold. The 3D models of the seven subunits under investigation are reported in Figure 7. In addition, as shown in Table 3, a comparative analysis between *T. bernacchii* and mouse subunits was carried out, evaluating the number of some stabilizing factors, such as H-bonds, salt-bridges and cysteine residues. Specifically, although the increased H-bonds numbers suggested a higher stability for all the *T. bernacchii* subunits (other than the beta 1) respect to the corresponding mouse counterparts, a clear predominance in the number of the intra-chain salt-bridges between the proteasome subunits of the two organisms was not observed (Table 3). On the other hand the cysteine residues, whose presence might suggest the formation of intra- or inter-chain disulfide bonds, are well conserved in the murine and piscine subunits, excepted for the beta 2 (the same total number is due to a couple of aligned cysteine residues and another one presents in different positions) and the beta 5 subunits (Table 3). Specifically, the *T. bernacchii* beta 5 has nine cysteine residues against the three in mouse. Four of them are in the N-terminal region of 68 amino acids that, as mentioned, is not included in the 3D model of this subunit, whereas the remaining five cysteine residues could be involved in the formation of inter-chains bonds, being not adjacent in the space.

**Table 4 Analysis of inter-chain salt bridges in murine proteasome involving the seven subunits of interest**

	Number of salt-bridges	Amino acids involved
Alpha 4	8	Arg <sup>2</sup> , Arg <sup>7</sup> , Asp <sup>56</sup> , Asp <sup>115</sup> , Glu <sup>107</sup> , Glu <sup>180</sup>
Alpha 5	12	Asp <sup>1</sup> , Glu <sup>52</sup> , Glu <sup>61</sup> , Asp <sup>63</sup> , Asp <sup>82</sup> , Glu <sup>102</sup> , Glu <sup>117</sup> , Glu <sup>118</sup> , Asp <sup>119</sup> , Glu <sup>140</sup>
Alpha 7	9	Arg <sup>4</sup> , Arg <sup>35</sup> , Arg <sup>56</sup> , Arg <sup>80</sup> , Glu <sup>98</sup> , Glu <sup>99</sup> , Asp <sup>138</sup> , Lys <sup>156</sup>
Beta 1	8	Asp <sup>60</sup> , Lys <sup>66</sup> , Lys <sup>81</sup> , Arg <sup>100</sup> , Asp <sup>150</sup> , Glu <sup>184</sup> , Arg <sup>185</sup> , Asp <sup>213</sup>
Beta 2	8	Glu <sup>49</sup> , Glu <sup>58</sup> , Asp <sup>90</sup> , Arg <sup>93</sup> , Asp <sup>144</sup> , Glu <sup>165</sup> , Glu <sup>166</sup> , Arg <sup>170</sup>
Beta 3	14	Asp <sup>58</sup> , Arg <sup>65</sup> , Arg <sup>79</sup> , Arg <sup>98</sup> , Glu <sup>150</sup> , Glu <sup>154</sup> , Glu <sup>160</sup> , Arg <sup>176</sup> , Arg <sup>197</sup> , Lys <sup>200</sup> , Asp <sup>204</sup>
Beta 5	16	Arg <sup>19</sup> , Asp <sup>51</sup> , Arg <sup>64</sup> , Arg <sup>69</sup> , Lys <sup>81</sup> , Lys <sup>91</sup> , Lys <sup>106</sup> , Arg <sup>107</sup> , Arg <sup>120</sup> , Asp <sup>140</sup> , Arg <sup>141</sup> , Arg <sup>166</sup> , Asp <sup>197</sup>

These analyses have been focused on the single modelled subunits, which are expected to be near in the quaternary structure of the piscine proteasome by similarity to the corresponding murine isoform; however, for illustrative purposes, we generated a putative assembly for *T. bernacchii* 20S proteasome, in order to have an idea on the spatial arrangements of the subunits under investigation within the protein complex (Supplementary Figure S9).

Nevertheless, the ability to create inter-chain salt-bridges, that could modulate the flexibility of the entire proteasome assembly, can be investigated by considering what inter-chain interactions are present in the murine proteasome, and verifying the conservation in *T. bernacchii* subunits of the involved residues. In Table 4 are reported the number of inter-chain salt-bridges observed in mouse proteasome for the chains of interest. Interestingly, all the amino acids involved in the murine proteasome salt-bridges are well conserved between the two species and they are all present in *T. bernacchii*, except for two residues of beta 5 chain, a Serine and a Glutamine, which are the Arg<sup>141</sup> and the Asp<sup>197</sup> in the



**Figure 7** Schematic view of the backbone fold of seven proteasome subunits from *T. bernacchii*

Alpha helix, beta-strand and turn structures are indicated with red, cyan and green colours respectively. The positions of N- and C-termini are indicated by arrows. Models were generated as described in Materials and Methods section. Images have been created with Discovery Studio software.

corresponding subunit in mouse. Therefore, the *T. bernacchii* catalytic subunit beta 5 lacks the possibility to create two out of 16 inter-chain salt-bridges observed in the murine proteasome structure, with possible effects on the ability of the entire proteasome to adapt to substrates.

## CONCLUSIONS

One of the most important physical effects related to the lowering temperature is the increase in the oxygen solubility in water. For this reason, the Antarctic marine environ-

ment represents a unique natural habitat that deeply affects the life of its organisms [17,18]. Specifically, the Antarctic fish have had to adopt alternative strategies essential for their survival, being permanently subjected to a higher oxidative stress than the temperate species [1,3–6]. Therefore, these organisms represent a fascinating model to study the biological processes involved in protein degradation and antioxidant defense mechanisms.

In such a context, the purpose of this work was to shed important light on understanding such processes in organisms adapted to living under cold-induced oxidative stress conditions, through the investigation on the main enzyme system involved in the degradation pathway of damaged and oxidized

proteins: the proteasome. To this aim, the Antarctic notothenioid *T. bernacchii* was used as biological model system.

The present study highlighted two significant findings: 1) the protein degradation machinery in the cold-adapted specie seems to be more efficient respect to that of the temperate fish. 2) The cold-adaptation in *T. bernacchii* may have had a greater effect on the 26S antioxidant capacity, making it more stable, less subject to disassembly and especially able to degrade oxidized proteins, differently from the isoform purified from mammalian cells [16,40]. These unique functional properties were also reflected by the analysis of the 3D models of seven proteasome subunits, which revealed a higher structural stability of the piscine complex respect to the murine template.

Further investigations are needed in order to determine if the oxidative-resistance and the uncommon properties displayed by the *T. bernacchii* proteasome are the result of a cold adaptation or an intrinsic feature of the piscine isoforms.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Gianna Palmieri, Marta Gogliettino and Ennio Cocca performed conception and design of the study; Marta Gogliettino, Alessia Riccio, Carmela Fusco, Vincenzo Cecere Palazzo and Marco Balestrieri performed data acquisition; Gianna Palmieri, Ennio Cocca, Angelo Facchiano and Marco Balestrieri performed data analysis and interpretation; Gianna Palmieri and Marta Gogliettino drafted the manuscript; Gianna Palmieri, Ennio Cocca, Marco Balestrieri and Mosè Rossi did critical revision of the manuscript; and Gianna Palmieri, Ennio Cocca, Marta Gogliettino, Angelo Facchiano, Alessia Riccio, Vincenzo Cecere Palazzo, Carmela Fusco, Marco Balestrieri and Mosè Rossi approved the final version.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the logistic support provided to our research team at Mario Zucchelli Station.

#### FUNDING

This study was funded by the PNRA 2013/AZ1.20 project “The emergent role of new globins of Antarctic fish in the defense against oxidative and nitrosative stress”. In addition, this research was funded by Regione Campania under POR Campania FESR 2007/2013-O.O. 2.1 - Rete delle Biotecnologie in Campania (FarmaBioNet project) and under Legge 5 L.R. 28/5/02 N.5. D. n. 73 22/12/2014 (Project: Inibitori di proteasi archaeali appartenenti alla famiglia delle PEBP).

## REFERENCES

- 1 Chen, L., DeVries, A.L. and Cheng, C.-H.C. (1997) Evolution of antifreeze glycoprotein gene from a trypsinogen gene in Antarctic notothenioid fish. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94**, 3811–3816 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 2 Somero, G.N. (2004) Adaptation of enzymes to temperature: searching for basic “strategies”. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **134**, 321–333 [CrossRef](#)
- 3 Cheng, C.-H.C. and Detrich, III, H.W. (2007) Molecular ecophysiology of Antarctic notothenioid fishes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **362**, 2215–2232 [CrossRef](#)
- 4 O'Brien, K.M. and Mueller, I.A. (2010) The unique mitochondrial form and function of antarctic Channichthyid icefishes. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **50**, 993–1008 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 5 Lamarre, S.G., Blier, P.U., Driedzic, W.R. and Le Francois, N.R. (2010) White muscle 20S proteasome activity is negatively correlated to growth rate at low temperature in the spotted wolffish *Anarhichas minor*. *J. Fish. Biol.* **76**, 1565–1575 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 6 Hofmann, G.E., Buckley, B.A., Airaksinen, S., Keen, J.E. and Somero, G.N. (2000) Heat shock protein expression is absent in the antarctic fish *Trematomus bernacchii* (family Nototheniidae). *J. Exp. Biol.* **203**, 2331–2339 [PubMed](#)
- 7 Jaenicke, R. (1990) Protein structure and function at low temperature. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **326**, 535–553 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 8 Jaenicke, R. (1991) Protein stability and molecular adaptation to extreme conditions. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **202**, 715–728 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 9 Fujita, J. (1999) Cold shock response in mammalian cells. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **1**, 243–255 [PubMed](#)
- 10 Todgham, A.E., Hoaglund, E.A. and Hofmann, G.E. (2007) Is cold the new hot? Elevated ubiquitin-conjugated protein levels in tissues of Antarctic fish as evidence for cold-denaturation of proteins *in vivo*. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **177**, 857–866 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 11 Hochstrasser, M. (1995) Ubiquitin, proteasomes, and the regulation of intracellular protein degradation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **7**, 215–223 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 12 Lecker, S.H., Goldberg, A.L. and Mitch, W.E. (2006) Protein degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway in normal and disease states. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **17**, 1807–1819 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 13 Sorokin, A.V., Kim, E.R. and Ovchinnikov, L.P. (2009) Proteasome system of protein degradation and processing. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* **74**, 1411–1442 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 14 Kim, H.M., Yu, Y. and Cheng, Y. (2011) Structure characterization of the 26S proteasome. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1809**, 67–79 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 15 da Fonseca, R.C.A., He, J. and Morris, E.P. (2012) Molecular model of the human 26S proteasome. *Mol. Cell* **46**, 54–66 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 16 Livnat-Levanon, N., Kevei, E., Kleifeld, O., Krutauz, D., Segref, A., Rinaldi, T., Erpapazoglou, Z., Cohen, M., Reis, N., Hoppe, T. and Glickman, M.H. (2014) Reversible 26S proteasome disassembly upon mitochondrial stress. *Cell Rep.* **7**, 1371–1380 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 17 Regoli, F., Benedetti, M., Krell, A. and Abele, D. (2012) Oxidative challenges in polar seas. In *Oxidative stress in aquatic ecosystems* (Abele, D., Vazquez-Medina, J.P. and Zenteno-Savin, T., eds), Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- 18 Eastman, J.T. (2004) The nature of the diversity of Antarctic fishes. *Polar Biol.* **28**, 93–107 [CrossRef](#)
- 19 Abele, D. and Puntarulo, S. (2004) Formation of reactive species and induction of antioxidant defence systems in polar and temperate marine invertebrates and fish. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **138**, 405–415 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 20 Gogliettino, M., Riccio, A., Balestrieri, M., Cocca, E., Facchiano, A., D'Arco, T.M., Tesoro, C., Rossi, M. and Palmieri, G. (2013) A novel class of bifunctional acylpeptide hydrolases: potential role in the antioxidant defense systems of the Antarctic fish *Trematomus bernacchii*. *FEBS J.* **281**, 401–415 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 21 Riccio, A., Gogliettino, M., Palmieri, G., Balestrieri, M., Facchiano, A., Rossi, M., Palumbo, S., Monti, G. and Cocca, E. (2015) A new APEH cluster with antioxidant functions in the antarctic hemoglobinless icefish *Chionodraco hamatus*. *PLoS One* **10**, e0125594 [CrossRef PubMed](#)

- 22 Benedetti, M., Nigro, M. and Regoli, F. (2010) Characterisation of antioxidant defences in three Antarctic notothenioid species from Terra Nova Bay (Ross Sea). *Chem. Ecol.* **26**, 305–314 [CrossRef](#)
- 23 Ben-Nissan, G. and Sharon, M. (2014) Regulating the 20S proteasome ubiquitin-independent degradation pathway. *Biomolecules* **4**, 862–884 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 24 Höhn, T.J. and Grune, T. (2014) The proteasome and the degradation of oxidized proteins: Part III-redox regulation of the proteasomal system. *Redox Biol.* **2**, 388–394 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 25 Davies, K.J.A. (2001) Degradation of oxidized proteins by the 20S proteasome. *Biochimie* **8**, 301–310 [CrossRef](#)
- 26 Aiken, C.T., Kaake, R.M., Wang, X. and Huang, L. (2011) Oxidative stress-mediated regulation of proteasome complexes. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **10**, R110.006924 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 27 Bradford, M.M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **72**, 248–254 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 28 Laemmli, U.K. (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* **227**, 680–685 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 29 Holzl, H., Kapelari, B., Kellermann, J., Seemuller, E., Sumegi, M., Udvardy, A., Medalia, O., Sperling, J., Muller, S.A., Engel, A. and Baumeister, W. (2000) The regulatory complex of *Drosophila melanogaster* 26S proteasomes: Subunit composition and localization of a deubiquitylating enzyme. *J. Cell Biol.* **150**, 119–130 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 30 Leggett, D.S., Glickman, M.H. and Finley, D. (2005) Purification of proteasomes, proteasome subcomplexes, and proteasome-associated proteins from budding yeast. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **301**, 57–70 [PubMed](#)
- 31 Fujino, T., Ishikawa, T., Inoue, M., Beppu, M. and Kikugawa, K. (1998) Characterization of membrane-bound serine protease related to degradation of oxidatively damaged erythrocyte membrane proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1374**, 47–55 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 32 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipowski, A. and Kumar, S. (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 2725–2729 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 33 Marabotti, A. and Facchiano, A.M. (2005) Homology modeling studies on human galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase and on its galactosemia-related mutant Q188R provide an explanation of molecular effects of the mutation on homo- and heterodimers. *J. Med. Chem.* **48**, 773–779 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 34 Modeller 9.12. Program for comparative structure modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. <http://salilab.org/modeller/> [PubMed](#)
- 35 Wiederstein, M. and Sippl, M.J. (2007) ProSA-web: interactive web service for the recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. *Nucleic Acid Res.* **35**, W407–W410 [CrossRef](#)
- 36 Laskowski, R.A., MacArthur, M.W., Moss, D.S. and Thornton, J.M. (1993) PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **26**, 283–291 [CrossRef](#)
- 37 McDonald, I.K. and Thornton, J.M. (1994) Satisfying hydrogen bonding potential in proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* **238**, 777–793 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 38 Paladino, A., Costantini, S., Colonna, G. and Facchiano, A.M. (2008) Molecular modelling of miraculin: structural analyses and functional hypotheses. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **367**, 26–32 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 39 Tai, H.C., Besche, H., Goldberg, A.L. and Schuman, E.M. (2010) Characterization of the brain 26S proteasome and its interacting proteins. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* [CrossRef](#)
- 40 Reinheckel, T., Sitte, N., Ullrich, O., Kuckelkorn, U., Davies, K.J. and Grune, T. (1998) Comparative resistance of the 20S and 26S proteasome to oxidative stress. *Biochem. J.* **335**, 637–642 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 41 Bousquet-Dubouch, M.P., Baudelet, E., Guérin, F., Matondo, M., Uttenweiler-Joseph, S., Bulet-Schiltz, O. and Monsarrat, B. (2009) Affinity purification strategy to capture human endogenous proteasome complexes diversity and to identify proteasome-interacting proteins. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **8**, 1150–1164 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 42 Bajorek, M. and Glickman, M.H. (2004) Keepers at the final gates: regulatory complexes and gating of the proteasome channel. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **61**, 1579–1588 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 43 Forster, A., Whitby, F.G. and Hill, C.P. (2003) The pore of activated 20S proteasomes has an ordered 7-fold symmetric conformation. *EMBO J.* **22**, 4356–4364 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 44 Orłowski, M. and Wilk, S. (2003) Ubiquitin-independent proteolytic functions of the proteasome. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **415**, 1–5 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 45 Ugai, S., Tamura, T., Tanahashi, N., Takai, S., Komi, N., Chung, C.H., Tanaka, K. and Ichihara, A. (1993) Purification and characterization of the 26S proteasome complex catalyzing ATP-dependent breakdown of ubiquitin-ligated proteins from rat liver. *J. Biochem.* **113**, 754–768 [PubMed](#)
- 46 Dutaud, D., Aubry, L., Sentandreu, M.A. and Ouali, A. (2006) Bovine muscle 20S proteasome: I. Simple purification procedure and enzymatic characterization in relation with postmortem conditions. *Meat Sci.* **74**, 327–336 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 47 Brunialti, E.A., Gatti-Lafranconi, P. and Lotti, M. (2011) Promiscuity, stability and cold adaptation of a newly isolated acylaminoacyl peptidase. *Biochimie* **93**, 1543–1554 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 48 Parravicini, F., Natalello, A., Papaleo, E., De Gioia, L., Doglia, S.M., Lotti, M. and Brocca, S. (2013) Reciprocal influence of protein domains in the cold-adapted acyl aminoacyl peptidase from *Sporosarcina psychrophila*. *PLoS One* **8**, e56254 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 49 Kehrer, J.P., Robertson, J.D. and Smith, C.V. (2010) Free radicals and reactive oxygen species. In *Comprehensive Toxicology*. 2nd edn (McQueen, C., ed.), Elsevier Ltd, Amsterdam
- 50 Fujino, T., Kojima, M., Beppu, M., Kikugawa, K., Yasuda, H. and Takahashi, K. (2000) Identification of the cleavage sites of oxidized protein that are susceptible to oxidized protein hydrolase (OPH) in the primary and tertiary structures of the protein. *J. Biochem.* **127**, 1087–1093 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 51 Tokumoto, M., Horiguchi, R., Nagahama, Y., Ishikawa, K. and Tokumoto, T. (2000) Two proteins, a goldfish 20S proteasome subunit and the protein interacting with 26S proteasome, change in the meiotic cell cycle. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **267**, 97–103 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 52 Clark, M.S., Pontarotti, P., Gilles, A., Kelly, A. and Elgar, G. (2000) Identification and characterization of a beta proteasome subunit cluster in the Japanese pufferfish (*Fugu rubripes*). *J. Immunol.* **165**, 4446–4452 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 53 Tsukamoto, K., Miura, F., Fujito, N.T., Yoshizaki, G. and Nonaka, M. (2012) Long-lived dichotomous lineages of the proteasome subunit beta type 8 (PSMB8) gene surviving more than 500 million years as alleles or paralogs. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 3071–3079 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 54 Sutoh, Y., Kondo, M., Ohta, Y., Ota, T., Tomaru, U., Flajnik, M.F. and Kasahara, M. (2012) Comparative genomic analysis of the proteasome  $\beta 5t$  subunit gene: implications for the origin and evolution of thymoproteasomes. *Immunogenetics* **64**, 49–58 [CrossRef PubMed](#)
- 55 Kasthuri, S.R., Umasuthan, N., Whang, I., Kim, E., Park, H.C. and Lee, J. (2013) Genomic structural characterization and transcriptional expression analysis of proteasome activator PA28 $\alpha$  and PA28 $\beta$  subunits from *Oplegnathus fasciatus*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **35**, 1224–1234 [CrossRef PubMed](#)



- 56 Kasthuri, S.R., Umasuthan, N., Whang, I., Lim, B.S., Jung, H.B., Oh, M.J., Jung, S.J., Yeo, S.Y., Kim, S.Y. and Lee, J. (2014) Molecular characterization and expressional affirmation of the beta proteasome subunit cluster in rock bream immune defense. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **41**, 5413–5427 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#)
- 57 Salmerón, C., Navarro, I., Johnston, I.A., Gutiérrez, J. and Capilla, E. (2015) Characterisation and expression analysis of cathepsins and ubiquitin-proteasome genes in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) skeletal muscle. *BMC Res. Notes* **8**, 149 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#)
- 58 Rolland, M., Dalsgaard, J., Holm, J., Gómez-Requeni, P and Skov, P.V. (2015) Dietary methionine level affects growth performance and hepatic gene expression of GH-IGF system and protein turnover regulators in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed plant protein-based diets. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **81**, 33–41 [CrossRef](#)
- 59 Unno, M., Mizushima, T., Morimoto, Y., Tomisugi, Y., Tanaka, K., Yasuoka, N. and Tsukihara, T. (2002) The structure of the mammalian 20S proteasome at 2.75 Å resolution. *Structure* **10**, 609–618 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#)
- 60 da Fonseca, P.C. and Morris, E.P. (2008) Structure of the human 26S proteasome: subunit radial displacements open the gate into the proteolytic core. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 23305–23314 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#)
- 61 Huber, E.M., Basler, M., Schwab, R., Heinemeyer, W., Kirk, C.J., Groettrup, M. and Groll, M. (2012) Immuno- and constitutive proteasome crystal structures reveal differences in substrate and inhibitor specificity. *Cell* **148**, 727–738 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#)
- 62 Volker, C. and Lupas, A.N. (2002) Molecular evolution of proteasomes. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **268**, 1–22 [PubMed](#)

---

Received 14 December 2015/9 February 2016; accepted 15 February 2016

---

Version of Record published 1 March 2016, doi 10.1042/BSR20160022

---



**Table S1: Purification procedure of 26S proteasome isoform from *T. bernacchii* red blood cells (RBCs)**

<b>Purification step</b>	<b>Total activity (U)</b>	<b>Total protein (mg)</b>	<b>Specific activity (U/mg)</b>	<b>U/ml blood</b>	<b>Purification fold</b>	<b>Yield (%)</b>
<b>Extract</b>	645120	99.4	6490	586473	1	100
<b>DEAE</b>	303206	18.8	16128	-	2.5	47
<b>Phenyl peak 1</b>	30321	1.4	21658	-	3.3	4.7
<b>Phenyl peak 2</b>	23953	2.9	8260	-	1.3	3.7
<b>Superdex 200 peak 1</b>	758	0.02	37900	-	5.8	0.1

The proteasome activity was measured using LLVY as substrate and expressed assuming  $\epsilon=1 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

**Table S2: Structural analysis of the ten models obtained for the seven proteasome subunits.** Analyses have been performed with PROCHECK, PROSA web and Hbplus. Columns concerning the PROCHECK analysis report, as absolute numbers and percentage, the amino acids falling in the Ramachandran plot regions (most favoured, additional favoured, generously allowed, disallowed). PROSA web results report the Z-score obtained, which give a whole measure of the quality of the model in comparison to the value obtained for the template chain (last line for each table). The Hbplus column reports the number of H-bonds observed for each model. This is not a measure of model quality, but it is reported for a comparison among models and to the template chain.

#### Subunit alpha 4

PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
	Number of amino acids in regions:				Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>	<i>Additional</i>	<i>Generously Disallowed</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Most favoured</i>	<i>Additional</i>	<i>Generously Disallowed</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	Z-score	Hbonds
<b>Model 1</b>	214	10	0	0	95.5	4.5	0	0	-7.12	214
<b>Model 2</b>	215	9	0	0	96	4	0	0	-7.07	208
<b>Model 3</b>	215	9	0	0	96	4	0	0	-7.04	214
<b>Model 4</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-7.24</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>Model 5</b>	214	10	0	0	95.5	4.5	0	0	-7.1	209
<b>Model 6</b>	211	13	0	0	94.2	5.8	0	0	-7.14	201
<b>Model 7</b>	215	9	0	0	96	4	0	0	-7.11	213
<b>Model 8</b>	215	9	0	0	96	4	0	0	-7.12	207
<b>Model 9</b>	215	9	0	0	96	4	0	0	-7.11	208
<b>Model 10</b>	215	9	0	0	96	4	0	0	-7.14	201
Template: 3UNB_B 248aa									-7.12	204

### Subunit alpha 5

PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
	Number of amino acids in regions:				Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>	<i>Generously</i>		<i>Most favoured</i>	<i>Generously</i>		<i>Z-score</i>			
	<i>Additional</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Additional</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Z-score</i>	<i>Hbonds</i>	
<b>Model 1</b>	199	7	0	0	96.6	3.4	0	0	-7.44	179
<b>Model 2</b>	197	9	0	0	95.6	4.4	0	0	-7.61	178
<b>Model 3</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>97.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-7.52</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Model 4</b>	199	7	0	0	96.6	3.4	0	0	-7.51	168
<b>Model 5</b>	198	7	1	0	96.1	3.4	0.5	0	-7.37	190
<b>Model 6</b>	196	10	0	0	95.1	4.9	0	0	-7.57	183
<b>Model 7</b>	198	7	1	0	96.6	3.4	0.5	0	-7.4	185
<b>Model 8</b>	198	8	0	0	96.6	3.9	0	0	-7.41	180
<b>Model 9</b>	198	8	0	0	96.6	3.9	0	0	-7.58	179
<b>Model 10</b>	198	8	0	0	96.6	3.9	0	0	-7.46	179
Template: 3UNB_D 233aa									-7.24	176

## Subunit alpha 7

PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
Number of amino acids in regions:					Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>	<i>Generously</i>		<i>Most favoured</i>	<i>Generously</i>		<i>Z-score</i>		<i>Hbonds</i>	
	<i>Additional</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>	<i>Additional</i>	<i>Disallowed</i>					
<b>Model 1</b>	207	6	3	0	95.8	2.8	1.4	0	-7.32	173
<b>Model 2</b>	206	7	3	0	95.4	3.2	1.4	0	-7.33	166
<b>Model 3</b>	206	6	3	1	95.4	2.8	1.4	0.5	-7.28	175
<b>Model 4</b>	206	7	3	0	95.4	3.2	1.4	0	-7.4	165
<b>Model 5</b>	206	7	3	0	95.4	3.2	1.4	0	-7.37	168
<b>Model 6</b>	207	5	3	1	95.8	2.3	1.4	0.5	-7.39	168
<b>Model 7</b>	208	5	3	0	96.3	2.3	1.4	0	-7.43	179
<b>Model 8</b>	203	10	3	0	94	4.6	1.4	0	-7.37	183
<b>Model 9</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-7.38</b>	<b>162</b>
<b>Model 10</b>	208	5	3	0	96.3	2.3	1.4	0	-7.27	168
Template: 3UNB_C 239aa									-7.97	157

### Subunit beta 1

PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
	Number of amino acids in regions:				Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		Z-score	Hbonds
	<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>		<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>			
<b>Model 1</b>	175	9	0	1	94.6	4.9	0	0.5	-7.85	159
<b>Model 2</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>95.1</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>-7.96</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Model 3</b>	174	10	0	1	94.1	5.4	0	0.5	-7.98	166
<b>Model 4</b>	174	10	0	1	94.1	5.4	0	0.5	-7.97	159
<b>Model 5</b>	174	10	0	1	94.1	5.4	0	0.5	-7.98	166
<b>Model 6</b>	174	10	0	1	94.1	5.4	0	0.5	-7.92	154
<b>Model 7</b>	173	11	0	1	93.5	5.9	0	0.5	-7.97	161
<b>Model 8</b>	175	9	0	1	94.6	4.9	0	0.5	-7.88	169
<b>Model 9</b>	174	10	0	1	94.1	5.4	0	0.5	-7.89	170
<b>Model 10</b>	173	11	0	1	93.5	5.9	0	0.5	-7.96	158
Template: 3UNB_L 213 aa									-7.78	177

## Subunit beta 2

PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
Number of amino acids in regions:					Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		Z-score	Hbonds
	<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>		<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>			
<b>Model 1</b>	168	10	0	0	94.4	5.6	0	0	-6.41	146
<b>Model 2</b>	166	11	1	0	93.3	6.2	0.6	0	-6.58	154
<b>Model 3</b>	167	10	1	0	93.8	5.6	0.6	0	-6.51	160
<b>Model 4</b>	168	10	0	0	94.4	5.6	0	0	-6.38	152
<b>Model 5</b>	168	10	0	0	94.4	5.6	0	0	-6.44	153
<b>Model 6</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>95.5</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-6.38</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>Model 7</b>	167	11	0	0	93.8	6.2	0	0	-6.50	154
<b>Model 8</b>	167	11	0	0	93.8	6.2	0	0	-6.52	155
<b>Model 9</b>	167	10	1	0	93.8	5.6	0.6	0	-6.42	156
<b>Model 10</b>	168	9	1	0	94,4	5.1	0.6	0	-6.50	155
Template: 3UNB_J 196 aa									-5.98	151

### Subunit beta 3


PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
	Number of amino acids in regions:				Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		Z-score	Hbonds
	<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>		<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>			
<b>Model 1</b>	168	7	1	2	94.4	3.9	0,6	1.1	-8.49	152
<b>Model 2</b>	168	7	1	2	94.4	3.9	0,6	1.1	-8.35	152
<b>Model 3</b>	168	7	1	2	94.4	3.9	0,6	1.1	-8.44	149
<b>Model 4</b>	169	6	1	2	94.9	3.4	0,6	1.1	-8.46	151
<b>Model 5</b>	168	7	1	2	94.4	3.9	0,6	1.1	-8.50	149
<b>Model 6</b>	168	7	1	2	94.4	3.9	0,6	1.1	-8.55	151
<b>Model 7</b>	169	6	1	2	94.9	3.4	0,6	1.1	-8.42	155
<b>Model 8</b>	168	7	1	2	94.4	3.9	0,6	1.1	-8.38	149
<b>Model 9</b>	170	6	1	1	95.5	3.4	0,6	0.6	-8.41	160
<b>Model 10</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>95.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>0,6</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>-8.51</b>	<b>159</b>
Template: 3UNB_I 204 aa									-8.26	153

## Subunit beta 5


PROCHECK									PROSA web	Hbplus
	Number of amino acids in regions:				Percentage					
	<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		<i>Most favoured</i>		<i>Generously</i>		Z-score	Hbonds
	<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>		<i>Additional</i>		<i>Disallowed</i>			
Model 1	168	10	0	0	94.4	5.6	0	0	-6.18	167
Model 2	169	9	0	0	94.9	5.1	0	0	-6.11	173
Model 3	169	9	0	0	94.9	5.1	0	0	-6.22	169
Model 4	170	8	0	0	95.5	4.5	0	0	-6.23	172
Model 5	170	8	0	0	95.5	4.5	0	0	-6.42	165
Model 6	<b>172</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-6.45</b>	<b>176</b>
Model 7	172	6	0	0	96.6	3.4	0	0	-6.21	189
Model 8	172	7	0	0	96.1	3.9	0	0	-6.27	165
Model 9	169	9	0	0	94.9	5.1	0	0	-6.16	174
Model 10	170	8	0	0	95.5	4.5	0	0	-6.22	166
Template: 3UNB_K 205 aa									-6.32	172




Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652031 --MQ-PDFD TD----- 20 40 60 ---TPVS-T GTTMAVEFD GGVI GADSR 34  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_498806 MTSFTGITAV ANATNEMAMF KQAMKEVAAH PEWMSSRQIE RQRWNPYSME GGSTCAISGE NFAIVASDTR 70  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_191641 ---MTKQH AN----- ---WSPYDNN GGTCVAIAGS DYCVAADTR 34  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001080435 --M-SSESI LNRELNRSY ---DHYHTGPVE -QRFNPTFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR 54  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_035315 --ML-STAA Y RDVERELGM ----- GPHGSAGPVQ -LRFSPYAFN GGTVLAVAGE DFSIVASDTR 55  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002784 --MLSSTAMY SAPGRDLGM ----- EPHRAAGPLQ -LRFSPYAFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR 56  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001003889 --ML-SAQA Y GENK--M----- -HKFSPYAFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR 52  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003454565 --ML-SSQHF GDPGK--M----- KDYHYTGPVE -HKFSPYAFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR 52  
 Trematomus bernacchii --ML-SSQSY QDPGK--M----- QDYHYSGPVE -HRFSPYSFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR 52  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781254 --ML-SSQSY QDPGK--M----- KDYHYSGPVE -HRFSPYSFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR 52  
 Consensus --ML-SSQXY XDPGK--M----- KDYHYXGPVE -HRFSPYSFN GGTVLAVAGE DFAIVASDTR




Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652031 TSSG-AYVAN RVTDKLTRIT DKVYCCRS GS AADTQAADJ VANSINYHEN QTNKDALVEE AASEFRNYCY 103  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_498806 MTQNDINILT RDAEKIQILN DNIILTTS GF VGDVLOKKV LQSR LHKYRF DYRSDMSVD CAELSRNLY 140  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_191641 MSTG-YSILS RDYSKTHKLA DRAVLSSSGF QADV KALQKV LKSRHLIQH QHNKQMSCPA MAQLLSNTLY 103  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001080435 LSEG-YSIHS RNTPKCYKLT DKTIVGCTGF HADCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKTMTSGA IAAMLSTILY 123  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_035315 LSEG-FSIIHT RDSPKCYKLT DKTIVGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKAMTTGA IAAMLSTILY 124  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002784 LSEG-FSIIHT RDSPKCYKLT DKTIVGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKAMTTGA IAAMLSTILY 125  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001003889 LSEG-YSIHS RDSPKCYKLT DTTVIGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKMTSGA IAAMLSTILY 121  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003454565 LSEG-YSIHS RDSPKCYKLT DTTVIGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKTMTSGA IAAMLSTILY 121  
 Trematomus bernacchii LSEG-YSIHS RDSPKCYKLT DTTVIGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKTMTSNA IAAMLSTILY 121  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781254 LSEG-YSIHS RDSPKCYKLT DTTVIGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKTMTSNA IAAMLSTILY 121  
 Consensus LSEG-YSIHS RDSPKCYKLT DXTVIGCSGF HGDCLLTKI IEARLKMYKH SNNKTMTSGA IAAMLSTILY



Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652031 SYR-ESLLAG LIVAGWDEQR GGQVYSIPLG GMLTRESCTI GSGSSSFIYGF F-----VREHYR 159  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_498806 YRRFFPYTYG AILAGIDEHG KGAVFSYDPI GCITERLGYSA SGAAPMIIIP FLDCQIGHVT ---LSEGYER 207  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_191641 FKRFFPYTAF NVLGGDEEG KGCVFYDADF GSYERVYGA QSGSTLIMP FLDNQIKSPS PLLLPKODSN 173  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001080435 SRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEEG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDAYKA GGSASAMLQP FLDNQIGFKN ----MQNVEQ 189  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_035315 SRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEEG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDSFKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ----MQNVEH 190  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002784 SRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEEG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDSFKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ----MQNVEH 191  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001003889 GRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEEG RGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDTYKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ----MENVEH 187  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003454565 SRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEEG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDTYKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ----MEGVEH 187  
 Trematomus bernacchii GRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEHG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDTYKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ----MEGVQH 187  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781254 GRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEHG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDTYKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ----MEGVQH 187  
 Consensus SRRFFPYVYV NIIGLDEEG KGAVYSFDPV GSYQRDTYKA GGSASAMLQP LLDNQIGFKN ---MEXVEH




Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652031 PNMALEDCTI FVKKAVQHAL YHDGSSGGVY RIGII-TKD G IERRIFYNTE SGASAVSSTP SFISSSE 224  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_498806 PELTDRALIS LMKDSFRGAA EREISTGDKI HLYIAEAGK P VVVK-----FLP --RED 258  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_191641 TPSEAEAVD LVKTVFASAT ERDIYTGDKL EIMIL-KADG IKTE-----LMD --LRKD 223  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001080435 PLTLLEKALK LKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L HSIIV-TKD G VREE-----SIS --LRKD 239  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_035315 VPLTLDRAMR LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L RICIV-TKE G IREE-----TVP --LRKD 240  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002784 VPLSLDRAMR LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L RICIV-TKE G IREE-----TVP --LRKD 241  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001003889 VPLTQEKAMQ LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L KVICIV-SKE G IREE-----TVP --LRKD 237  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003454565 VPLTKDKAMQ LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L RICIV-TKE G INEE-----TVP --LRKD 237  
 Trematomus bernacchii VPLTQERAVQ LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L RICIV-TKE G INEQ-----TVP --LRKD 237  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781254 VPLTQERAVQ LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L RICIV-TKE G INEQ-----TVP --LRKD 237  
 Consensus VPLTLERAVQ LVKDVFI SAA ERDVYTGDA L RICIX-TKEG IXEE-----TVP --LRKD



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_652031	1	15,02	21,25	21,26	21,57	21,09	19,84	21,83	21,83	21,83
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_498806	2	41	35,25	36,82	37,98	36,43	37,21	37,21	38,76	38,37
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_191641	3	51	92	42,80	43,03	43,27	44,40	44,40	43,15	43,57
Xenopus laevis_NP_001080435	4	54	95	104	78,33	77,18	79,17	80,42	78,75	78,33
Mus musculus_NP_035315	5	55	98	105	188	93,36	81,67	82,50	81,67	80,83
Homo sapiens_NP_002784	6	54	94	106	186	225	79,67	80,91	80,50	80,50
Danio rerio_NP_001003889	7	50	96	107	190	196	192	90,72	88,61	88,19
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003454565	8	55	96	107	193	198	195	215	91,98	91,56
Trematomus bernacchii	9	55	100	104	189	196	194	210	218	98,73
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010781254	10	55	99	105	188	194	194	209	217	234

**Figure S1. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome subunit beta 1 amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).

Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	MATADVKSTV	PHMDMDRGGG	EDFSNCLRNG	AMCKMGGKAP	KLTSTGTTIV	AVAFKGG	LVM	GADSRATAGN	70
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	-----	-----	-----	MECVFGLMGN	GF	-----	ALV	AADTSAVHS	25
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	-----	-----	-----	METLGLKGP	DF	-----	VM	AADTTHARS	25
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	-----	-----	-----	MEYLIGIQGP	DY	-----	VLV	ASDRVAASNI	25
Mus musculus_NP_036100	-----	-----	-----	MEYLIGIQGP	DY	-----	VLV	ASDRVAASNI	25
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	-----	-----	-----	MEYLIGIQGN	DF	-----	VLV	AADTYCANSI	25
Trematodus bernacchii	-----	-----	-----	MEYLVGIQGP	DF	-----	VLV	AADNVAASSI	25
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	-----	-----	-----	MEYLVGIQGP	DF	-----	VLV	AADNVAASSI	25
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	-----	-----	-----	MEYLIGIQGP	DF	-----	VLV	AADNVAASSI	25
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	-----	-----	-----	MEYLIGIQGP	DF	-----	VLV	AADNVAASSI	25
Consensus	-----	-----	-----	MEYLIGIQGP	DF	-----	VLV	AADNVAASSI	




Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	IAADKHCEKV	HKLTESLYAC	GAGTAADDQ	NTKMSGNLR	LELNTGRKA	RVITARQAK	QHLFNQGYI	140
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	LHKNEKDKI	MTLDSHKLVA	ASGEPGDRVQ	FTEYVQKNVS	LYQFRNGIP	STAAAANFTR	GLATALR-K	94
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	IVMKEDQNKI	HKVSDSLLIS	TVGEGDTEQG	FTEFISKNIA	LYKMRNGYDL	SPRESAHFTR	KNLAEYLRSR	95
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	VQMKDDHDKM	FKMSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTVQ	FAEYIQKNVQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPTAAANFTR	RNLADCLRSR	95
Mus musculus_NP_036100	VQMKDDHDKM	FKMSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTVQ	FAEYIQKNVQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPTAAANFTR	RNLADCLRSR	95
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	IQMKHDQDKM	FKMSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTVQ	FAEYIQKNVQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPTAAANFTR	RNLADYLRSR	95
Trematodus bernacchii	IQMKHDQDKM	FKLSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTAQ	FAEYIQKNLQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPSAAANFTR	KNLADYLRSR	95
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	IQMKHDQDKM	FKLSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTAQ	FAEYIQKNLQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPSAAANFTR	KNLADYLRSR	95
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	IQMKHDQDKM	FKLSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTVQ	FAEYIQKNVQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPSAAANFTR	KNLADYLRSR	95
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	IQMKHDYDKM	FKLSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTVQ	FAEYIQKNVQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPAAAANFTR	KNLADYLRSR	95
Consensus	IQMKHDQDKM	FKLSEKLLL	CVGEAGDTVQ	FAEYIQKNVQ	LYKMRNGYEL	SPXAAANFTR	KNLADYLRSR	



Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	GAYVLLG	GVDPT-GPH	YMCSANGTTM	AFPFTAQSG	SYYAATILER	DFKVDMTKDE	AEKLVQRAL	206
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	NPYSVNI LMA	GDKK EAGASL	YYIDYATLH	KVDKGA FGYG	SYFSLSTMDR	HYRSDMSVEE	ALIELVQKCIL	164
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	TPYQVFMFVA	GYPDNAGPEL	TFIDYLANA	PNNYAGHG	ALFASSJYDR	YWHPNITQAE	AYDVEKCCIA	165
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDEHGPAL	YYMDY AALA	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTLSILDR	YYTPTISRER	AVELLRKCIE	165
Mus musculus_NP_036100	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDEHGPAL	YYMDY AALA	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTLSILDR	YYTPTISRER	AVELLRKCIE	165
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDEHAGPSL	YYMDYLSALA	KTRFAAHGYG	AYLTLSILDR	YYKPDLTRED	AVELLKCCIS	165
Trematodus bernacchii	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDETGPGL	YYMDYSSLS	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTLSILDQ	HYKPDLTREE	AVDLLKCCIE	165
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDETGPGL	YYMDYSSLS	KAPFAAHGYG	AYLTLSILDQ	HYKPDLTREE	AVDLLKCCIE	165
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDETGPGL	YYMDYLSALA	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTLSILDR	YYKPDLTREE	AVDLLKCCIE	165
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDDTGPGL	YYMDHSSSLA	KAPFAAHGYG	AYLTLSILDR	YYRPDL SRDE	AVDLLKCCVE	165
Consensus	TPYHVNLLA	GYPDETGPGL	YYMDYLSALA	KAPFAAHGYG	AYLTLSILDR	YYKPDLTREE	AVDLLKCCIE	



Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	AGMHGDNASG	NSLNLVITLP	SETVFKGPIV	PEFCRKRPEPN	DLVYKFGAG	TKVLKHKTYK	YDVVESMDIT	276
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	-----	IRSRLLVAPP	NFV	-KLVDKD GAR	EYGWRISTAD	A	-----	199
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	-----	IQRRLVNNLK	NFTV	-AVVDKDGWR	DLE-PI SAAS	LAA	-----	201
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	-----	LQKRFILNLP	TFSV	-RLIDKNGIH	DLD-NISFPK	QGS	-----	201
Mus musculus_NP_036100	-----	LQKRFILNLP	TFSV	-RVIDKDGWH	NLE-NIAFPK	RDS	-----	201
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	-----	LQKRFILNLP	SFTV	-RVIDKDGWH	DLD-SIPASS	L	-----	199
Trematodus bernacchii	-----	LQKRFILNLP	SFTV	-RLIDKEGTH	DLE-KLCSGA	K	-----	199
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	-----	LQKRFILNLP	SFTV	-RLIDKEGTH	DLE-KLSSGA	K	-----	199
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	-----	LQKRFILNLP	SFTV	-RLIDKDGWH	DME-KLPYGR	K	-----	199
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	-----	LQKRFILNLP	SFTV	-RLIDKEGTH	DLE-KLTIGA	K	-----	199
Consensus	-----	LQKRFILNLP	SFTV	-RLIDKDGWH	DLE-KISAGA	K	-----	




- Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_493271 H 277
- Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_193216 - 199
- Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_609804 - 201
- Homo sapiens\_NP\_002785 - 201
- Mus musculus\_NP\_036100 - 201
- Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001084761 - 199
- Trematodus bernacchii - 199
- Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010789577 - 199
- Danio rerio\_NP\_001002609 - 199
- Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003447226 - 199
- Consensus -
- Conservation
- Sequence logo


	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	1	14,23	14,95	14,95	14,59	16,73	19,22	19,93	18,86	19,22
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	2	40	41,09	43,56	43,07	47,00	44,50	45,00	45,50	45,00
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	3	42	83	49,75	50,25	55,72	52,24	53,73	53,23	51,74
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	4	42	88	100	96,52	81,59	77,11	77,11	81,09	78,61
Mus musculus_NP_036100	5	41	87	101	194	81,59	77,11	76,62	81,59	78,61
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	6	47	94	112	164	164	81,41	82,41	85,43	82,91
Trematomus bernacchii	7	54	89	105	155	155	162	97,49	90,45	89,45
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	8	56	90	108	155	154	164	194	90,45	90,45
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	9	53	91	107	163	164	170	180	180	92,96
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	10	54	90	104	158	158	165	178	180	185

**Figure S2. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome subunit beta 2 amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).

Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_494913 MS MSYTG GT VVAMAGDECY CIAASDLRI GE QMTT IATDQK KVHKVTDK VY VGLAGFQSDA RTVLEKIMFR 70  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_565156 MS IFEYNGSA VVAMRGKNCV AIAADRRLGV QIQTIATDFQ RISKIHDHLE IGLSGIATDV QTLYQRLVFR 70  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_649858 MS ILA YNGGC VVAMRGKDCV AIAADHRFLG QAQTISTDFK KVFIHGPRMF IGLTGQTDI ITVRDRIMFR 70  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002786 MS IMSYNGGA VMAMRGKNCV AIAADRFRGI QAQMVTDFQ KIFPMGDRLY IGLAGIATDV QTVAQR LKFR 70  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036101 MS IMSYNGGA VMAMRGKNCV AIAADRFRGI QAQMVTDFQ KIFPMGDRLY IGLAGIATDV QTVAQR LKFR 70  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001088741 MS IMSYNGGA VMAMRGKDCV AIAADRFRGI QAQMVTDFQ KIFPMGERLY IGLAGIATDV QTVAQR LKFR 70  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001123295 MS IMSYNGGA VMAMRGKNCV AIAADRFRGI QAQLVTTDFQ KIFPMGERLY IGLAGIATDV QTVSQR LKFR 70  
 Trematodus bernacchii MS IMSYNGGA VMAMRGKNCV AIAADRFRGI QAQMVTDFQ KIFPMGDRLY IGLAGIATDV QTVAQR LKFR 70  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003448021 MS IMSYNGGA VMAMRGKNCV AIAADRFRGI QAQMVTDFQ KIFPMGDRLY IGLAGIATDV QTVAQR LKFR 70



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_494913 KNL YELREN R NIKPQVLS E M ISNLA YQHR F GS YFTEP LVA GLD -DTNKPY ICCMDTIGCV SAPRDFVAVG 139  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_565156 HKLYQLREER DMKPE T FASL VSAILYKRF GPFLCQPVIA GLG -DDNKPF ICTMBSGAK ELAKDFVVS G 139  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_649858 KNL YETREN R EMCPK PFSAM MSSFLYEHFR GPYFTEPVVA GLD PKTMEPF ICNMDLIGCP NAPDDFVAVG 140  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002786 LNL YELKEGR QIKP Y T LMSM VANLLYKRF GPYYTEPVIA GLD PKTFKPF ICSDLIGCP MVTDDFVVS G 140  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036101 LNL YELKEGR QIKP Y T LMSM VANLLYKRF GPYYTEPVIA GLD PKTFKPF ICSDLIGCP MVTDDFVVS G 140  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001088741 LNL YELKEGR QIKP K T FMSM VANLLYERRF GPYYTEPVIA GLD PKTFQPF ICSDLIGCP METEDFVVS G 140  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001123295 LNL YELKEGR QIKP R T FMSM VS NLLYERRF GPYYTEPVIA GLD PKTFEPF ICSDLIGCP MVTEDFVVS G 140  
 Trematodus bernacchii LNL YELKEGR QIKP K T FMSM VS NLLYKRF GPYYTEPVIA GLD PKTSEPF ICSDLIGCP MVTEDFVVS G 140  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003448021 LNL YELKEGR QIKP K T FMSM VS NLLYERRF GPYYTEPVIA GLD PKTFEPF ICSDLIGCP MVTDDFVVS G 140




Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_494913 TGOEYLLGVC ENFWRENMKP DELFETISQA ILSCLERDAA SGWGA VVYTI TKDKNVSTI KARM D 204  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_565156 TASESLYGAC EAMFKPDMEA EELFETISQA ILSVDRDCL SGWGGHVYV TPKEVKERI KGRMD 204  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_649858 TC AEQLY GMC ETLWKPDLEP DQLFETISQA IYNAFDRDAM SGWGATVYI EKDKITERTI KTRMD 205  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002786 TC AEQMY GMC ESLWEPNDMP DHLFETISQA MLNAVDRDAV SGMGVVHV I EKDKITTRTL KARM D 205  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036101 TC SEQMY GMC ESLWEPNDMP EHLFETISQA MLNAVDRDAV SGMGVVHV I EKDKITTRTL KARM D 205  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001088741 TC SEQMY GMC ESLWEPDMEP EDLFETISQA MLNAVDRDAV SGMGVVHV I EKDKITTRTL KARM D 205  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_001123295 TC SEQMY GMC ESLWEPDMP EDLFETISQA MLNAVDRDAV SGMGVVHV I EKDKITTRTL KARM D 205  
 Trematodus bernacchii TC SEQMY GMC ESLWEPDMEP EDLFETISQA MLNAVDRDAV SGMGVVHV I EKDKITTRTL KARM D 205  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003448021 TC SEQMY GMC ESLWEPDMEP EDLFETISQA MLNAVDRDAV SGMGVVHV I EKDKITTRTL KARM D 205




	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_494913	1	44,61	55,12	51,22	50,73	48,29	50,73	49,76	49,76
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_565156	2	91	51,22	56,10	57,56	57,07	57,56	58,54	58,54
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_649858	3	113	105	63,90	62,44	63,90	64,88	64,88	66,34
Homo sapiens_NP_002786	4	105	115	131	98,54	91,22	90,24	91,22	93,17
Mus musculus_NP_036101	5	104	118	128	202	92,68	91,71	92,68	93,66
Xenopus laevis_NP_001088741	6	99	117	131	187	190	94,15	93,66	94,63
Danio rerio_NP_001123295	7	104	118	133	185	188	193	95,12	95,12
Trematomus bernacchii	8	102	120	133	187	190	192	195	96,10
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003448021	9	102	120	136	191	192	194	195	197

**Figure S3. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome subunit beta 3 amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).


Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_493558 - MWGETFDDF ENDEGEMAMA KQNLI A EPA RAD FTFA - - - - - KLP L G QPVD F M K T - H FAETAGKSMQ 60  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652014 MALAEIC K I SNAPYMRPNA WSSADVEEQ KGLMCNLANP YTLAAPPFEN PLHNLNQIQA NGDKTGWKIN 69  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_172765 MKL - - - - - DT SGFETSMPM - - - - - GFGSS SDM - D - - - - - ELSYPSFD P - - RTKEFDG FQKKAKDMK 53  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001084323 MAL L T MCGPT QSHDWRMPL - - - - - YGGTIS P T T P F R V C N T E L A M P P G Y Q P A - - K F L Q H - L E E G V D D V K I E 62  
Mus musculus\_NP\_035316 MALASV L - - - - - QRPMPVN OHGFFFGGG ADL - D L G P G S P G D G L S L A A P - - - - - S W G V P E E P R I E 55  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002788 MALASV L - - - - - E R L P L Y N Q R G F F G G G R A D L - D L G P G S L S D G L S L A A P - - - - - G W G V P E E P R I E 55  
Danio rerio\_NP\_571226 MALSS L - R N ESADRFSDP D RSFAHGQGLN Q T N - G F G - A A L G D S P N F A V K - T - - - - - G E D D E P E R K I E 61  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003457456 MALASV L - S G DSADRFSDSS QSFAGGGPG P S G - L G F D - G T P G D G L S F S V K - - N P L C A - G D D D G V E R K I E 64  
Trematomus bernacchii MALASV L - S S DCAKFSFDNC EPDSFGAPG Q S G - L G F D - A T P G D G L S F S V R - - N P L C A - V E E D G V E R K I E 64  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781265 MALASV L - S S DCAKFSFDNC EPDSFGAPG Q S G - L G F D - A T P G D G L S F S V R - - N P L C A - V E E D G V E R K I E 64




Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_493558 FRKGT T T L A F V Y E P A T P A D K G G I V A V D S R A S S G E Y I S S K S Y M K I L D I G D R M V A T M A G G A A D C Q E W T R I V 130  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652014 F D H G T T T L G F K F - - - - - K G G V L A V D S R A T G G S Y I S S Q S M K K I V E N Q F M L G T L A G G A A D C V Y W D R V L 132  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_172765 H A K G T T T L A F I F - - - - - K G G V M A A D S R A S M G G Y I S S Q S V K K I E I N P Y M L G T M A G G A A D C Q F V H R N L 116  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001084323 P W H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - Q H G V I V A D S R A S A G S Y I S T I K F N K V I E I N P Y L L G T M S G S A A D C Q Y W E R L L 125  
Mus musculus\_NP\_035316 M L H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - L H G V I V A A D S R A T A G A Y I A S Q T V K K V I E I N P Y L L G T M A G G A A D C S F W E R L L 118  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002788 M L H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - R H G V I V A A D S R A T A G A Y I A S Q T V K K V I E I N P Y L L G T M A G G A A D C S F W E R L L 118  
Danio rerio\_NP\_571226 F L H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - Q H G V I V A D S R A T A G A Y I A S Q T V K K V I E I N P Y L L G T M A G G A A D C S F W E R L L 124  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003457456 F L H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - Q H G V I V A D S R A T A G S Y I A S Q T V K K V I E I N P Y L L G T M A G G A A D C S F W E R L L 127  
Trematomus bernacchii F L H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - Q H G V I V A D S R A T A G A Y I A S Q T V K K V I E I N P Y L L G T M A G G A A D C S F W E R L L 127  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781265 F L H G T T T L A F K F - - - - - Q H G V I V A D S R A T A G A Y I A S Q T V K K V I E I N P Y L L G T M A G G A A D C S F W E R L L 127



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_493558 A K Y C T L Y E L R E K T S I T V S A A S K Y F A N T L Y G Y R G Q G L S V G S M V A G Y D K K G P Q I F K V D S E G D R C Q L K V C S V G 200  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652014 S K E C R L H E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K I M A N L A H E Y K G M G L S M G M M L A G Y D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G S R T P G N L F S V G 202  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_172765 G I K R L H E L A N K R R I S V S G A S K L L A N M L Y S Y R G M G L S M G T M I A G W D E T G P G L Y Y D S E G G R L K G D R F S V G 186  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001084323 A K E C R L Y Q L R N N S R I S V S A A S K L M C N M M L Q Y R G T G L S V G S M I C G W D K K G P G L Y Y D D N G T R L C G D I F S T G 195  
Mus musculus\_NP\_035316 A R Q C R I Y E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K L L A N M V Y Q Y K G M G L S M G T M I C G W D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G N R I S G T A F S V G 188  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002788 A R Q C R I Y E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K L L A N M V Y Q Y K G M G L S M G T M I C G W D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G N R I S G A T F S V G 188  
Danio rerio\_NP\_571226 A R Q C R I Y E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K L L A N M V Y Q Y K G M G L S M G T M V C G W D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G N R V C G G L F A V G 194  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003457456 A R Q C R I Y E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K L L A N M V Y Q Y K G M G L S M G T M V C G W D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G N R V C G D L F A V G 197  
Trematomus bernacchii A R Q C R I Y E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K L L A N M V Y Q Y K G M G L S M G T M V C G W D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G N R V C G D L F A V G 197  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781265 A R Q C R I Y E L R N K E R I S V A A A S K L L A N M V Y Q Y K G M G L S M G T M V C G W D K R G P G L Y Y D S E G N R V C G D L F A V G 197



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_493558 S G S L N A Y G V L D N H Y K P K M T D D E A R K I G L R A I M H A T Y R D S G S G G V C N L C H I T P T E K I R L P P M D V S K L W Y E F 270  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652014 S G S Y A Y G V L D S G Y H W D L E D K E A Q E L G R R A I Y H A T F R D G A S G G I R V Y H I K E D G W N I S N T D C M E L H Y M Y 272  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_172765 S G S P Y A Y G V L D S G Y K Y D M S V E E A S E L A R R S I Y H A T F R D G A S G G M A S V Y H I G P E G W T K L S G D D V G E L H Y M Y 256  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001084323 S G N S Y A Y G V M D S G Y R F D L T P E E A Y D I G R R A I Y A T H R D A Y S G G C V N L Y H M K E D G W V K I G Q F D V S D L L H K F 265  
Mus musculus\_NP\_035316 S G S Y A Y G V M D R G Y S Y D L K V E E A Y D I A R R A I Y Q A T Y R D A Y S G G A N L Y H V R E D G W I R V S S D N V A D L H D K Y 258  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002788 S G S Y A Y G V M D R G Y S Y D L E V E E A Y D I A R R A I Y Q A T Y R D A Y S G G A N L Y H V R E D G W I R V S S D N V A D L H E K Y 258  
Danio rerio\_NP\_571226 S G S M Y A Y G V M D S G L R H D L T I D E A C E L G R R A I Y Q A T Y R D A Y S G G Q V N L Y R V H S E G W E R V S Q E D V L Q L H Q K Y 264  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003457456 S G S M Y A Y G V M D S G V R H D L T V E E A C E L G R R A I Y Q A T Y R D A Y S G G Q V N L Y H V H S E G W T R I S Q D D V L V L H H Q Y 267  
Trematomus bernacchii S G S M Y A Y G V I D S G L R Q D L T V E E A C E L G R R A I Y Q A T Y R D A Y S G G Q V N L Y H V H S E G W T R V S Q E D V L V L H H Q Y 267  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781265 S G S M Y A Y G V I D S G L R Q D L T V E E A C E L G R R A I Y Q A T Y R D A Y S G G Q V N L Y H V H S E G W T R V S Q E D V L M L H Q Q Y 267



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_493558 - - - - - A D E L G R D I T Y N P V E 284  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_652014 - - - - - Q E Q L K Q Q A A K - - - - - 282  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_172765 Y P M A P A T A E Q V M E E A T A E - - - - - 274  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001084323 - - - - - T E E K N M - - - - - 271  
Mus musculus\_NP\_035316 - - - - - S S S V P - - - - - 264  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002788 - - - - - S G S T P - - - - - 263  
Danio rerio\_NP\_571226 - - - - - Q S E K A - - - - - 269  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003457456 - - - - - K D Q A - - - - - 271  
Trematomus bernacchii - - - - - K S Q A - - - - - 271  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010781265 - - - - - K S Q A - - - - - 271



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493558	1	37,25	38,61	35,49	35,27	34,93	36,43	36,43	36,43	36,43
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_652014	2	111	47,59	46,29	49,65	49,65	51,42	53,55	52,13	52,13
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_172765	3	117	138	45,96	50,87	51,92	49,30	50,35	49,30	49,30
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084323	4	104	131	131	52,90	52,54	55,43	55,80	53,62	53,26
Mus musculus_NP_035316	5	103	140	146	146	92,80	69,74	71,17	70,44	70,44
Homo sapiens_NP_002788	6	102	140	149	145	245	69,63	70,33	68,86	68,86
Danio rerio_NP_571226	7	106	145	141	153	189	188	80,51	80,88	80,51
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003457456	8	106	151	144	154	195	192	219	88,93	89,30
Trematomus bernacchii	9	106	147	141	148	193	188	220	241	99,63
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010781265	10	106	147	141	147	193	188	219	242	270


**Figure S4. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome subunit beta 5 amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).




Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_651843 MARFFDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAASQ SGTCTVGLLAK NGVLLATER - SVDKLLMDSI PVPRISWLN 69  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188850 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGN AGSALGILSK DGVLVIGEEK VTSKLLQTST SAEKMYKIDD 70  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_491520 MARRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAISH AGTCGLISS EGVVAAERK NVHKLDDSV MTEKVVYRSD 70  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001089811 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036096 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002780 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010770288 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70  
 Trematomus bernacchii MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003450834 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_999862 MSRRYDSRRTT IFSPEGRLYQ VEYAMEAIGH AGTCGLILAN DGVLLAAERR NIHKLLDEVF FSEKIYKLN 70




Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_651843 N IACCATGNT ADGNVLVNLQ RMI AQOYQFN FEMIPCEQL VTNLCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSFLYMGWD 139  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188850 HVACAVAGIM SDANVLINTA RVQAQR YTFM YQEPMPVEQL VQSCDCTKQG YTOQGGRPF GVSFLYAGWD 140  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_491520 NISCTVAGIT ADANVLINHL RWWAAS YRNS YQEMPVEQL VQNLCCNEKQR YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYIGWD 140  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001089811 DMACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYIGWD 140  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036096 DMACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYIGWD 140  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002780 DMACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYIGWD 140  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010770288 DLACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYMGWD 140  
 Trematomus bernacchii DLACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYMGWD 140  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003450834 DMACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYMGWD 140  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_999862 DMACSVAGIT SDANVLTNEL RLIAQR YLLQ YQEPICEQL VTALCDIKQA YTOQGGRPF GVSLLYMGWD 140



Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_651843 CRHGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KEGEM--TIS SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 209  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188850 KHHGFQLYMS DPSGNYGGWK AAAVGANNQA AQSILKQD-Y KDDA---TRE EAVEALAKVL TKTMDSTSLT 206  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_491520 KHYGQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KSP---NLE EAKQALAKVL WKTLDY-KLA 204  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001089811 KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KEGEM--TLK SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036096 KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KEGEM--TLK SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002780 KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KEGEM--TLK SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010770288 KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-F KEGEM--SLS SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207  
 Trematomus bernacchii KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-F KEGEM--SLS SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003450834 KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KEGEM--TIS SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_999862 KHYGFQLYQS DPSGNYGGWK ATCTGRKNSAA AVSMLKQD-Y KEGEM--TIS SALALAVKVL NKTMDVSKLS 207




Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_651843 PEKLEIAFVQ RYGNIT-VFH ILEKNEHR IERNNNLKRR VGS----- 251  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188850 SEKLEIAEYV LTPSKTYKH VHSPESTK VKHGYTPA AETS----- 250  
 Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_491520 SEKVEIAVLT RRDGKT-VLE ELTTKEVDAL AEHEKKEKE AETAEEK- 250  
 Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001089811 AEKVEIATLT RENGKT-KIR VLKQKEVEEL KLHEEEEAKE EREKKAKEQ KEKDK 261  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036096 AEKVEIATLT RESGKT-VIR VLKQKEVEEL KKHEEEEAKE AEREKKEKEQ REKDK 261  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002780 AEKVEIATLT RENGKT-VIR VLKQKEVEEL KKHEEEEAKE AEREKKEKEQ KEKDK 261  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010770288 AEKVEIATLT REDGKT-KIK VLKHKVEVEEL KRHEAEEAK AEKVKKDEQ REKDK 261  
 Trematomus bernacchii AEKVEIATLT REDGKT-KIK VLKHKVEVEEL KRHEAEEAK AEKVKKDEQ REKDK 261  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003450834 AEKVEIATLT REDGKT-KIK VLKQKEVEEL KKHEAEEAK AEKDKKEEQ KEKDK 261  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_999862 AEKVEIATLT RENGKT-KIK VLKQKEVEEL KKHEAEEAK AEKDKKEEQ KEKDK 261



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_651843	1		44,88	50,78	50,76	51,89	52,27	52,65	52,27	51,89
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_188850	2	114		55,34	56,49	56,11	56,11	55,73	55,73	56,49
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_491520	3	130	140		62,84	63,22	63,60	62,84	63,22	62,84
Xenopus laevis_NP_001089811	4	134	148	164		96,55	96,93	93,87	93,49	95,40
Mus musculus_NP_036096	5	137	147	165	252		98,85	93,87	93,49	95,79
Homo sapiens_NP_002780	6	138	147	166	253	258		93,10	92,72	95,79
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010770288	7	139	146	164	245	245	243		99,62	96,93
Trematomus bernacchii	8	139	146	165	244	244	242	260		96,55
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003450834	9	138	147	164	249	250	250	253	252	
Danio rerio_NP_999862	10	137	148	163	249	250	251	250	249	258

**Figure S5. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome subunit alpha 4 amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).

Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492765 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA VKLGSTSGI KTSEGVLLAA EKRSTSKLMV NDAIEKISKV 70  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188046 MFLTRTEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV KTKEGVVLA EKRITSPLE PSSVEKIMEI 70  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_725669 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV CTPGVLAV EKRITSPLMV PSTVEKIVEI 70  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036097 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PSSIEKIVEI 70  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002781 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PSSIEKIVEI 70  
 Xenopus laevis\_BAD42871 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PSSIEKIVEI 70  
 Trematodus bernacchii MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PMSIEKIVEI 70  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_01076620 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PMSIEKIVEI 70  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_991271 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PSSIEKIVEI 70  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003441568 MFLTRSEYDR GVNTFSPEGR LFQVEYAIEA KLGSTAGV QTSEGVCLAV EKRITSPLME PSSIEKIVEI 70




Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492765 DQHIGVTFAG LIADARTLVE RAQIEAQNFW FTYNRKIRVE DYTQSVANLA LQFGDDVKA ---SMSRPF 137  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188046 DDHIGCAMS G LIADARTLVE HARVETQNHV FSYGEPMTVE STTQALCDLA LRFGEFEE - ---SMSRPF 136  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_725669 DKHIGCATSG LMADARTLIE RARVEQCNHW FYNERMSIE SCQAQVSTEA LQFGSDSD GAAAMSRPF 140  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036097 DAHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002781 DAHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138  
 Xenopus laevis\_BAD42871 DAHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138  
 Trematodus bernacchii DAHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_01076620 DTHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_991271 DSHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003441568 DSHIGCAMS G LIADAKTLID KARVETQNHV FTYNETMTVE SVTQAVSNLA LQFGEDADP G-AMSRPF 138



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492765 VAMLFAGVDQ EGAKLFLHDP SGTFDCKAK SIIGASDGA E QNLKEQYHDA LTIKELKMA LAILKQVME 207  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188046 VSLIAGHDE NGPSLYYTD SGTFWQCN AK AIGSGSEGAD SSLQEQFNKD ITLQEAETIA VSILKQVME 206  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_725669 VALLFAGIEA GQPQLWHM DP SGTFRHGAK AIGSGSEGA Q QNLQDLFRPD LTLDEADIS LTLKQVME 210  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036097 VALLFGGVD E KGPQLFHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002781 VALLFGGVD E KGPQLFHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208  
 Xenopus laevis\_BAD42871 VALLFGGAD E KGPQLFHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208  
 Trematodus bernacchii VALLFGGFDE KGPQLYHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_01076620 VALLFGGVD E KGPQLYHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_991271 VALLFGGVD E KGPQLYHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003441568 VALLFGGVD E KGPQLYHMD P SGTFFVQCD AR AIGSASEGA Q SSLQEVYHKS MTLKEAIKSS LTLKQVME 208



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492765 KLNANVEVY VIKPTVD AKG RPIGEFTRVS NEELDQVITS L - 248  
 Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_188046 KVTNNVDIA KVAP - - - A - - - - YHLYT PQEVEAVSR L - 237  
 Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_725669 KLNSTNVEVM TMTK - - - E RE - - - FYMFT KEVEEQH IKN IA 244  
 Mus musculus\_NP\_036097 KLNATNIEA TVQP - - - G QN - - - FHMFT KEEL EEV I KD I - 241  
 Homo sapiens\_NP\_002781 KLNATNIEA TVQP - - - G QN - - - FHMFT KEEL EEV I KD I - 241  
 Xenopus laevis\_BAD42871 KLNATNIEA TVEP - - - G KK - - - FHYMC KEEL EEV I KD I - 241  
 Trematodus bernacchii KLNATNIEA TVEP - - - G KT - - - FHMFS KEEL EDV I KD I - 241  
 Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_01076620 KLNATNIEA TVEP - - - G KT - - - FHMFS KEEL EDV I KD I - 241  
 Danio rerio\_NP\_991271 KLNATNIEA TVEP - - - G KT - - - FHYMT KEEL EDV I KD I - 241  
 Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003441568 KLNATNIEA TVEP - - - G KT - - - FHYMS KEEL EEV I KD I - 241




	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_492765	1	52,82	55,95	60,64	60,24	60,24	59,84	59,84	59,84	60,64
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_188046	2	131	61,07	68,05	67,63	67,63	67,22	67,22	68,46	68,05
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_725669	3	141	149	70,08	69,67	69,26	68,44	68,44	69,26	68,85
Mus musculus_NP_036097	4	151	164	171	99,59	96,27	94,61	94,61	96,27	96,27
Homo sapiens_NP_002781	5	150	163	170	240	95,85	94,19	94,19	95,85	95,85
Xenopus laevis_BAD42871	6	150	163	169	232	231	94,61	94,61	96,27	96,68
Trematomus bernacchii	7	149	162	167	228	227	228	100,00	97,10	97,10
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010766620	8	149	162	167	228	227	228	241	97,10	97,10
Danio rerio_NP_991271	9	149	165	169	232	231	232	234	234	98,34
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003441568	10	151	164	168	232	231	233	234	234	237

**Figure S6. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome subunit alpha 5 amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).

Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492360 - MNR Y D R A I T I F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K D I V V L G V E K K S I P A L Q D D R T I R K I H M I D D H 69  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_650910 M S S R Y G R A L T I F S P D G H L L Q V E Y A Q E A V R K G S T A V G V R G A N C V V L G V E K S S V S E M Q E D R T V R K I S M L D R H 70  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_190694 - M A R Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A L E A V R K G N A A V G V R G T D T V V L A V E K K S T P K L Q D S R S A R K I V S E D N H 69  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001081054 - - M S Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K E I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q D E R T V R K I C A L D E N 68  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002783 - - M S Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G R D I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q D E R T V R K I C A L D D N 68  
Mus musculus\_NP\_036099 - - M S Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K D I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q D E R T V R K I C A L D D N 68  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010783619 M A A R Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K D I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q E E R T V R K I C A L D E H 70  
Trematomus bernacchii M A A R Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K D I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q E E R T V R K I C A L D E H 70  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003438172 M A A R Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K D I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q E E R T V R K I C A L D E H 70  
Danio rerio\_NP\_998331 M A A R Y D R A I T V F S P D G H L F Q V E Y A Q E A V K K G S T A V G V R G K D I V V L G V E K K S V A K L Q E E R T V R K I C A L D E H 70




Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492360 V M L A F A G L S A D A R V L V D R A R I E C Q S Y K L T E D P V T V A Y I S R Y I A N T K Q R F T Q S P G R R P F G I S M L I G G F D 138  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_650910 V A L A F A G L T A D A R I L I N R G Q V E C Q S H R L N F E N Q V T L E Y I T R Y L A Q L K Q K Y T Q C N G R R P F G I S C L I G G I D 139  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_190694 I A L A C A G L K A D A R V L I N K A R I E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A G L Q Q K Y T Q S G G V R P F G L S T L I V G F D P 139  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001081054 V F M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A S L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 137  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002783 V C M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A S L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 137  
Mus musculus\_NP\_036099 V C M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A S L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 137  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010783619 V C M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A T L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 139  
Trematomus bernacchii V C M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A T L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 139  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003438172 V C M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A T L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 139  
Danio rerio\_NP\_998331 V C M A F A G L T A D A R I V I N R A R V E C Q S H R L T V E D P V T V E Y I T R Y I A T L K Q R Y T Q S N G R R P F G I S A L I V G F D 139



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492360 H D G T P R L F K T E P S G A Y E Y E V A N A T G R G E K P V R E Y L E E Q Y S E E N T V D E A T T I K L V I K S L A Q V V P P G S Q N I E 208  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_650910 A D G S A R L F H T E P S G T F H E Y K A T A T G R W A N T V R E F F E K A Y S D H E V T T K C D A I K L A M R A L L E V T Q M S Q M R L E 209  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_190694 Y T R I P A L Y Q T D P S G T F S A W K A N A T G R N S N S A N A T G R N S N S V R E F L E K N Y S E S A - - - G Q E T V K L A I R A L L E V V E S G G K N I E 206  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001081054 F D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R G A K S V R E F L E K H Y T D E A I E T D D T I K L V I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 207  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002783 F D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R G A K S V R E F L E K N Y T D E A I E T D D T I K L V I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 207  
Mus musculus\_NP\_036099 F D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R G A K S V R E F L E K N Y T D D A I E T D D T I K L V I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 207  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010783619 D D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R S A K T V R E F L E K N Y T D E A I A G D N E T I K L T I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 209  
Trematomus bernacchii D D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R S A K T V R E F L E K N Y T D E A I A G D N E T I K L T I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 209  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003438172 Y D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R S A K T V R E F L E K N Y T E E A I A G D N E A I K L A I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 209  
Danio rerio\_NP\_998331 Y D G T P R L Y Q T D P S G T Y H A W K A N A I G R S A K T V R E F L E K N Y T D E A I A S D N D A I K L A I K A L L E V V Q S G G K N I E 209



Caenorhabditis elegans\_NP\_492360 I A V M K K Y N D E L Q Q R V L S T E E I E A L L K V V E T E R V A A - E A E E A A S K K K 253  
Drosophila melanogaster\_NP\_650910 V A V L E N - - G K P - M K M D S V Y S E I V K L V Q N E K E L Q A K A H K M K - R - - 249  
Arabidopsis thaliana\_NP\_190694 V A V M T R - - E E G V L K Q E E E E I D I I V A E I E A E K A A A E A A K K G P A K E T 250  
Xenopus laevis\_NP\_001081054 L A V M R R - - D Q P - L K T L N P E E I E R Y V A E I E K E K E E N - E K K K Q K - K T T 248  
Homo sapiens\_NP\_002783 L A V M R R - - D Q S - L K I L N P E E I E K Y V A E I E K E K E E N - E K K K Q K - K A S 248  
Mus musculus\_NP\_036099 L A V M R R - - D Q P - L K I L N P E E I E K Y V A E I E K E K E E N - E K K K Q K - K A S 248  
Notothenia coriiceps\_XP\_010783619 L A I T R R - - N K P - L K I L E A K E I E S L V A E I E K E K E E E A E K K K Q K G K S T 252  
Trematomus bernacchii L A I T R R - - N K P - L K I L E A K E I E S L V A E I E K E K E E E A E K K K Q K G K S T 252  
Oreochromis niloticus\_XP\_003438172 L A V R R - - N Q P - L K I L E S K E I E T L V T E I E K E K E E E A E K K K Q K - K S T 251  
Danio rerio\_NP\_998331 L A V R R - - N Q P - L K I L E S K E I E T L V A E I E K E K E E E A E K K K Q K - K S S 251



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_492360	1	50,20	54,51	59,68	60,08	60,08	57,65	57,65	58,04	57,65
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_650910	2	128	51,57	58,17	57,77	58,17	59,52	59,52	60,56	61,35
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_190694	3	139	131	65,61	66,01	66,01	65,75	65,75	66,54	65,75
Xenopus laevis_NP_001081054	4	151	146	166	96,37	96,77	87,30	87,30	87,25	87,65
Homo sapiens_NP_002783	5	152	145	167	239	98,79	86,90	86,90	86,85	88,05
Mus musculus_NP_036099	6	152	146	167	240	245	87,30	87,30	87,25	88,45
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010783619	7	147	150	167	220	219	220	100,00	96,03	95,63
Trematomus bernacchii	8	147	150	167	220	219	220	252	96,03	95,63
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003438172	9	148	152	169	219	218	219	242	242	98,01
Danio rerio_NP_998331	10	147	154	167	220	221	222	241	241	246

**Figure S7. MUSCLE alignment of proteasome alpha 7 subunit amino acid sequences, with species names and accession numbers.** The consensus sequence, the conservation histogram and the sequence logo are shown at the bottom of the alignment. The Table in the last page contains the pairwise comparison of the sequences, with the number of identities (below the diagonal) and percent identity (above the diagonal).




			160		180		200	
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	TEYVQKNVSL	YQFRNGTPLS	TAAAAANFTRG	ELA-TALRKN	PYSVNLMLAG	YDKEAGASLY	YID-YIATLH	124
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	TEFVSKNIAL	YKMRNGYDLS	PRESAHFTRK	NLAELYLRST	PYQVFMFVAG	YDPNAGPELT	FID-YLANAL	125
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	AEYVQKNVQL	YKMRNGYELS	PTAAANFTRR	NLAACLRSRT	PYHVNLLIAG	YDEHEGPALY	YMD-YLAAAL	125
Mus musculus_NP_036100	AEYVQKNVQL	YKMRNGYELS	PTAAANFTRR	NLAACLRSRT	PYHVNLLIAG	YDEHEGPALY	YMD-YLAAAL	125
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	AEYVQKNVQL	YKMRNGYELS	PTAAANFTRR	NLAADYLRST	PYHVNLLIAG	YDEHAGPSLY	YMD-YLSALA	125
Trematomus bernacchii	AEYVQKNLQL	YKMRNGYELS	PSAAANFTRK	NLAADYLRST	PYHVNLLIAG	YDETDGPGLY	YMD-YLSSLS	125
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	AEYVQKNLQL	YKMRNGYELS	PSAAANFTRK	NLAADYLRST	PYHVNLLIAG	YDETDGPGLY	YMD-YLSSLS	125
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	AEYVQKNVQL	YKMRNGYELS	PAAAAANFTRK	NLAADYLRST	PYHVNLLIAG	YDETDGPGLY	YMD-YLSALA	125
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	AEYVQKNVQL	YKMRNGYELS	PAAAAANFTRK	NLAADYLRST	PYHVNLLIAG	YDDTDGPGLY	YMD-HLSSLA	125
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_498806	KKVLSRSLHK	YRFDRSDMS	VDLCAELLSR	NL--YVRRFF	PYYTGALLAG	LDEH-GKGV	FSYDPLGLE	174
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_191641	QKVLKSRHLI	YQHGHKQMS	CPAMAQLLSN	TL--YFKRFF	PYYAFNLVGG	LDEE-GKGV	FTYDAVGSYE	137
Xenopus laevis_NP_001080435	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKTMT	SGAIAAMLSL	TL--YSRRFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEE-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	157
Mus musculus_NP_035315	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKAMT	TGAIAAMLSL	TL--YSRRFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEE-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	158
Homo sapiens_NP_002784	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKAMT	TGAIAAMLSL	TL--YSRRFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEE-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	159
Danio rerio_NP_001003889	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKAMT	TGAIAAMLSL	TL--YSRRFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEE-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	155
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003454565	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKTMT	SGAIAAMLSL	TL--YSRRFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEE-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	155
Trematomus bernacchii	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKTMT	SNAIAAMLSL	TL--YGRFFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEH-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	155
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010781254	TKIIEARLKM	YKHSNNKTMT	SNAIAAMLSL	TL--YGRFFF	PYYVYNIIGG	LDEH-GKGV	YSFDPVGSYQ	155
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	TKMLSGNRLI	LELNTGRKAR	VITALRQAKQ	HL--FNYQGY	-GAYLLVGG	YDPT-GPHLY	MCSANGTITMA	167
Thermoplasma acidophilum_NP_394085	VRVMKAELE	YRLQRRVMP	LEAVATLSN	ML--NQVKYM	PYMVQLVGG	IDT--APHVF	SIDAAGGSVE	129
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_652031	ADIVAYSINLY	HENQTNKDAL	VEFAASEERN	YC--YSYR-E	SLLAGTIVAG	WDEQRGGQVY	SIP-LGGMLT	136
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493558	TRIVAKYCTL	YELREKTSIT	VAASKYFAN	TL--HEYRGG	GLSNGSMVAG	YDKK-GPFI	KVDSEGRQC	193
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_652014	DRVLSKECRL	HELNRKERIS	VAAASKIMAN	IA--HGKGM	GLSMGMMIAG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGRSTP	195
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_172765	HRNLGKCRLL	HELANKRRIS	VSAGSKLAN	ML--YSYRGM	GLSNGTMIAG	WDET-GPGLY	YVDNEGRLLK	179
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084323	ERLLAKECRL	YQLRNNKRSIS	VAAASKMCN	MM--LQYRGT	GLSNGSMICG	WDKK-GPGLY	YVDNNGTRLC	188
Mus musculus_NP_035316	ERLLARQCCRJ	YELRNKERIS	VAAASKLAN	MV--YQYKGM	GLSMGTMICG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGNRIS	181
Homo sapiens_NP_002788	ERLLARQCCRJ	YELRNKERIS	VAAASKLAN	MV--YQYKGM	GLSMGTMICG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGNRIS	181
Danio rerio_NP_571226	ERLLARQCCRJ	YELRNKERIS	VAAASKLAN	MV--YQYKGM	GLSMGTMICG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGNRVC	187
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003457456	ERLLARQCCRJ	YELRNKERIS	VAAASKLAN	MV--YQYKGM	GLSMGTMICG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGNRVC	190
Trematomus bernacchii	ERLLARQCCRJ	YELRNKERIS	VAAASKLAN	MV--YQYKGM	GLSMGTMICG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGNRVC	190
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010781265	ERLLARQCCRJ	YELRNKERIS	VAAASKLAN	MV--YQYKGM	GLSMGTMICG	WDKR-GPGLY	YVDSEGNRVC	190
Consensus	TRXIXKNLRL	YKLRNXKRXS	VAAAAKLLXN	XL--YXRRGX	PYSVGLXIAG	YDEX-GPGLY	YVDDEGGSLLQ	




Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_193216	KVDKGAFGYG	SYFSSTMDR	HY-----	-----	RSDMS	VEEALELDV	CILELRSLV	IAPPNFVKI	181
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_609804	PVNYAGHYG	AIFASSYDR	YV-----	-----	HPNIT	QAEAMDVFKK	CIAEQKRLV	VNLKNFTAV	182
Homo sapiens_NP_002785	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTSLIDR	YY-----	-----	TPTIS	RERAEELLRK	CLEELQKRFI	NLPTFSVRI	182
Mus musculus_NP_036100	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTSLIDR	YY-----	-----	TPTIS	RERAEELLRK	CLEELQKRFI	NLPTFSVRI	182
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084761	KTRFAAHGYG	AYTSLIDR	YY-----	-----	KPDLT	REDAVELLKK	CISELQKRF	NLPSFTVRI	182
Trematomus bernacchii	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTSLIDQ	HY-----	-----	KPDLT	REEAVDLKK	CIEELRKRFI	NLPSFTVRI	182
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010789577	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTSLIDQ	HY-----	-----	KPDLT	REEAVDLKK	CIEELRKRFI	NLPSFTVRI	182
Danio rerio_NP_001002609	KAPFAAHGYG	AFLTSLIDR	YY-----	-----	RPDLT	REEAVDLKK	CLEELNKRFI	NLPSFTVRI	182
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003447226	KAPFAAHGYG	AYTSLIDR	YY-----	-----	RPDLT	RDEAVDLKK	CVEELKRFI	NLPSFTVRI	182
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_498806	RIGYSASGAA	EPMTIPLDC	QIHVTS--	-EGVERPEIT	LDRAISLMKD	SFRGAAEREI	STGDKIHLVI	241	
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_191641	RVGCAQGGSG	STLIMPLDN	QLKSPSLLL	PKQDSNTPLS	EAEAMDVVKI	VFASATERDI	YTGDKLEIM	207	
Xenopus laevis_NP_001080435	RDAFKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-QNVNQLPLT	LEKALKIKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	223	
Mus musculus_NP_035315	RDSFKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-QNVNQLPLT	LEKALKIKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	224	
Homo sapiens_NP_002784	RDSFKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-QNVNQLPLT	LEKALKIKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	225	
Danio rerio_NP_001003889	RDTYKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-ENVEHVPLT	QEKAVQLVKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	221	
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003454565	RDTYKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-ENVEHVPLT	QEKAVQLVKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	221	
Trematomus bernacchii	RDTYKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-EGVHVPLT	KDKAVQLVKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	221	
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010781254	RDTYKAGGSA	SAMLQPLDN	QIGYKNM--	-EGVHVPLT	QERAVQLVKD	VFISAAERDV	YTGDKALRIC	221	
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493271	-FPFTAQGGSG	SYAAITLER	DF-----	-----	KVDMT	KDEAEKLVQR	ALVAGMHGDN	ASGNSLNLI	223
Thermoplasma acidophilum_NP_394085	-DJYASTGSG	SPFYGVLES	QY-----	-----	SEKMT	VDEGVDLVR	AISAAKQSDS	ASGGMDLAV	185
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_652031	RESCITGGSG	SSFIYGFRE	HY-----	-----	RPNMA	VEDCMTFVKK	AVQHAIVHDC	SSGGVVRIG	193
Caenorhabditis elegans_NP_493558	KVCSV-GSG	SLNAYGLDN	HY-----	-----	KPKMT	DDEARKLGLR	AIMHATYRDS	GSGGVCNLCH	249
Drosophila melanogaster_NP_652014	GNLFSV-GSG	SLYAYGLVDS	GY-----	-----	HWDLT	DKEAQELGRR	AIYHATYRDA	YSGGITRYVH	251
Arabidopsis thaliana_NP_172765	GDRFSV-GSG	SPYAYGLVDS	GY-----	-----	KYDMS	VEEASELARR	SIYHATYRDA	ASGGVAVSYH	235
Xenopus laevis_NP_001084323	GDLFST-GSG	NSYAYGVMS	GY-----	-----	RFDLT	PEEAVDGLRR	AIYATYRDA	YSGGCVNLVH	244
Mus musculus_NP_035316	GTAFSV-GSG	SVYAYGVMDR	GY-----	-----	SYDLK	VEEAYDLARR	AIYQATYRDA	YSGGAVNLVH	237
Homo sapiens_NP_002788	GATFSV-GSG	SVYAYGVMDR	GY-----	-----	SYDLE	VEQAYDLARR	AIYQATYRDA	YSGGAVNLVH	237
Danio rerio_NP_571226	GDLFAM-GSG	SMYAYGVMS	GY-----	-----	RYDLT	IDEACDLGRR	AIYQATYRDA	YSGGQVNLVH	243
Oreochromis niloticus_XP_003457456	GDLFAM-GSG	SMYAYGVMS	GY-----	-----	RHDLT	VEEACEGLRR	AIYQATYRDA	YSGGQVNLVH	246
Trematomus bernacchii	GDLFAM-GSG	SMYAYGVMS	GY-----	-----	RQDLT	VEEACEGLRR	AIYQATYRDA	YSGGQVNLVH	246
Notothenia coriiceps_XP_010781265	GDLFAM-GSG	SMYAYGVMS	GY-----	-----	RQDLT	VEEACEGLRR	AIYQATYRDA	YSGGQVNLVH	246
Consensus	RDPFAA-GSG	SAYAYGXLDL	QY-----	-----	RPDLT	VEEAVDLVCR	AIYEATERDX	YSGGAXNXYI	







**Figure S8. MUSCLE alignment of the catalytic proteasome subunits, with species names and accession numbers, utilized for the phylogenetic analysis.**



**Figure S9. Theoretical assembly of the seven modelled chains of *T. bernacchii* proteasome (in red, alpha helices; in cyan, beta strands).** The assembly is based on the reference structure of mouse whole proteasome (PDB code: 3UNB). The simple backbone (in grey) of the corresponding mouse chains is shown for the remaining chains (not modelled).